BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES,
THE DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF
THE STATE OF OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION UNDER ORS 197.352 (BALLOT MEASURE 37) OF Joe Van Haverbeke, CLAIMANT	))))	FINAL ORDER CLAIM NO. M 122314

Claimant: Joe Van Haverbeke (the Claimant)

Property: Township 1N, Range 11E (Section 5), Tax lots 401 and 500
Township 1N, Range 11 E, Section 6, Tax lot 300
Hood River County (the property)

Claim: The demand for compensation and any supporting information received from the Claimant by the State of Oregon (the Claim).

Claimant submitted the Claim to the State of Oregon under ORS 197.352. Under OAR 125-145-0010 *et seq.*, the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) referred the Claim to the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) as the regulating entity. This order is based on the record herein, including the Findings and Conclusions set forth in the Final Staff Report and Recommendation of DLCD (the DLCD Report) attached to and by this reference incorporated into this order.

ORDER

The Claim is denied as to laws administered by DLCD and the Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) for the reasons set forth in the DLCD Report.

This Order is entered by the Director of the DLCD as a final order of DLCD and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.352, OAR 660-002-0010(8), and OAR chapter 125, division 145, and by the Director of the DAS as a final order of DAS under ORS 197.352, OAR chapter 125, division 145, and ORS chapter 293.

FOR DLCD AND THE LAND
CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
COMMISSION:

Lane Shetterly, Director
DLCD
Dated this 25th day of July, 2006.

FOR the DEPARTMENT OF
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES:

Lindsay A. Ball, Director
DAS
Dated this 25th day of July, 2006.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to the following judicial remedies:

1. Judicial review under ORS 183.484: Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be filed in the Circuit Court for Marion County or the Circuit Court in the county in which you reside.

2. A cause of action under ORS 197.352 (Measure 37 (2004)): If a land use regulation continues to apply to the subject property more than 180 days after the present owner of the property has made written demand for compensation under ORS 197.352[1], the present owner of the property, or any interest therein, shall have a cause of action in the circuit court in which the real property is located.

(Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the Department's office at 635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150, Salem, Oregon 97301-2540)

[1] By order of the Marion County Circuit Court, "all time lines under Measure 37 [were] suspended indefinitely" on October 25, 2005. This suspension was lifted on March 13, 2006 by the court. As a result, a period of 139 days (the number of days the time lines were suspended) has been added to the 180-day time period under ORS 197.352(6) for claims that were pending with the state on October 25, 2005.

ORS 197.352 (BALLOT MEASURE 37) CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
Final Staff Report and Recommendation

July 25, 2006

STATE CLAIM NUMBER:	M122314
NAME OF CLAIMANT:	Joe Van Haverbeke
MAILING ADDRESS:	800 Northwest 6th Avenue, Suite 200 Portland, Oregon 97209
PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION:	Township 1N, Range 11E (Section 5) Tax lots 401 and 500 Hood River County Township 1N, Range 11 E, Section 6 Tax lot 300 Hood River County
OTHER CONTACT INFORMATION:	Scott Ashcom PO Box 4324 Portland, Oregon 97208
DATE RECEIVED BY DAS:	September 13, 2005
180-DAY DEADLINE:	July 29, 2006[1]

I. SUMMARY OF CLAIM

The claimant, C. Joseph Van Haverbeke, seeks compensation in the amount of $5,402,584 for the reduction in fair market value as a result of land use regulations that are alleged to restrict the use of certain private real property. The claimant desires compensation or the right to divide the 181.56-acre property into five-acre parcels and to develop a dwelling on each parcel. The subject property is located on Fir Mountain Road in Hood River County. (See claim.)

II. SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Based on the findings and conclusions set forth below, the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) has determined that this claim is not valid because the claimant is

[1] This date reflects 180 days from the date the claim was submitted, as extended by the 139 days that all timelines under Measure 37 were suspended during the pendency of *MacPherson v. Dept. of Admin. Srvcs.*, 340 Or 117 (2006).

not an owner of the subject property. (See the complete recommendation in Section VI of this report.)

III. COMMENTS ON THE CLAIM

Comments Received

On October 10, 2005, pursuant to Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 125-145-0080, the Oregon Department of Administrative Services (DAS) provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. According to DAS, two written comments were received in response to the 10-day notice.

One comment is relevant to whether the restriction of the claimant's use of the subject property reduces the fair market value of the property and whether the laws that are the basis for the claim are exempt under ORS 197.352(3). The comment has been considered by the department in preparing this report.

The other comment does not address whether the claim meets the criteria for relief under ORS 197.352. Comments concerning the effects a use of the subject property may have on surrounding areas are generally not something that the department is able to consider in determining whether to waive a state law. If funds do become available to pay compensation, then such effects may become relevant in determining which claims to pay compensation for instead of waive a state law. (See the comment letters in the department's claim file.)

