

**Amendments to the Transportation Planning Rule
OAR Chapter 660, Division 012**

July 2006

This document includes the text of rule amendments adopted by the Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) on June 29, 2006. Background information on the amendments is available from the Department of Land Conservation and Development and at the following web page:
<http://www.lcd.state.or.us/LCD/transplan.shtml>

New rule language is shown in bold and underlined. Deleted language is in brackets and struck over. To conserve space portions of the TPR that were not changed have been omitted from this document.

Purpose Statement	2
Metropolitan Planning	6
660-012-0016 Coordination with federally-required regional transportation plans in metropolitan areas	8
660-012-0035 Evaluation and Selection of Transportation System Alternatives.....	10
660-012-0055 Timing of Adoption and Update of Transportation System Plans; Exemptions	17
Transportation Project Development	22
Goal Exceptions	25
660-012-0070 Exceptions for Transportation Improvements on Rural Land	25
660-004-0000 Purpose	31
660-004-0022 Reasons Necessary to Justify an Exception Under Goal 2 Part II(c)	31
Minor and Housekeeping Amendments and Definitions	33
660-012-0005 Definitions	33
660-012-0020 Elements of Transportation System Plans	34
660-012-0025 Complying with the Goals in Preparing Transportation System Plans; Refinement Plans	35
660-012-0030 Determination of Transportation Needs	35
660-012-0045 Implementation of the Transportation System Plan	36
660-012-0055 Timing of Adoption and Update of Transportation System Plans; Exemptions	37
660-012-0065 Transportation Improvements on Rural Lands	38

Note: New language is shown in **bold and underlined**. Deletions are shown in brackets and ~~struck over~~.

PURPOSE STATEMENT

660-012-0000

Purpose

[1]

(1) This division implements Statewide Planning Goal 12 (Transportation) to provide and encourage a safe, convenient and economic transportation system. This division also implements provisions of other statewide planning goals related to transportation planning in order to plan and develop transportation facilities and services in close coordination with urban and rural development. The purpose of this division is to direct transportation planning in coordination with land use planning to:

(a) Promote the development of transportation systems adequate to serve statewide, regional and local transportation needs and the mobility needs of the transportation disadvantaged;

(b) Encourage and support the availability of a variety of transportation choices for moving people that balance vehicular use with other transportation modes, including walking, bicycling and transit in order to avoid principal reliance upon any one mode of transportation;

(c) Provide for safe and convenient vehicular, transit, pedestrian, and bicycle access and circulation;

(d) Facilitate the safe, efficient and economic flow of freight and other goods and services within regions and throughout the state through a variety of modes including road, air, rail and marine transportation;

(e) Protect existing and planned transportation facilities, corridors and sites for their identified functions;

Deleted: The purpose of this Division is to implement Statewide Planning Goal 12 (Transportation) and promote the development of safe, convenient and economic transportation systems that are designed to reduce reliance on the automobile so that the air pollution, traffic and other livability problems faced by urban areas in other parts of the country might be avoided. It is also the purpose of this Division to explain how local governments and state agencies responsible for transportation planning demonstrate compliance with other statewide planning goals and to identify how transportation facilities are provided on rural lands consistent with the goals. The division sets requirements or coordination among affected levels of government for preparation, adoption, refinement, implementation and amendment of transportation system plans. Transportation system plans adopted pursuant to this Division fulfill the requirements for public facilities planning required under ORS 197.712(2)(e), Goal 11 and OAR Chapter 660, Division 11, as they relate to transportation facilities. Through measures designed to reduce reliance on the automobile, this division is also intended to assure that the planned transportation system supports a pattern of travel and land use in urban areas which will avoid the air pollution, traffic and livability problems faced by other areas of the country. This portion of the rule aims to improve the livability of urban areas by promoting changes in land use patterns and the transportation system that make it more convenient for people to walk, bicycle and use transit, and drive less to meet their daily needs. Changing land use and travel patterns will also complement state and local efforts to meet other objectives, including containing urban development, reducing the cost of public services, protecting farm and forest land, reducing air, water and noise pollution, conserving energy and reducing emissions of greenhouse gases that contribute to global climate change. The result of applying these portions of the rule will vary within urban areas. Some parts of urban areas, such as downtowns, pedestrian districts, transit-oriented developments and other mixed-use, pedestrian friendly centers, will be highly convenient for a variety of modes, including walking, bicycling and transit, while others will be auto-oriented and include more modest measures to accommodate access and circulation by other modes. The rules in this Division ... [1]

