

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

Overall Report (check one)
Two-parent Report

Apply the overall credit to the two-parent participation rate? yes
 no

PART 1 –Eligibility Changes Made Since FY 2005 (Complete this section for EACH change)

1. Name of eligibility change: Motor Vehicle Equity Limit
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: October 1, 2006
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Effective October 1, 2006, the motor vehicle equity limit was changed to \$10,000 for all licensed and unlicensed vehicles owned by the TANF financial group. The previous equity limit was set at \$10,000 for one licensed or unlicensed vehicle owned by the TANF financial group.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form):

To determine the potential caseload change related to the policy change, a comparison of “Over Resource” (OR) closure/denial reason codes from FFY 2007 and FFY 2014 was completed. “OR” coding is not limited to vehicle resource counts. 10% of the total was used to the percent related to motor vehicles. In FFY13 there were 19 cases closed due to “Over Resources” and 1 case denied due to “Over Resources”. In FFY14 there were 13 cases closed due to “Over Resources” and 4 cases denied due to “Over Resources”. The impact due to this policy change is negligible and does not appear to support an increase or decrease in caseload.

Note: The ability of the state to fully measure the impact of this eligibility change is affected by state data limitations.

Motor Vehicle Equity Limit		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Over resources	(OR) Closures Prg 2	12	16	15	6	12	9	19	13
Over resources	(OR) Closures Prg 82	0	1	3	1	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
Over resources	(OR) Denials Prg 2	8	9	6	8	4	2	1	4
Over resources	(OR) Denials Prg 82	2	2	4	2	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
		22	28	28	17	22	11	20	17
Change			6	6	-5	0	-11	-2	-5

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: None

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Fleeing Felon
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: October 1, 2006
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

People who are fleeing felons are ineligible for TANF.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

Although fleeing felons are ineligible to receive TANF, the dependents of the fleeing felon could still be eligible. There is no supporting evidence that the change to the fleeing felon policy caused a reduction in the caseload.

Note: This will be the last year that this policy change is reported.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: None

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Adjusted Payment Standard
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: July 1, 2007
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Adjusted Payment Standard Increase

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

There is no supporting evidence that the change to the Adjusted Payment Standard created a change in the caseload.

Note: This will be the last year that this policy change is reported.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: None

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Change in Definition of Deprivation

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: October 1, 2007

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Amount of time absent parent could spend with children was increased from 12 hours a week to 30 hours a week before eligibility due to deprivation was closed.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

To determine the potential caseload change related to the policy change, a comparison of “No Deprivation” (SD) closure/denial reason codes from FFY 2007 and FFY 2014 was completed. It is estimated that this category of deprivation represents 50% of the total closures and denials due to “No Deprivation”. In FFY 13 there were 86 cases closed due to “No Deprivation” and 33 cases denied due to “No Deprivation”. In FFY 14 there were 247 cases closed due to “No Deprivation” and 155 cases denied due to “No Deprivation”.

Note: The ability of the state to fully measure the impact of this eligibility change is affected by state data limitations.

Deprivation

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
(SD) Closures Prg 2	228	187	172	105	124	133	84	247
(SD) Closures Prg 82	9	3	1	6	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
(SD) Denials Prg 2	29	34	61	36	28	37	32	155
(SD) Denials Prg 82	3	3	1	3	5	N/A	N/A	N/A
	269	227	235	150	159	170	116	402
Change		-42	-34	-119	-110	-99	-153	133

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -67

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-Needy caretaker relatives may receive foster care payment

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: January 1, 2008

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

The policy change was implemented because of the passage of Senate Bill 282. This bill broadened the definition of relative care payments. Non-needy caretaker relatives can receive foster care payments without enrolling in TANF; previously the non-needy caretaker relatives received payments through a self-sufficiency TANF case.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

New foster care child welfare cases were evaluated to determine if the family was TANF eligible. The cases were matched to Child Welfare TANF cases to determine if TANF dollars had been used. Those cases not using TANF funds are counted as reduction in case load.

