BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES,
THE DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF
THE STATE OF OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION UNDER ORS 197.352 (BALLOT MEASURE 37) OF Dennis and Shirley Pikkarainen, CLAIMANTS	))))	FINAL ORDER CLAIM NO. M118717

Claimants: Dennis and Shirley Pikkarainen (the Claimants)

Property: Township 3S, Range 2E, Section 24, Tax lot 400, Clackamas County (the Property)

Claim: The demand for compensation and any supporting information received from the Claimants by the State of Oregon (the Claim).

Claimants submitted the Claim to the State of Oregon under ORS 197.352. Under OAR 125-145-0010 *et seq.*, the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) referred the Claim to the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) as the regulating entity. This order is based on the record herein, including the Findings and Conclusions set forth in the Final Staff Report and Recommendation of DLCD (the DLCD Report) attached to and by this reference incorporated into this order.

ORDER

The Claim is approved as to laws administered by DLCD and the Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) for the reasons set forth in the DLCD Report, and subject to the following terms:

1. In lieu of compensation under ORS 197.352, the State of Oregon will not apply the following laws to Dennis and Shirley Pikkarainen's division of the 39.4-acre property to create one additional, approximately 1-acre parcel and to their development of a dwelling on that parcel: applicable provisions of Goal 4, ORS 215 and OAR 660, division 6, enacted or adopted after each claimant acquired the subject property. These laws will not apply to the claimants only to the extent necessary to allow them to use the subject property for the use described in this report, and only to the extent that use was permitted when Dennis Pikkarainen acquired the property on November 30, 2002, and Shirley Pikkarainen acquired the property on August 29, 2003. The department acknowledges that the relief to which the claimants are entitled under ORS 197.352 will not allow the claimants to use the subject property in the manner set forth in the claim.

2. The action by the State of Oregon provides the state's authorization to the claimants to use the property, subject to the standards in effect on November 30, 2002, and August 29, 2003. On those dates, the property was subject to applicable provisions of Goal 4, ORS 215 and OAR 600, division 6, currently in effect.

3. To the extent that any law, order, deed, agreement or other legally enforceable public or private requirement provides that the subject property may not be used without a permit, license or other form of authorization or consent, the order will not authorize the use of the property unless the claimants first obtain that permit, license or other form of authorization or consent. Such requirements may include, but are not limited to: a building permit, a land use decision, a "permit" as defined in ORS 215.402 or 227.160, other permits or authorizations from local, state or federal agencies and restrictions on the use of the subject property imposed by private parties.

4. Any use of the subject property by the claimants under the terms of the order will remain subject to the following laws: (a) those laws not specified in (1) above; (b) any laws enacted or enforced by a public entity other than the Commission or the department; and (c) those laws not subject to ORS 197.352 including, without limitation, those laws exempted under ORS 197.352(3).

5. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing terms and conditions, in order for the claimants to use the subject property, it may be necessary for them to obtain a decision under ORS 197.352 from a city and/or county and/or metropolitan service district that enforces land use regulations applicable to the property. Nothing in this order relieves the claimants from the necessity of obtaining a decision under ORS 197.352 from a local public entity that has jurisdiction to enforce a land use regulation applicable to a use of the subject property by the claimants.

This Order is entered by the Director of the DLCD as a final order of DLCD and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.352, OAR 660-002-0010(8), and OAR 125, division 145, and by the Deputy Administrator for the State Services Division of the DAS as a final order of DAS under ORS 197.352, OAR 125, division 145, and ORS 293.

FOR DLCD AND THE LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION:

Lane Shetterly, Director
DLCD
Dated this 15th day of May, 2006.

FOR the DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES:

Dugan Petty, Deputy Administrator
DAS, State Services Division
Dated this 15th day of May, 2006.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review under ORS 183.484: Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be filed in the Circuit Court for Marion County or the Circuit Court in the county in which you reside.