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Tabs: 0", List tab + Not at 0.25"

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

- (f) Provide for the construction and implementation of transportation facilities, improvements and services necessary to support acknowledged comprehensive plans;
- (g) Identify how transportation facilities are provided on rural lands consistent with the goals;
- (h) Ensure coordination among affected local governments and transportation service providers and consistency between state, regional and local transportation plans; and
- (i) Ensure that changes to comprehensive plans are supported by adequate planned transportation facilities.

(2) In meeting the purposes described in section (1), coordinated land use and transportation plans should ensure that the planned transportation system supports a pattern of travel and land use in urban areas that will avoid the air pollution, traffic and livability problems faced by other large urban areas of the country through measures designed to increase transportation choices and make more efficient use of the existing transportation system.

(3) The extent of planning required by this division and the outcome of individual transportation plans will vary depending on community size, needs and circumstances. Generally, larger and faster growing communities and regions will need to prepare more comprehensive and detailed plans, while smaller communities and rural areas will have more general plans. For all communities, the mix of planned transportation facilities and services should be sufficient to ensure economic, sustainable and environmentally sound mobility and accessibility for all Oregonians. Coordinating land use and transportation planning will also complement efforts to meet other state and local objectives, including containing urban development, reducing the cost of public services, protecting farm and forest land, reducing air, water and noise pollution, conserving energy and reducing emissions of greenhouse gases that contribute to global climate change.

(a) In all urban areas, coordinated land use and transportation plans are intended to provide safe and convenient vehicular circulation and to enhance, promote and facilitate

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0"

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0"

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Tabs: Not at 0.5"

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

1 safe and convenient pedestrian and bicycle travel by planning a well-connected network of
2 streets and supporting improvements for all travel modes.

3 (b) In urban areas that contain a population greater than 25,000 persons, coordinated
4 land use and transportation plans are intended to improve livability and accessibility by
5 promoting the provision of transit service where feasible and more efficient performance of
6 existing transportation facilities through transportation system management and demand
7 management measures.

8 (c) Within metropolitan areas, coordinated land use and transportation plans are
9 intended to improve livability and accessibility by promoting changes in the transportation
10 system and land use patterns. A key outcome of this effort is a reduction in reliance on
11 single occupant automobile use, particularly during peak periods. To accomplish this
12 outcome, this division promotes increased planning for alternative modes and street
13 connectivity and encourages land use patterns throughout urban areas that make it more
14 convenient for people to walk, bicycle, use transit, use automobile travel more efficiently,
15 and drive less to meet their daily needs. The result of applying these portions of the
16 division will vary within metropolitan areas. Some parts of urban areas, such as
17 downtowns, pedestrian districts, transit-oriented developments and other mixed-use,
18 pedestrian-friendly centers, will be highly convenient for a variety of modes, including
19 walking, bicycling and transit, while others will be auto-oriented and include more modest
20 measures to accommodate access and circulation by other modes.

21
22 (4) This division sets requirements for coordination among affected levels of government
23 and transportation service providers for preparation, adoption, refinement,
24 implementation and amendment of transportation system plans. Transportation system
25 plans adopted pursuant to this division fulfill the requirements for public facilities required
26 under ORS 197.712(2)(e), Goal 11 and OAR chapter 660, division 11, as they relate to
27 transportation facilities. The rules in this division are not intended to make local
28 government determinations “land use decisions” under ORS 197.015(10). The rules
29 recognize, however, that under existing statutory and case law, many determinations
30 relating to the adoption and implementation of transportation plans will be land use
31 decisions.