	Count of Child ID	
	Ineligible	Grand Total
Month	195	195
October, 2013	23	23
November, 2013	18	18
December, 2013	18	18
January, 2014	15	15
February, 2014	18	18
March, 2014	29	29
April, 2014	20	20
May, 2014	7	7
June, 2014	18	18
July, 2014	7	7
August, 2014	7	7
September, 2014	15	15
Grand Total	195	195

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -94

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-Needy caretaker relatives income limit changed
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: May 1, 2009
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Non-Needy caretaker relatives' income limit change to 185% of Federal Poverty level (FPL, previously the income was not counted.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

Total Non-Needy caretaker cases and the number of those cases opened in 2008 and 2014 were compared. The reduction in the number of opened cases in comparison to the rate prior to the policy change was deemed to have been avoided by this policy change.

13-Oct	1,370	73	90		
13-Nov	1,387	72	90		
13-Dec	1,374	90	59		
14-Jan	1,359	79	75		
14-Feb	1,348	72	68		
14-Mar	1,339	89	63		
14-Apr	1,333	69	83		
14-May	1,341	93	77		
14-Jun	1,320	115	72		
14-Jul	1,288	87	83		
14-Aug	1,277	82	76		
14-Sep	1,281	89	86	2014 Reduction	
				77	39

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -418

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Postpartum participation exemption time limit increased
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: October 1, 2007, July 1, 2011, January 1, 2013
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

The length of time a mother is exempt from participation changed from 90 days to six months. Effective October 1, 2007, the length of time for this exemption for single parent households changed to 2 years. Effective July 1, 2011 the length of time for the exemption reverted back to six months following the birth of the child. Effective January 1, 2013.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

Read the Client Maintenance System (CM) end-of-month master files for October 2008 thru September 2009. Save a record for every case where a child was born in the prior six months and the mother was in the home. Match those records with the corresponding Monthly Statistics (MS) TANF “Flash” files. Save a record for every TANF case & flag which ones met the newborn criteria. Concatenate the monthly TANF files into one. Import to MS Access, run crosstab query & report to Excel, calculate cases with newborns as a percentage of total TANF cases.

The comparison results for 2008, an average % of 11.58% and, the result for 2014 an average of 8.82%, indicate a decrease in TANF caseload was caused by this policy change.

201310	31999	2935	29064	9.17%			
201311	31893	2815	29078	8.83%			
201312	32078	2756	29322	8.59%			
201401	32769	2832	29937	8.64%			
201402	32553	2788	29765	8.56%			
201403	31839	2674	29165	8.40%			
201404	31490	2674	28816	8.49%			
201405	30945	2653	28292	8.57%			
201406	30154	2707	27447	8.98%			
201407	29630	2720	26910	9.18%			
201408	28921	2636	26285	9.11%			
201409	28252	2620	25632	9.27%			
				8.82%	-2.76%	-779.639	-64.9699

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -65

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Time Limits
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: October 1, 2007
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

TANF time limit policy time changed from one based on federal law to Oregon law, as specified in HB2469.

Oregon does not count months when hardship was involved.

Parents can be removed whenever hardship applies and the time limit is reached while children continue to receive benefits.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

Based on Oregon law, no cases have closed due to time limits because the children can continue to receive TANF. However, the policy allows for child only cases.

Counts of Program 2 Adults in CP status, Coded TRH or TRJ who are over the Federal Time limit of 60 months on TANF. The change can be attributed to a small increase in caseload.

Worksheet Attached – Attachment 1

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 2

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Child Support Pass Through
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: October 1, 2008
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Child support collected in the amount of \$50 per child, to a maximum of \$200 is disregarded for the purpose of eligibility determination.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

All cases were evaluated; all those who remained open when the disregarded amount would have put them over income have been totaled by month. Cases were limited to need resource dates that = the report month or have a "C" (which means continuous).

Indicate if CSP, PSP or Both, and if Total Exceeds Payment Standard (Grant) Amount													
Category	201310	201311	201312	201401	201402	201403	201404	201405	201406	201407	201408	201409	
CSP + PSP < GRANT	1320	1364	1285	1414	1306	1298	1312	1382	1234	1289	1308	1240	
CSP + PSP >= GRANT	247	295	208	299	278	221	286	242	215	246	274	218	
CSP ONLY < GRANT	588	591	593	595	612	612	607	601	583	573	544	563	588.5
CSP ONLY >= GRANT	42	55	42	62	59	50	55	57	61	55	68	55	
NO CSP OR PSP	29229	29103	29326	29796	29637	29012	28630	28080	27500	26971	26325	25751	
PSP ONLY < GRANT	742	643	784	738	792	767	721	713	694	637	569	590	
PSP ONLY >= GRANT	98	100	91	101	119	123	112	118	116	109	93	84	

CSP = The amount of a child support payment that is passed through to the client and disregarded for the purposes of eligibility determination and grant calculation
PSP = The amount of a child support payment remaining after CSP is applied.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 589

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: UC Denials
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: May 1, 2009, May 1, 2012
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Applicants and recipients who had quit their job without cause or who caused their own dismissal was denied a TANF case.