IV. TIMELINESS OF CLAIM

Requirement

ORS 197.352(5), requires that a written demand for compensation be made:

1. For claims arising from land use regulations enacted prior to the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), within two years of that effective date, or the date the public entity applies the land use regulation as an approval criteria to an application submitted by the owner, whichever is later; or

2. For claims arising from land use regulations enacted after the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), within two years of the enactment of the land use regulation, or the date the owner of the property submits a land use application in which the land use regulation is an approval criteria, whichever is later.

Findings of Fact

This claim was submitted to DAS on September 13, 2005, for processing under OAR 125, division 145. The claim identifies an 80-acre minimum lot size and prohibition of single-family dwellings as the basis for the claim. Only laws that were enacted or adopted prior to December 2, 2004, are the basis for this claim.

Conclusions

The claim has been submitted within two years of the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), based on land use regulations enacted or adopted prior to December 2, 2004, and is therefore timely filed.

V. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

1. Ownership

ORS 197.352 provides for payment of compensation or relief from specific laws for "owners" as that term is defined in ORS 197.352. ORS 197.352(11)(C) defines "owner" as "the present owner of the property, or any interest therein."

Findings of Fact

The claimant, C. Joseph Van Haverbeke, states in the claim that he acquired the subject property on August 22, 1966, and now has an interest in the property as an owner. According to the information provided in the claim, on April 24, 2002, the claimant and another property owner, Keith T. Reiling, transferred the subject property to Fir Mountain Timber, LLC.[2] The claimant no longer owns the subject property. The Hood River County Assessor's Office confirms that Fir Mountain Timber, LLC owns the subject property.

Conclusions

The claimant, C. Joseph Van Haverbeke, has not established that he an "owner" of the subject property as that term is defined in ORS 197.352(11)(C).

2. The Laws That are the Basis for This Claim

In order to establish a valid claim, ORS 197.352(1) requires, in part, that a law must restrict the claimant's use of private real property in a manner that reduces the fair market value of the property relative to how the property could have been used at the time the claimant or a family member acquired the property.

As explained in Section V.(1), the claimant, C. Joseph Van Haverbeke, is not an "owner" of the subject property as that term is defined in ORS 197.352(11)(C). Therefore, no laws enforced by the Commission or the department restrict the claimant's use of private real property in a manner that reduces the fair market value of the property.

3. Effect of Regulations on Fair Market Value

In order to establish a valid claim, ORS 197.352(1) requires that the land use regulation(s) (described in Section V.(2) of this report) must have "the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, or any interest therein."

---

[2] Fir Mountain Timber, LLC is a domestic limited liability company currently registered with the Oregon Secretary of State.

As explained in Section V.(1) of this report, the claimant, C. Joseph Van Haverbeke, is not an "owner" of the subject property as that term is defined in ORS 197.352(11)(C). Therefore, no laws restrict his use of the subject property with the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property.

4. Exemptions Under ORS 197.352(3)

ORS 197.352 does not apply to certain land use regulations. In addition, under ORS 197.352(3), certain types of laws are exempt from ORS 197.352.

As explained in Section V.(1) of this report, the claimant, C. Joseph Van Haverbeke, is not an "owner" of the subject property as that term is defined in ORS 197.352(11)(C). Therefore, the issue of whether any laws are exempt from ORS 197.352 is not relevant.

VI. FORM OF RELIEF

ORS 197.352(1) provides for payment of compensation to an owner of private real property if the Commission or the department has enforced one or more laws that restrict the use of the property in a manner that reduces its fair market value. In lieu of compensation, the department may choose to not apply the law in order to allow the present owner to carry out a use of the property permitted at the time the present owner acquired the property. The Commission, by rule, has directed that if the department determines a claim is valid, the Director of the department must provide only non-monetary relief unless and until funds are appropriated by the legislature to pay claims.

Findings of Fact

Based on the record, the department finds that the claim is not valid because the claimant is not an owner of the subject property.

Conclusions

Based on the record before the department, the claimant, C. Joseph Van Haverbeke, has not established that he is entitled to relief under ORS 197.352(1) as a result of land use regulations enforced by the Commission or the department because he is not an owner of the subject property. Therefore, the department recommends that this claim be denied.

VII. COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT STAFF REPORT

The department issued its draft staff report on this claim on July 6, 2006. OAR 125-145-0100(3), provided an opportunity for the claimant or the claimant's authorized agent and any third parties who submitted comments under OAR 125-145-0080 to submit written comments, evidence and information in response to the draft staff report and recommendation. Comments received have been taken into account by the department in the issuance of this final report.