METROPOLITAN PLANNING

660-012-0016

Coordination with federally-required regional transportation plans in metropolitan areas

(1) In metropolitan areas, local governments shall prepare, adopt, amend and update transportation system plans required by this division in coordination with regional transportation plans (RTPs) prepared by MPOs required by federal law. Insofar as possible, regional transportation system plans for metropolitan areas shall be accomplished through a single coordinated process that complies with the applicable requirements of federal law and this division. Nothing in this rule is intended to make adoption or amendment of a regional transportation plan by a metropolitan planning organization a land use decision under Oregon law.

(2) When an MPO adopts or amends a regional transportation plan¹ that relates to compliance with this division, the affected local governments shall review the adopted plan or amendment and either:

(a) Make a finding that the proposed regional transportation plan amendment or update is consistent with the applicable provisions of adopted regional and local transportation system plan and comprehensive plan and compliant with applicable provisions of this division; or

(b) Adopt amendments to the relevant regional or local transportation system plan that make the regional transportation plan and the applicable transportation system plans consistent with one another and compliant with applicable provisions of this division.

¹ Federal regulations require that MPOs provide for early and extensive consultation with local governments in the preparation and review of amendments to regional transportation plans. This process provides ample opportunities for coordination and for local governments to assess consistency and, as needed, to propose amendments to regional and local transportation system plans. (This footnote is for explanatory purposes only and is not part of the rule language.)

1 Necessary plan amendments or updates shall be prepared and adopted in coordination
 2 with *the* federally-required plan update or amendment. Such amendments shall be
 3 initiated no later than 30 days from the adoption of the RTP amendment or update and
 4 shall be adopted no later than one year from the adoption of the RTP amendment or
 5 update or according to a work plan approved by the commission. A plan amendment is
 6 “initiated” for purposes of this subsection where the affected local government files a post-
 7 acknowledgement plan amendment notice with the department as provided in OAR
 8 chapter 660, division 18.

9
 10 In the Portland Metropolitan area, compliance with this section shall be accomplished by
 11 Metro through adoption of required findings or an amendment to the regional
 12 transportation system plan.

13
 14 (3) Adoption or amendment of a regional transportation plan relates to compliance
 15 with this division for purposes of section (2) if it does one or more of the following:

- 16
 17 (a) Changes plan policies;
 18 (b) Adds or deletes a project from the list of planned transportation facilities, services or
 19 improvements or from the financially-constrained project list required by federal law;
 20 (c) Modifies the general location of a planned transportation facility or improvement;
 21 (d) Changes the functional classification of a transportation facility; or
 22 (e) Changes the planning period or adopts or modifies the population or employment
 23 forecast or allocation upon which the plan is based.

24
 25 (4) The following amendments to a regional transportation plan do not relate to
 26 compliance with this division for purposes of section (2):

- 27
 28 (a) Adoption of an air quality conformity determination;
 29 (b) Changes to a federal revenue projection;
 30 (c) Changes to estimated cost of a planned transportation project; or

- 1 (d) Deletion of a project from the list of planned projects where the project has been
2 constructed or completed.
- 3 (5) Adoption or amendment of a regional transportation plan that extends the planning
4 period beyond that specified in the applicable acknowledged comprehensive plan or
5 regional transportation system plan is consistent with the requirements of this rule where
6 the following conditions are met:
- 7
- 8 (a) The future year population and employment forecasts are consistent with those
9 adopted by the relevant county or counties for the metropolitan area. Where a county's
10 adopted population or employment forecast is for a period of time shorter than the
11 federally-required planning period, an MPO forecast is consistent with the county's
12 adopted forecast if it extrapolates the adopted county forecast consistent with:
- 13
- 14 (A) The adopted forecast for long-term growth rate for the county, and
15 (B) A continuation of metropolitan area share of county population and employment
16 growth;
- 17
- 18 (b) Land needed to accommodate future urban density population and employment and
19 other urban uses is identified in a manner consistent with Goal 14 and relevant rules;
- 20
- 21 (c) Urban density population and employment are allocated to designated centers and
22 other identified areas to provide for implementation of the metropolitan area's integrated
23 land use and transportation plan or strategy; and
- 24
- 25 (d) Urban density population and employment or other urban uses are allocated to areas
26 outside of an acknowledged urban growth boundary only where:
- 27
- 28 (A) The allocation is done in conjunction with consideration by local governments of
29 possible urban growth boundary amendments consistent with Goal 14 and relevant rules,
30 and