Modified the job quit penalty to extend the period of ineligibility to 120 days.

4. *Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)*

The total UC Denials were counted, for FFY 2014.

Employment Separation - TANF Application Denials October 2013 to September 2014													
	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14
District 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 2	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
District 3	10	13	21	22	24	26	28	29	36	36	36	36	36
District 4	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
District 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
District 8	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
District 9	11	10	7	7	6	6	4	4	1	2	2	2	3
District 10	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
District 11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 12	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
District 13	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
District 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
District 16	12	13	11	11	11	11	11	11	8	8	8	9	9
OHP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	48	49	49	51	52	54	56	57	57	58	58	59	60
Denials by month	1	0	0	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	0	1	1
Total number of denials for FFY 2014													12

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -22

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Job Participation (JPI)
2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

The Job Participation Incentive (JPI) program provides a \$10 food benefit to eligible families to help families meet their nutritional needs. Cases receiving the \$10 payment are included in the caseload and counted towards the Maintenance of Effort requirement for TANF.

Effective date: October 1, 2011 for single parents with a child under six years. May 1, 2012 single parents with a child under 18 years were added.

Effective date: June 26, 2014 two-parent family eligibility is included.

4. *Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)*

Compare Monthly JPI Issuance reports to TANF report data to identify those cases receiving the Job Participation Incentive payment. This results in a count of all participants each month, no degradation need be applied.

Month of Issuance	Met Benefit Amount	Met # of Cases	Not Met Benefit Amount	Not Met # of Cases	Total Benefits Amount	# of Cases	% of Cases not Met
Oct-13	\$ 168,950	16,895	\$ 5,130	513	\$ 174,080	17,408	2.9%
Nov-13	\$ 179,810	17,909	\$ 4,140	414	\$ 183,950	18,323	2.3%
Dec-13	\$ 173,160	17,316	\$ 6,130	613	\$ 179,290	17,929	3.4%
Jan-14	\$ 178,230	17,741	\$ 6,260	621	\$ 184,490	18,362	3.4%
Feb-14	\$ 180,880	18,027	\$ 5,950	588	\$ 186,830	18,615	3.2%
Mar-14	\$ 176,810	17,632	\$ 5,440	541	\$ 182,250	18,173	3.0%
Apr-14	\$ 175,310	17,490	\$ 2,700	267	\$ 178,010	17,757	1.5%
May-14	\$ 174,530	17,411	\$ 2,210	220	\$ 176,740	17,631	1.2%
Jun-14	\$ 174,810	17,424	\$ 4,030	403	\$ 178,840	17,827	2.3%
Jul-14	\$ 197,070	19,630	\$ 14,650	1,451	\$ 211,720	21,081	6.9%
Aug-14	\$ 274,960	26,982	\$ 20,380	1,891	\$ 295,340	28,873	6.5%
Sep-14	\$ 312,940	30,701	\$ 21,490	2,060	\$ 334,430	32,761	6.3%

Data source: PW.FS.EOM.MASTER

Data represents month of issuance, not benefit month.

JPI for single parents with the child under 6 months of age began in October 2011

JP2, which extended the program to single parents with a child under 2 years of age, began in May 2012.

J82, which extended the program to two parent families with a child under 2 years of age, began in July 2014.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 21,084

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-Needy caretaker relative definition

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: May 1, 2012

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

The definition was edited to align with OAR 461-001-0000. The updated definition of caretaker relative is a dependent child's father, mother, grandfather, grandmother, brother, sister, stepfather, stepmother, stepbrother, stepsister, uncle, aunt, first cousin, nephew, or niece who lives in a residence maintained by one or more of the relatives as the child's or relative's own home. The part of the previous definition that is no longer included in the new definition is individuals of preceding generations as denoted by prefixes of grand, great, or great-great.