2. A cause of action under ORS 197.352 (Measure 37 (2004)): If a land use regulation continues to apply to the subject property more than 180 days after the present owner of the property has made written demand for compensation under ORS 197.352[1], the present owner of the property, or any interest therein, shall have a cause of action in the circuit court in which the real property is located.

(Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the Department's office at 635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150, Salem, Oregon 97301-2540)

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

The Oregon Department of Justice has advised the Department of Land Conservation and Development that "[i]f the current owner of the real property conveys the property before the new use allowed by the public entity is established, then the entitlement to relief will be lost."

[1] By order of the Marion County Circuit Court, "all time lines under Measure 37 [were] suspended indefinitely" on October 25, 2005. This suspension was lifted on March 13, 2006 by the court. As a result, a period of 139 days (the number of days the time lines were suspended) has been added to the 180-day time period under ORS 197.352(6) for claims that were pending with the state on October 25, 2005.

ORS 197.352 (BALLOT MEASURE 37) CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
Final Staff Report and Recommendation
May 15, 2006

STATE CLAIM NUMBER:	M118717
NAMES OF CLAIMANTS:	Dennis and Shirley Pikkarainen
MAILING ADDRESS:	PO Box 608 Beavercreek, Oregon 97004
PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION:	Township 3S, Range 2E, Section 24 Tax lot 400 Clackamas County
OTHER INTEREST IN PROPERTY:	Gary Snyder 25797 Lilli Lane Canby, Oregon 97013
DATE RECEIVED BY DAS:	July 6, 2005
180-DAY DEADLINE:	May 21, 2006[1]

I. SUMMARY OF CLAIM

The claimants, Dennis and Shirley Pikkarainen, seek compensation in the amount of $400,000 for the reduction in fair market value as a result of land use regulations that are alleged to restrict the use of certain private real property. The claimants desire compensation or the right to divide the 39.4-acre property to create one additional, approximately 1-acre parcel and to develop a dwelling on that parcel. The subject property is located at the locational coordinates listed above in Clackamas County. (See claim.)

II. SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Based on the findings and conclusions set forth below, the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) has determined that the claim is valid. Department staff recommends that, in lieu of compensation, the requirements of the following state laws enforced by the Land Conservation and Development Commission (the Commission) or the department not apply to Dennis and Shirley Pikkarainen's division of the 39.4-acre property to create one additional, approximately 1-acre parcel, and to their development of a dwelling on that parcel: applicable provisions of Statewide Planning Goal 4 (Forest Lands), ORS 215 and Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 660, division 6, enacted or adopted after each claimant acquired the

[1] This date reflects 180 days from the date the claim was submitted, as extended by the 139 days that all timelines under Measure 37 were suspended during the pendency of *MacPherson v. Dept. of Admin. Srvcs.*, 340 Or 117 (2006).

subject property. These laws will not apply to Dennis Pikkarainen only to the extent necessary to allow him to use the subject property for the use described in this report, and only to the extent that use was permitted when he acquired the property on November 30, 2002. These laws will not apply to Shirley Pikkarainen only to the extent necessary to allow her to use the subject property for the use described in this report, and only to the extent that use was permitted when she acquired the property on August 29, 2003. The department acknowledges that the relief to which the claimants are entitled under ORS 197.352 will not allow the claimants to use the subject property in the manner set forth in the claim. (See the complete recommendation in Section VI. of this report.)

III. COMMENTS ON THE CLAIM

Comments Received

On September 7, 2005, pursuant to Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 125-145-0080, the Oregon Department of Administrative Services (DAS) provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. According to DAS, two comments, evidence or information were received in response to the 10-day notice.

One comment does not address whether the claim meets the criteria for relief under ORS 197.352. Comments concerning the effects a use of the subject property may have on surrounding areas are generally not something that the department is able to consider in determining whether to waive a state law. If funds do become available to pay compensation, then such effects may become relevant in determining which claims to pay compensation for instead of waive a state law.

One comment is relevant to whether the claimants are owners, when the claimants became the present owner of the subject property, whether a state law restricts the claimants' use of the subject property, whether the restriction of the claimants' use of the subject property reduces the fair market value of the property and whether the laws that are the basis for the claim are exempt under ORS 197.352(3). The comment has been considered by the department in preparing this report. (See the comment letter in the department's claim file.)