1 **(B) The RTP clearly identifies the proposed UGB amendments and any related projects as**
2 **illustrative² and subject to further review and approval by the affected local governments.**

3
4 **660-012-0035**

5 **Evaluation and Selection of Transportation System Alternatives**

6 (1) The TSP shall be based upon evaluation of potential impacts of system alternatives that can
7 reasonably be expected to meet the identified transportation needs in a safe manner and at a
8 reasonable cost with available technology. The following shall be evaluated as components of
9 system alternatives:

10 (a) Improvements to existing facilities or services;

11 (b) New facilities and services, including different modes or combinations of modes that could
12 reasonably meet identified transportation needs;

13 (c) Transportation system management measures;

14 (d) Demand management measures; and

15 (e) A no-build system alternative required by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 or
16 other laws.

17 (2) Local governments in MPO areas of larger than 1,000,000 population shall, and other
18 governments may also, evaluate alternative land use designations, densities, and design standards
19 to meet local and regional transportation needs. Local governments preparing such a strategy
20 shall consider:

21 (a) Increasing residential densities and establishing minimum residential densities within one
22 quarter mile of transit lines, major regional employment areas, and major regional retail
23 shopping areas;

² *Illustrative projects* are a category of projects provided for in federal law. Illustrative projects are included in the RTP but are not part of the MPOs financially-constrained project list: i.e. they are not scheduled for funding. (This footnote is for explanatory purposes only and is not part of the rule language.)

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING RULE

1 (b) Increasing allowed densities in new commercial office and retail developments in designated
2 community centers;

3 (c) Designating lands for neighborhood shopping centers within convenient walking and cycling
4 distance of residential areas; **and**

5 (d) Designating land uses to provide a better balance between jobs and housing considering:

6 (A) The total number of jobs and total of number of housing units expected in the area or
7 subarea;

8 (B) The availability of affordable housing in the area or subarea; and

9 (C) Provision of housing opportunities in close proximity to employment areas.

10 (3) The following standards shall be used to evaluate and select alternatives:

11 (a) The transportation system shall support urban and rural development by providing types and
12 levels of transportation facilities and services appropriate to serve the land uses identified in the
13 acknowledged comprehensive plan;

14 (b) The transportation system shall be consistent with state and federal standards for protection
15 of air, land and water quality including the State Implementation Plan under the Federal Clean
16 Air Act and the State Water Quality Management Plan;

17 (c) The transportation system shall minimize adverse economic, social, environmental and
18 energy consequences;

19 (d) The transportation **system shall minimize conflicts and facilitate connections between**
20 **modes of transportation; and**

21 (e) The transportation system shall avoid principal reliance on any one mode of transportation **by**
22 **increasing transportation choices to** reduce principal reliance on the automobile. In MPO
23 areas this shall be accomplished by selecting transportation alternatives which meet the
24 requirements in section (4) of this rule.

Deleted: and shall

1 (4) In MPO areas, regional and local TSPs shall be designed to achieve adopted standards for
2 increasing transportation choices and reducing reliance on the automobile. Adopted
3 standards are intended as means of measuring progress of metropolitan areas towards developing
4 and implementing transportation systems and land use plans that increase transportation
5 choices and reduce reliance on the automobile. It is anticipated that metropolitan areas will
6 accomplish reduced reliance by changing land use patterns and transportation systems so that
7 walking, cycling, and use of transit are highly convenient and so that, on balance, people need to
8 and are likely to drive less than they do today.;

Deleted: [the objectives listed in (a)-(c) below for reducing automobile vehicle miles traveled per capita for the MPO area]

Deleted: [The VMT target alternative]

9 (5) MPO areas shall adopt standards to demonstrate progress towards increasing
10 transportation choices and reducing automobile reliance as provided for in this rule;

Deleted: [(a) In MPO areas of less than 1 million population, a 5% reduction within 20 years of the adoption of a plan as required by OAR 660-012-0055(1);] [(b) In MPO areas of more than 1 million population, 10% reduction within 20 years of adoption of a plan as required by OAR 660-012-0055(1); and] [(c) Through subsequent planning efforts, an additional 5 percent reduction within 30 years of adoption of a plan as required by OAR 660-012-0055(1).]