4. *Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)*

There is no supporting evidence that the change to the definition to the Non-Needy caretaker relative policy created a change in the caseload. Prior to 2014 there were no significant system limitations based on recent data.

Note: This will be the last year that this policy change is reported.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: None

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Addition of need resource code DQR
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 10/1/2011
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

This is a change to our series of codes regarding disqualification levels. DQR was added to document when a case was closed due to failure to cooperate with the JOBS program. It is applied to mandatory clients who have already served all four levels of disqualification including full family sanction and did not perform their two-consecutive weeks of participation to re-engage prior to the end of their no cash benefit month at the fourth level of disqualification.

4. *Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)*

Compared closures from Jul-Sep 2013 to closures of Jul-Sep 2014, averaged the change.

There is no supporting evidence of a substantial increase/decrease in caseload.

Disqualification actions			
August			
Aug change ▾	Aug Closed ▾	Count of Per-No	
<input type="checkbox"/> I		14	I= Increased
<input type="checkbox"/> N		30	N= No DQ
<input type="checkbox"/> R		12	R= Removed
<input type="checkbox"/> S		1188	S= Same as Pervious
	Closed	1	
Grand Total		1245	Actual count of DQR = 1
September			
Sep change ▾	Sep Closed ▾	Count of Per-No	
<input type="checkbox"/> I		27	
<input type="checkbox"/> N		15	
<input type="checkbox"/> R		31	
<input type="checkbox"/> S		1171	
	Closed	1	
Grand Total		1245	Actual count of DQR = 1

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: None

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Acting on job hire information and transitioning off TANF due to employment

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: January 1, 2013

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Policy was changed regarding the process for closing or reducing TANF when a client begins employment. Previously, cases could be closed prospectively as well as retrospectively based on employment income. Under the new policy, proof of the gross income received on the first check is required prior to case closure or reducing of the grant.

4. *Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)*

The effect on caseload is limited to the delay in closure for one month during implementation of the new policy as staff switched from a prospective closure process to using actual reported income. After the implementation month, the process would be consistent and no increase or decrease of caseload would be linked to this policy change.

There is no supporting evidence that the change to acting job hire information and transitioning off TANF due to employment created a change in the caseload.

Note: This will be the last year that this policy change is reported.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: None

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Resource Limits
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: January 1, 2013
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

\$2500 resource limit for: A) A new TANF applicant for benefits. B) A TANF need group which does not have at least one caretaker relative or parent who is receiving TANF. C) A TANF need group with at least one JOBS participant who is: 1) receiving TANF and not progressing in an activity or in an activity of an open case plan. Or 2) Serving a current JOBS disqualification. \$10,000 for a need group not covered under the above criteria.

Due to significant caseload increases, this policy change provides for a \$10,000 resource limit for TANF recipients who have not yet been offered an opportunity to participate in a case plan.

4. *Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)*

The ability of the state to fully measure the impact of this eligibility change is affected by state data limitations prior to October 2013. It is estimated that a negligible increase in cases occurred due to less closures related to the resource limit being surpassed.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: None

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Periodic Redeterminations for TANF

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: None

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

The department re-determined eligibility according to the following: At least every six-months for: A) Clients not participating in an activity of an open case plan. B) Clients who are currently serving a JOBS disqualification. At least every 12-months for all other clients.

4. *Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)*

Note: There is no supporting evidence that the change to the definition to the Periodic Redetermination for TANF policy created a change in the caseload.

Note: This will be the last year that this policy change is reported.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: None

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Expiration of TANF Certification Period

2. Implementation date of eligibility change: July 1, 2013

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Policy changed to reflect a fixed expiration of TANF benefits.

Previously, if a client was not eligible for TANF at the end of the certification period, they could have, in certain situations, continued to receive benefits after their certification period ended. This resulted in overpayments to these clients.

Under the new policy, clients receive a proper notice and TANF ends when the certification period is complete. Clients must reapply and meet all eligibility requirements to receive TANF after the eligibility period has ended.

4. *Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)*

There is no supporting evidence that the change to reflect a fixed expiration of TANF benefits policy created a change in the caseload.

Note: This will be the last year that this policy change is reported.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: None

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

1. Name of eligibility change: Eligibility and Budgeting for TANF in initial month
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: July 1, 2013
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Policy was changed regarding how income was counted in the initial month of a certification. Previously, in the initial month of the application, actual income received was counted in the initial month of eligibility, regardless of whether the source of income was ongoing. Under the new policy, actual income is only used if the source of income is new r terminated.