IV. TIMELINESS OF CLAIM

Requirement

ORS 197.352(5) requires that a written demand for compensation be made:

1. For claims arising from land use regulations enacted prior to the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), within two years of that effective date, or the date the public entity applies the land use regulation as an approval criteria to an application submitted by the owner, whichever is later; or

2. For claims arising from land use regulations enacted after the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), within two years of the enactment of the land use regulation, or the date the owner of the property submits a land use application in which the land use regulation is an approval criteria, whichever is later.

Findings of Fact

This claim was submitted to DAS on July 6, 2005, for processing under OAR 125, division 145. The claim identifies Clackamas County's General Timber (GT-40) zone as the basis for the claim.[2]

Conclusions

The claim has been submitted within two years of the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), based on land use regulations enacted or adopted prior to December 2, 2004, and is therefore timely filed.

V. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

1. Ownership

December 2, 2004 provides for payment of compensation or relief from specific laws for "owners" as that term is defined in the statute. ORS 197.352(11)(C) defines "owner" as "the present owner of the property, or any interest therein."

Findings of Fact

Claimant Dennis Pikkarainen acquired the subject property from his mother's estate on November 30, 2002, as reflected by a deed included with the claim.[3] Claimant Shirley Pikkarainen acquired an interest in the subject property from Dennis Pikkarainen on August 29, 2003, as reflected by a deed included with the claim. Dennis Pikkarainen's parents, Velma and O.V. Pikkarainen, acquired the subject property on September 14, 1946, as evidenced by a warranty deed included with the claim. A July 1, 2004, tax statement submitted with the claim establishes the claimants' current ownership.

Conclusions

Dennis Pikkarainen is an "owner" of the subject property as that term is defined by ORS 197.352(11)(C) as of November 30, 2002. Shirley Pikkarainen is an "owner" of the subject property as of August 29, 2003. Dennis Pikkarainen's parents, Velma and O.V. Pikkarainen, are "family members" as defined by ORS 197.352(11)(A) and acquired the subject property on September 14, 1946.

---

[2] A June 10, 2005, Clackamas County planning division staff report regarding a similar claim by Dennis and Shirley Pikkarainen, received by the department on April 14, 2006, indicates that the property was zoned Timber District (TBR) on July 20, 1994, and that zone is in effect today. In this zone, division of property is prohibited except where lots are a minimum of 80 acres in size. Only laws that were enacted or adopted prior to December 2, 2004, are the basis for this claim.

[3] This deed indicates Dennis Pikkarainen acquired the property on August 29, 2003, from the Estate of Velma Pikkarainen. However, title vests to an heir on the date of death. A death certificate included with the claim demonstrates that Velma Pikkarainen died on November 30, 2002. As such, Dennis Pikkarainen acquired an interest in the subject property on November 30, 2002.

2. The Laws That are the Basis for This Claim

In order to establish a valid claim, ORS 197.352(1) requires, in part, that a law must restrict the claimants' use of private real property in a manner that reduces the fair market value of the property relative to how the property could have been used at the time the claimants or a family member acquired the property.

Findings of Fact

The claim indicates that the claimants desire to divide the 39.4-acre property to create one additional, approximately 1-acre parcel and to develop a dwelling on that parcel, which is not allowed under the property's current zoning.

The claim is based generally on Clackamas County's current Timber District (TBR) zone and the applicable provisions of state law that require such zoning. The claimants' property is zoned TBR as required by Goal 4 in accordance with ORS 215 and OAR 660, division 6, because the claimants' property is "forest land" under Goal 4. Goal 4 became effective on January 25, 1975, and requires that forest land be zoned for forest use (see statutory and rule history under OAR 660-015-0000(4)). The forest land administrative rules (OAR 660, division 6) became effective on September 1, 1982, and ORS 215.705 to 215.755 and 215.780 became effective on November 4, 1993 (Chapter 792, Oregon Laws 1993). OAR 660-006-0026 and 660-006-0027 were amended on March 1, 1994, to implement those statutes.