11 (a) The commission shall approve standards by order upon demonstration by the metropolitan
12 area that:

Deleted: [The Commission may authorize metropolitan areas to use alternative standards in place of the VMT reduction standard in 0055(4)]

13 (A) Achieving the standard will result in a reduction in reliance on automobiles;

Deleted: [achieving reduced]

14 (B) Achieving the standard will accomplish a significant increase in the availability or
15 convenience of alternative modes of transportation;

Deleted: [section]

16 (C) Achieving the standard is likely to result in a significant increase in the share of trips made
17 by alternative modes, including walking, bicycling, ridesharing and transit;

Deleted: [such alternative]

Deleted: [alternative]

Deleted: [alternative]

Deleted: [alternative]

18 (D) VMT per capita is unlikely to increase by more than [5%] **five percent**; and

19 (E) The standard is measurable and reasonably related to achieving the goal of increasing
20 transportation choices and reducing reliance on the automobile as described in OAR 660-
21 012-0000.

Deleted: [alternative]

Deleted: [reduced]

22 (b) In reviewing proposed standards for compliance with **subsection** (a), the commission shall
23 give credit to regional and local plans, programs, and actions implemented since 1990 that have
24 already contributed to achieving the objectives specified in **paragraphs** (A)–(E) above;

Deleted: [alternative]

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING RULE

1 (c) If a plan using a ~~standard~~, approved pursuant to this rule, is expected to result in an increase
2 in VMT per capita, then the cities and counties in the metropolitan area shall prepare and adopt
3 an integrated land use and transportation plan including the elements listed in **paragraphs** (A)–
4 (E) below. Such a plan shall be prepared in coordination with the MPO and shall be adopted
5 within three years of the approval of the ~~[alternative]~~ standard.[=]

Deleted: n

Deleted: [alternative]

6 (A) Changes to land use plan designations, densities, and design standards listed in [0035]
7 **subsections** (2)(a)–(d);

8 (B) A transportation demand management plan that includes significant new transportation
9 demand management measures;

10 (C) A public transit plan that includes a significant expansion in transit service;

11 (D) Policies to review and manage major roadway improvements to ensure that their effects are
12 consistent with achieving the adopted strategy for reduced reliance on the automobile, including
13 policies that provide for the following:

14 (i) An assessment of whether improvements would result in development or travel that is
15 inconsistent with what is expected in the plan;

16 (ii) Consideration of alternative measures to meet transportation needs;

17 (iii) Adoption of measures to limit possible unintended effects on travel and land use patterns
18 including access management, limitations on subsequent plan amendments, phasing of
19 improvements, etc.; **and**

20 [For purposes of this section a "major roadway expansion" includes new arterial roads or streets
21 and highways, the addition of travel lanes, and construction of interchanges to a limited access
22 highway.]

23 (E) Plan and ordinance provisions that meet all other applicable requirements of this division.

Deleted: [Alternative]

24 (d) Standards may include but are not limited to:

- 1 (A) Modal share of alternative modes, including walking, bicycling, and transit trips;
- 2 (B) Vehicle hours of travel per capita;
- 3 (C) Vehicle trips per capita;
- 4 (D) Measures of accessibility by alternative modes (i.e. walking, bicycling and transit); or
- 5 (E) The Oregon Benchmark for a reduction in peak hour commuting by single occupant vehicles.

6 (e) Metropolitan areas shall adopt TSP policies to evaluate progress towards achieving the
7 standard or standards adopted and approved pursuant to this rule. Such evaluation shall
8 occur at regular intervals corresponding with federally-required updates of the regional
9 transportation plan. This shall include monitoring and reporting of VMT per capita.