4. *Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)*

To determine the potential caseload change related to this policy change, a comparison of averages for cases coded “Over Income” during the time period of October 2013 through September was done. For the time period of October 2013 through March 2014, there was an average of 75 cases and April 2014 through September 2014 there were 118 cases that were denied on average due to “Over Income”. We estimate that 10% of Over Income “OI” denial reason codes are related to the policy change, which results in the policy change having a negligible impact on caseload.

Note: The ability of the state to fully measure the impact of this eligibility change is affected by state data limitations.

Initial TANF Month	Count of Denials		
10/1/2013	3.6		
11/1/2013	6.6		
12/1/2013	8.7	Oct - Mar	
1/1/2014	8.6		8
2/1/2014	6.4		
3/1/2014	11.2	Apr - Sep	
4/1/2014	11.2		12
5/1/2014	11.5		
6/1/2014	11.4	Percent Change	
7/1/2014	11.9		6%
8/1/2014	5.6		
9/1/2014	19.4		

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: None

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion

State: Oregon

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2015

PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

<u>Impact of All Changes</u>		<u>Caseload Reduction Calculation</u>		
Motor Vehicle Equity Limit	0	FY 2005 TANF Caseload	19,599	
Fleeing Felon	0	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	0	
Adjusted Payment Standard	0	Total FY 2005 Caseload	19,599	
Change in Definition of Deprivation	-67	FY 2014 TANF Caseload	23,437	
Relative Caretakers	-94	FY 2014 SSP Caseload	22,092	
NNCR Income Limit Change	-418	Total FY 2014 Caseload	45,529	
Postpartum	-65	Excess MOE Cases in FY 2014	5,965	
Time Limits	2	Adjusted FY 2014 Caseload	39,564	
CS Passthrough	589	Caseload Decline	-19,965	-101.9%
UC Denials	-22	Decline – Net Impact	1,044	
Job Participation (JPI)	21,084			
Non-Needy Caretaker Definition	0	Caseload Reduction Credit =		-101.9%
Addition of Need Resources DQR	0			
Job Hire	0			
Resource Limits	0			
Periodic Determination for TANF	0			
Expiration of TANF Cert Period	0			
Eligibility and Budgeting for TANF	0			
Net Impact	21,009			

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Oregon														
Deprivation														
												Date of Completion:		
Impact on Each Month in FY 2014														
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep		
Time of Closure														
Prior years carryover	0													
Oct	-35													
Nov	-53													
Dec	-50													
Jan	-55													
Feb	-60													
Mar	-76													
Apr	-71													
May	-71													
Jun	-82													
Jul	-72													
Aug	-103													
Sep	-75												Grand Total	
Total	-35	-53	-50	-55	-60	-76	-71	-71	-82	-72	-103	-75	-803	
												FY 2014 monthly average	-67	

Oregon													
Relative Caretakers													
												Date of Completion:	
Impact on Each Month in FY 2014													
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	-72	-59	-49	-40	-33	-27	-22	-18	-15	-12	-10	-8	
Oct	-23	-19	-16	-13	-11	-9	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-3	
Nov	-18		-15	-12	-10	-8	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-3	
Dec	-18			-15	-12	-10	-8	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	
Jan	-15				-12	-10	-8	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	
Feb	-18					-15	-12	-10	-8	-7	-6	-5	
Mar	-29						-24	-20	-16	-13	-11	-9	
Apr	-20							-16	-14	-11	-9	-8	
May	-7								-6	-5	-4	-3	
Jun	-18									-15	-12	-10	
Jul	-7										-6	-5	
Aug	-7												
Sep	-15												Grand Total
Total	-95	-96	-97	-95	-96	-108	-109	-96	-97	-87	-78	-79	-1,133
												FY 2014 monthly average	-94