The claimants' family first acquired the subject property in 1946, prior to the adoption of the statewide planning goals and their implementing statutes and rules. No county zoning applied to the subject property in 1946.

Conclusions

The current zoning requirements, minimum lot size and dwelling standards established by applicable provisions of Goal 4, ORS 215 and OAR 660, division 6, were all enacted or adopted after the claimants' family acquired the subject property. These laws restrict the use of the subject property relative to the uses allowed when the claimants' family acquired the property.

3. Effect of Regulations on Fair Market Value

In order to establish a valid claim, ORS 197.352(1) requires that any land use regulation described in Section V.(2) of this report must have "the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, or any interest therein."

Findings of Fact

The claim includes an estimate of $400,000 as the reduction in the subject property's fair market value due to regulations that prevent the desired division and development of the property. This amount is based on the claimants' estimate of the value of a new parcel with a dwelling.

Conclusions

As explained in Section V.(1) of this report, the claimants are Dennis and Shirley Pikkarainen whose family members acquired the subject property in 1946. Under ORS 197.352, the claimants are due compensation for land use regulations that restrict the use of the property in a manner that reduces its fair market value. Based on the findings and conclusions in Section V.(2) of this report, laws enacted or adopted since the claimants' family acquired the subject property restrict the desired division and development of the property. The claimants estimate the reduction in value due to the restrictions to be $400,000.

Without an appraisal or other documentation, it is not possible to substantiate the specific dollar amount the claimants demand for compensation. Nevertheless, based on the submitted information, the department determines that it is more likely than not that the fair market value of the subject property has been reduced to some extent as a result of land use regulations enforced by the Commission or the department since the claimants' family acquired the property.

4. Exemptions Under ORS 197.352

ORS 197.352 does not apply to certain land use regulations. In addition, under ORS 197.352(3), certain types of laws are exempt from the statute.

Findings of Fact

The claim is based on state land use regulations that restrict the use of the subject property relative to the uses permitted when the claimants' family acquired the property, including applicable provisions of Goal 4, ORS 215 and OAR 660, division 6, which Clackamas County has implemented through its current TBR zone. All of these land use regulations were enacted or adopted after the claimants' family acquired the subject property.

Conclusions

It appears that none of the general statutory, goal and rule restrictions on residential division and development of the subject property were in effect when the claimants' family acquired the property in 1946. As a result, these laws are not exempt under ORS 197.352(3)(E). Laws in effect when the claimants' family acquired the subject property are exempt under ORS 197.352(3)(E) and do not provide a basis for compensation. In addition, the department notes that ORS 215.730 and OAR 660, division 6, include standards for siting dwellings in forest zones. These provisions include fire protection standards for dwellings and for surrounding forest lands. ORS 197.352(3)(B) specifically exempts regulations "restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety, such as fire and building codes. . . ." Accordingly, siting standards for dwellings in forest zones in ORS 215.730 and OAR 660, division 6, are exempt under ORS 197.352(3)(B). Other land use laws enacted or adopted for a purpose set forth in ORS 197.352(3)(A) to (D) are also exempt and would not provide a basis for compensation.

VI. FORM OF RELIEF

ORS 197.352(1) provides for payment of compensation to an owner of private real property if the Commission or the department has enforced laws that restrict the use of the property in a manner that reduces its fair market value. In lieu of compensation, the department may choose to not apply the law in order to allow the present owner to carry out a use of the property permitted at the time the current owner acquired the property. The Commission, by rule, has directed that if the department determines a claim is valid, the Director of the department must provide only non-monetary relief unless and until funds are appropriated by the legislature to pay claims.