Deleted: [that receive approval of an alternative standard]

Deleted: [alternative]

Deleted: [including]

10 ~~[(6) Regional TSPs shall specify measurable objectives for each of the following and~~
11 ~~demonstrate how the combination selected will accomplish the objectives in section (4) of this~~
12 ~~rule.]~~

13 [(6) A metropolitan area may also accomplish compliance with requirements of subsection
14 (3)(e), sections (4) and (5) by demonstrating to the commission that adopted plans and
15 measures are likely to achieve a five percent reduction in VMT per capita over the 20-year
16 planning period. The commission shall consider and act on metropolitan area requests
17 under this section by order. A metropolitan area that receives approval under this section
18 shall adopt interim benchmarks for VMT reduction and shall evaluate progress in
19 achieving VMT reduction at each update of the regional transportation system plan.

Deleted: [(a) An increase in the modal share of non-automobile vehicle trips (i.e., transit, bicycle, pedestrian); for example, a doubling of the modal share of non-automobile trips;]¶
Deleted: [(b) An increase in average automobile occupancy (i.e., persons per vehicle) during; for example, an increase to an average of 1.5 persons per vehicle; and]¶

Deleted: (e) Where appropriate, a decrease in the number or length of automobile vehicle trips per capita due to demand management programs, rearranging of land uses or other means.

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: [interim]

20 (7) Regional and local TSPs shall include benchmarks to assure satisfactory progress towards
21 meeting the approved standard or standards adopted pursuant to this rule at regular
22 intervals over the planning period. MPOs and local governments shall evaluate progress in
23 meeting benchmarks at each update of the regional transportation plan. Where benchmarks
24 are not met, the relevant TSP shall be amended to include new or additional efforts adequate to
25 meet the requirements of this rule ~~[section]~~.

Deleted: [requirements of this section at five year]

Deleted: [interim]

Deleted: [five year intervals from adoption of the regional and local TSPs]

Deleted: [interim]

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING RULE

1 (8) The commission shall, at regular intervals, evaluate the results of efforts to achieve the
2 reduction in VMT and the effectiveness of approved plans and standards in achieving the
3 objective of increasing transportation choices and reducing reliance on the automobile.

- Deleted: [five-year]
- Deleted: [from the adoption of this rule,]
- Deleted: [the]
- Deleted: [standard]
- Deleted: [This shall include evaluating the requirements for parking plans and a reduction in the number of parking spaces per capita.]

1 (9) Where existing and committed transportation facilities and services have adequate capacity to
2 support the land uses in the acknowledged comprehensive plan, the local government shall not
3 be required to evaluate alternatives as provided in this ~~[section]~~ **rule**.

4
5 (10) Transportation uses or improvements listed in OAR 660-012-0065(3)(d) to (g) and (o) and
6 located in an urban fringe may be included in a TSP only if the improvement project identified in
7 the Transportation System Plan as described in section ~~(12)~~ **(12)** of this rule, will not significantly
8 reduce peak hour travel time for the route as determined pursuant to section ~~(11)~~ **(11)** of this rule, or
9 the jurisdiction determines that the following alternatives can not reasonably satisfy the purpose
10 of the improvement project:

- 11 (a) Improvements to transportation facilities and services within the urban growth boundary;
- 12 (b) Transportation system management measures that do not significantly increase capacity;
- 13 (c) Transportation demand management measures. The jurisdiction needs only to consider
14 alternatives that are safe and effective, consistent with applicable standards and that can be
15 implemented at a reasonable cost using available technology.

16 (11) An improvement project significantly reduces peak hour travel time when, based on recent
17 data, the time to travel the route is reduced more than 15~~[%]~~ **percent** during weekday peak hour
18 conditions over the length of the route located within the urban fringe. For purposes of
19 measuring travel time, a route shall be identified by the predominant traffic flows in the project
20 area.

21 (12) A "transportation improvement project" described in section ~~(10)~~ **(10)** of this rule:

- 22 (a) Is intended to solve all of the reasonably foreseeable transportation problems within a general
23 geographic location, within the planning period; and
- 24 (b) Has utility as an independent transportation project.