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Oregon													
Non-Needy Caretakers													
													Date of Completion:
Impact on Each Month in FY 2014													
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	-274	-259	-246	-233	-220	-209	-198	-187	-177	-168	-159	-151	
Oct	-39	-37	-35	-33	-31	-30	-28	-27	-25	-24	-23	-22	
Nov		-39	-37	-35	-33	-31	-30	-28	-27	-25	-24	-23	
Dec			-39	-37	-35	-33	-31	-30	-28	-27	-25	-24	
Jan				-39	-37	-35	-33	-31	-30	-28	-27	-25	
Feb					-39	-37	-35	-33	-31	-30	-28	-27	
Mar						-39	-37	-35	-33	-31	-30	-28	
Apr							-39	-37	-35	-33	-31	-30	
May								-39	-37	-35	-33	-31	
Jun									-39	-37	-35	-33	
Jul										-39	-37	-35	
Aug											-39	-37	
Sep												-39	
Total	-313	-335	-357	-377	-396	-414	-432	-448	-463	-478	-492	-505	Grand Total -5,011
FY 2014 monthly average													-418

Oregon													
Postpartum Exemption													
													Date of Completion:
Impact on Each Month in FY 2014													
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover													
Oct	-65												
Nov		-65											
Dec			-65										
Jan				-65									
Feb					-65								
Mar						-65							
Apr							-65						
May								-65					
Jun									-65				
Jul										-65			
Aug											-65		
Sep												-65	
Total	-65	-65	-65	-65	-65	-65	-65	-65	-65	-65	-65	-65	Grand Total -780
FY 2014 monthly average													-65

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Oregon															
													Time Limits		
													Date of Completion:		
Impact on Each Month in FY 2014															
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep			
Time of Closure															
Prior years carryover	*0														
Oct	2														
Nov		2													
Dec			2												
Jan				2											
Feb					2										
Mar						2									
Apr							2								
May								2							
Jun									2						
Jul										2					
Aug											2				
Sep												2			
Total	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	24	
													FY 2014 monthly average		2

Oregon															
													Child Support Pass Through		
													Date of Completion:		
Impact on Each Month in FY 2014															
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep			
Time of Closure															
Prior years carryover	*0														
Oct	588														
Nov		591													
Dec			593												
Jan				595											
Feb					612										
Mar						612									
Apr							607								
May								601							
Jun									583						
Jul										573					
Aug											544				
Sep												563			
Total	588	591	593	595	612	612	607	601	583	573	544	563	7,062		
													FY 2014 monthly average		589

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Oregon														
UC Denial														
												Date of Completion:		
Impact on Each Month in FY 2014														
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep		
Time of Closure														
Prior years carryover	-26	-24	-22	-20	-19	-17	-16	-14	-13	-12	-11	-10		
Oct	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0		
Nov		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Dec			-2	-2	-2	-2	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1		
Jan				-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1		
Feb					-2	-2	-2	-2	-1	-1	-1	-1		
Mar						-2	-2	-2	-2	-1	-1	-1		
Apr							-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1		
May								0	0	0	0	0		
Jun									-1	-1	-1	-1		
Jul										0	0	0		
Aug											-1	-1		
Sep												-1		
Total	-27	-25	-25	-24	-24	-24	-23	-21	-20	-19	-18	-18	Grand Total	
													-268	
													FY 2014 monthly average	-22

Oregon														
JPI														
												Date of Completion:		
Impact on Each Month in FY 2014														
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep		
Time of Closure														
Prior years carryover	17,851													
Oct	16,895													
Nov		17,909												
Dec			17,316											
Jan				17,741										
Feb					18,027									
Mar						17,632								
Apr							17,490							
May								17,411						
Jun									17,424					
Jul										19,630				
Aug											26,982			
Sep												30,701		
Total	34,746	17,909	17,316	17,741	18,027	17,632	17,490	17,411	17,424	19,630	26,982	30,701	Grand Total	
													253,009	
													FY 2014 monthly average	21,084

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Oregon			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:	2015
			Date of Completion:	
<u>Excess MOE Calculation Worksheet</u>				
Caseload Data		Expenditure Data		
FY 2005 TANF Caseload	19,599	Total Expenditures		
FY 2005 SSP Caseload	0	FY 2014 Total Federal Expenditures		\$183,235,545
Total FY 2005 Caseload	19,599	FY 2014 Total MOE Expenditures		\$140,110,803
FY 2014 TANF Caseload	23,437	Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)		\$323,346,348
FY 2014 SSP Caseload	22,092			
Total FY 2014 Caseload	45,529	Assistance Expenditures		
		FY 2014 Federal Expenditures on Assistance		\$91,373,831
2-Parent Caseload Data		FY 2014 MOE Expenditures on Assistance		\$46,318,206
FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	725	Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)		\$137,692,037
FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	0	Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance		42.58%
Total FY 2005 Caseload	725			
FY 2014 2-p TANF Caseload	0	Expenditures Per Case		
FY 2014 2-p SSP Caseload	0	Average Expenditures per Case		\$7,102
Total FY 2014 Caseload	0	Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance		\$3,024
		MOE and Excess MOE		
		Required MOE (80% or 75%)		\$97,745,386
		Excess MOE Expenditures		\$42,365,417
		Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance		\$18,040,657
Adjusted Caseload Data				
Adjusted FY 2014 Overall Caseload	39,564	Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE		5,965
Adjusted FY 2014 2-parent Caseload	0	2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE		0