Findings of Fact

Based on the findings and conclusions set forth in this report, laws enforced by the Commission or the department restrict the claimants' ability to divide the 39.4-acre property to create one additional, approximately 1-acre parcel and to develop a dwelling on that parcel. The claim asserts that the laws enforced by the Commission or the department reduce the fair market value of the subject property by $400,000. However, because the claim does not provide an appraisal or other specific documentation for how the specified restrictions reduce the fair market value of the subject property, a specific amount of compensation cannot be determined. Nevertheless, based on the record for this claim, the department acknowledges that the laws on which the claim is based likely have reduced the fair market value of the subject property to some extent.

No funds have been appropriated at this time for the payment of claims. In lieu of payment of compensation, ORS 197.352 authorizes the department to modify, remove or not apply all or parts of certain land use regulations to allow Dennis Pikkarainen to use the subject property for a use permitted at the time he acquired the property on November 30, 2002, and to allow Shirley Pikkarainen to use the subject property for a use permitted at the time she acquired the property on August 29, 2003.

At the time the claimants acquired the subject property it was zoned TBR by Clackamas County and subject to the current lot size and dwelling standards under Goal 4 and OAR 660, division 6, described in Section V.(2) of this report.

This report addresses only those state laws that are identified in the claim, or that the department is certain apply to the subject property based on the uses that the claimants have identified. Similarly, this report only addresses the exemptions provided for under ORS 197.352(3) that are clearly applicable given the information provided to the department in the claim. The claimants should be aware that the less information they have provided to the department in his claim, the greater the possibility that there may be additional laws that will later be determined to continue to apply to their use of the subject property.

Conclusions

Based on the record, the department recommends that the claim be approved, subject to the following terms:

1. In lieu of compensation under ORS 197.352, the State of Oregon will not apply the following laws to Dennis and Shirley Pikkarainen's division of the 39.4-acre property to create one additional, approximately 1-acre parcel and to their development of a dwelling on that parcel: applicable provisions of Goal 4, ORS 215 and OAR 660, division 6, enacted or adopted after each claimant acquired the subject property. These laws will not apply to the claimants only to the extent necessary to allow them to use the subject property for the use described in this report, and only to the extent that use was permitted when Dennis Pikkarainen acquired the property on November 30, 2002, and Shirley Pikkarainen acquired the property on August 29, 2003. The department acknowledges that the relief to which the claimants are entitled under ORS 197.352 will not allow the claimants to use the subject property in the manner set forth in the claim.

2. The action by the State of Oregon provides the state's authorization to the claimants to use the property, subject to the standards in effect on November 30, 2002, and August 29, 2003. On those dates, the property was subject to applicable provisions of Goal 4, ORS 215 and OAR 600, division 6, currently in effect.

3. To the extent that any law, order, deed, agreement or other legally enforceable public or private requirement provides that the subject property may not be used without a permit, license or other form of authorization or consent, the order will not authorize the use of the property unless the claimants first obtain that permit, license or other form of authorization or consent. Such requirements may include, but are not limited to: a building permit, a land use decision, a "permit" as defined in ORS 215.402 or 227.160, other permits or authorizations from local, state or federal agencies and restrictions on the use of the subject property imposed by private parties.

4. Any use of the subject property by the claimants under the terms of the order will remain subject to the following laws: (a) those laws not specified in (1) above; (b) any laws enacted or enforced by a public entity other than the Commission or the department; and (c) those laws not subject to ORS 197.352 including, without limitation, those laws exempted under ORS 197.352(3).

5. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing terms and conditions, in order for the claimants to use the subject property, it may be necessary for them to obtain a decision under ORS 197.352 from a city and/or county and/or metropolitan service district that enforces land use regulations applicable to the property. Nothing in this order relieves the claimants from the necessity of obtaining a decision under ORS 197.352 from a local public entity that has jurisdiction to enforce a land use regulation applicable to a use of the subject property by the claimants.

VII. COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT STAFF REPORT

The department issued its draft staff report on this claim on April 27, 2006. OAR 125-145-0100(3), provided an opportunity for the claimants or the claimants' authorized agent and any third parties who submitted comments under OAR 125-145-0080 to submit written comments, evidence and information in response to the draft staff report and recommendation. Comments received have been taken into account by the department in the issuance of this final report.