Deleted: [(11)]

Deleted: [(10)]

Deleted: [(9)]

1 **660-012-0055**

2 **Timing of Adoption and Update of Transportation System Plans; Exemptions**

3 (1) MPOs shall complete regional TSPs for their planning areas by May 8, 1996. For those areas
4 within a MPO, cities and counties shall adopt local TSPs and implementing measures within one
5 year following completion of the regional TSP:

6 (a) If by May 8, 2000, a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) has not adopted a regional
7 transportation system plan that meets the VMT reduction standard in **OAR 660-012-0035(4)** and
8 the metropolitan area does not have an approved alternative standard established pursuant to
9 **OAR 660-012-0035(5)**, then the cities and counties within the metropolitan area shall prepare
10 and adopt an integrated land use and transportation plan as outlined in **OAR 660-012-0035(5)(c)**
11 (A)-(E). Such a plan shall be prepared in coordination with the MPO and shall be adopted within
12 three years;

13 (b) When an area is designated as an MPO or is added to an existing MPO, the affected
14 local governments shall, within one year of adoption of the regional transportation plan,
15 adopt a regional TSP in compliance with applicable requirements of this division and
16 amend local transportation system plans to be consistent with the regional TSP.

Deleted: [Urban areas designated as MPOs subsequent to the adoption of this rule shall adopt TSPs in compliance with applicable requirements of this rule within three years of designation.]

17 (c) Local governments in metropolitan areas may request and the commission may by
18 order grant an extension for completing an integrated land use and transportation plan
19 required by this division. Local governments requesting an extension shall set forth a
20 schedule for completion of outstanding work needed to complete an integrated land use
21 and transportation plan as set forth in OAR 660-012-0035. This shall include, as
22 appropriate:

23
24 (A) Adoption of a long-term land use and transportation vision for the region;

25 (B) Identification of centers and other land use designations intended to implement the
26 vision;

1 (C) Adoption of housing and employment allocations to centers and land use designations;
2 and

3 (D) Adoption of implementing plans and zoning for designated centers and other land use
4 designations.

5
6 (d) Local governments within metropolitan areas that are not in compliance with the
7 requirements of this division to adopt or implement a standard to increase transportation
8 choices or have not completed an integrated land use and transportation plan as required
9 by this division shall review plan and land use regulation amendments and adopt findings
10 that demonstrate that the proposed amendment supports implementation of the region's
11 adopted vision, strategy, policies or plans to increase transportation choices and reduce
12 reliance on the automobile.

13
14 A plan or land use regulation amendment supports implementation of an adopted regional
15 strategy, policy or plan for purposes of this section if it achieves the following as applicable:

16
17 (A) Implements the strategy or plan through adoption of specific plans or zoning that
18 authorizes uses or densities that achieve desired land use patterns;

19 (B) Allows uses in designated centers or neighborhoods that accomplish the adopted
20 regional vision, strategy, plan or policies; and

21 (C) Allows uses outside designated centers or neighborhood that either support or do not
22 detract from implementation of desired development within nearby centers.

23
24 (2) For areas outside an MPO, cities and counties shall complete and adopt regional and local
25 TSPs and implementing measures by May 8, 1997.

26
27 (3) By November 8, 1993, affected cities and counties shall, for non-MPO urban areas of 25,000
28 or more, adopt land use and subdivision ordinances or amendments required by OAR 660-012-
29 0045(3), (4)(a)-(f) and (5)(d). By May 8, 1994 affected cities and counties within MPO areas
30 shall adopt land use and subdivision ordinances or amendments required by OAR 660-012-
31 0045(3), (4)(a)-(e) and (5)(e). Affected cities and counties which do not have acknowledged

1 ordinances addressing the requirements of this section by the deadlines listed above shall apply
2 OAR 660-012-0045(3), (4)(a)-(g) and (5)(e) directly to all land use decisions and all limited land
3 use decisions.