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Attachment 1													Count	Mo	Pct of case
BASIC_CU	10/1/2013	11/1/2013	12/1/2013	1/1/2014	2/1/2014	3/1/2014	4/1/2014	5/1/2014	6/1/2014	7/1/2014	8/1/2014	9/1/2014			
BN5475	82	82	82	82	83	82							6.0	0.5	
EC3865	137	135		134	135	135	134	134	135	134			9.0	0.8	
EC9554	113	113	113	113	113								5.0	0.4	
EE3861							111	113	108	108			4.0	0.3	
EI5073			68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	10.0	0.8	
EI8004				85	85								2.0	0.2	
EJ1682				66	65	65	66						4.0	0.3	
FZ2139			83	84	84	82	85	85					6.0	0.5	
GC7708												123	1.0	0.1	
GD5731											82	82	2.0	0.2	
GO8603	85	84	84	84	84	84	84						7.0	0.6	
GQ4274						61	63	63	61	61	64	62	7.0	0.6	
GV1284	120	123											2.0	0.2	
HC5057	77	77	78	78	79	78	77						7.0	0.6	
HD1497	99	94	94	96	97	95	101	102	96				9.0	0.8	
HH1596						77	74	74	69	74	69	74	7.0	0.6	
HM0995		73	73	77	76	71	72		72	73		72	9.0	0.8	
HV0311					68	63	64		67	61	63	66	7.0	0.6	
ID0013	118	119	116	111	114	116		111	113	112	118	111	11.0	0.9	
IG9567				84		84	86	87	84	82	83	87	8.0	0.7	
II0094	97	94	97	97									4.0	0.3	
IY4746												88	1.0	0.1	
IZ0092											74	75	2.0	0.2	
IZ3085	99	99	103	98	102	104	99	98					8.0	0.7	
JA3514				97	96				96	97	93		5.0	0.4	
JB6098									76	76	76	76	4.0	0.3	
JD4558	78	78	75	78	77								5.0	0.4	
JG8206	76	73	76	80	79	78	78						7.0	0.6	
JG8476	98	95	94	97	94	92	98	94	97	92	91	97	12.0	1.0	
JH6123	80	76	78						74	77	72	76	7.0	0.6	
JK5627	79	77	77	75	80		75	77	76	75	75	83	11.0	0.9	
JK6497	71	74	76	76	70	75	76	73					8.0	0.7	
JM5099											101	100	2.0	0.2	
JN3089				79	79	79							3.0	0.3	
JO1307										65	65		2.0	0.2	
JO2042	61	61	63	63	64	61	62	61	62	61	63		11.0	0.9	
JQ7657			68	71	70		68			68	72	68	7.0	0.6	
JR7285	77	70	73										3.0	0.3	
JT3900	71	66	73	70	70	69	72	68	67	67			10.0	0.8	
JT4054	63	63	69	69	66	68	68	71	70	66			10.0	0.8	
JU2324	62	62											2.0	0.2	
JV5185												108	1.0	0.1	
JW7652					76	76	77						3.0	0.3	
JY6003	64	64	64	64	62	64	67	61	65	63			10.0	0.8	
KA0800	62	62		62									3.0	0.3	
KB3626							86	85	92	84	86	92	6.0	0.5	
KC9600		61	63	68		61	66	66	63	65			8.0	0.7	
KD6670		118	119	116									3.0	0.3	
KH2154						65	64						2.0	0.2	
KI3571					61								1.0	0.1	
KI5412					61								1.0	0.1	
KK1815							61						1.0	0.1	
KL4819											72		1.0	0.1	
KL8862									98	100	100	98	4.0	0.3	
															24.3