4 (4)(a) Affected cities and counties that either:

5 (A) Have acknowledged plans and land use regulations that comply with this rule as of May 8,
6 1995, may continue to apply those acknowledged plans and land use regulations; or

7 (B) Have plan and land use regulations adopted to comply with this rule as of April 12, 1995,
8 may continue to apply the provisions of this rule as they existed as of April 12, 1995, and may
9 continue to pursue acknowledgment of the adopted plans and land use regulations under those
10 same rule provisions provided such adopted plans and land use regulations are acknowledged by
11 April 12, 1996. Affected cities and counties that qualify and make this election under this
12 ~~subsection~~ **paragraph** shall update their plans and land use regulations to comply with the
13 1995 amendments to OAR 660-012-0045 as part of their transportation system plans.

14 (b) Affected cities and counties that do not have acknowledged plans and land use regulations as
15 provided in subsection (a) of this section, shall apply relevant sections of this rule to land use
16 decisions and limited land use decisions until land use regulations complying with this amended
17 rule have been adopted.

18 (5) Cities and counties shall update their TSPs and implementing measures as necessary to
19 comply with this division at each periodic review subsequent to initial compliance with this
20 division. **Local governments within metropolitan areas shall amend local transportation**
21 **system plans to be consistent with an adopted regional transportation system plan within**
22 **one year of the adoption of an updated regional transportation system plan or by a date**
23 **specified in the adopted regional transportation system plan.**

Deleted: [This shall include a reevaluation of the land use designations, densities and design standards in the following circumstances:]

Deleted: [(a) If the interim benchmarks established pursuant to OAR 660-012-0035(6) have not been achieved; or]

Deleted: [(b) If a refinement plan has not been adopted consistent with the requirements of OAR 660-012-0025(3).]

1 (6) The director may grant a whole or partial exemption from the requirements of this division to
2 cities under 10,000 population, and counties under 25,000 population, **and for areas within a**
3 **county within an urban growth boundary that contains a population less than 10,000.**

4 Eligible jurisdictions may request that the director approve an exemption from all or part of the
5 requirements in this division. **Exemptions shall be for a period determined by the director or**
6 **until the jurisdiction's next periodic review, whichever is shorter.**

- Deleted: [2,500]
- Deleted: [outside MPO areas]
- Formatted: No underline
- Deleted: [- within five years following the adoption of this rule or at subsequent periodic reviews.]
- Formatted: Font: Bold
- Deleted: [- until the jurisdiction's next periodic review:]

7 (a) The director's decision to approve an exemption shall be based upon the following factors:

8 (A) Whether the existing and committed transportation system is generally adequate to meet
9 likely transportation needs;

10 (B) Whether the new development or population growth is anticipated in the planning area over
11 the next five years;

12 (C) Whether major new transportation facilities are proposed which would affect the planning
13 areas;

14 (D) Whether deferral of planning requirements would conflict with accommodating state or
15 regional transportation needs; and

16 (E) Consultation with the Oregon Department of Transportation on the need for transportation
17 planning in the area, including measures needed to protect existing transportation facilities.

18 (b) The director's decision to grant an exemption under this section is appealable to the
19 commission as provided in OAR 660-002-0020 (Delegation of Authority Rule)

20 (7) Portions of TSPs and implementing measures adopted as part of comprehensive plans prior to
21 the responsible jurisdiction's periodic review shall be reviewed pursuant to OAR chapter 660,
22 division 18, Post Acknowledgment Procedures.

TRANSPORTATION PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

Section 660-012-0050

Transportation Project Development

(1) For projects identified by ODOT pursuant to OAR chapter 731, division 15, project development shall occur in the manner set forth in that division.

(2) Regional TSPs shall provide for coordinated project development among affected local governments. The process shall include:

(a) Designation of a lead agency to prepare and coordinate project development;

(b) A process for citizen involvement, including public notice and hearing, if project development involves land use decision-making. The process shall include notice to affected transportation facility and service providers, MPOs, and ODOT;

(c) A process for developing and adopting findings of compliance with applicable statewide planning goals, if any. This shall include a process to allow amendments to acknowledged comprehensive plans where such amendments are necessary to accommodate the project; **and**

(d) A process for developing and adopting findings of compliance with applicable acknowledged comprehensive plan policies and land use regulations of individual local governments, if any. This shall include a process to allow amendments to acknowledged comprehensive plans or land use regulations where such amendments are necessary to accommodate the project.

(3) Project development addresses how a transportation facility or improvement authorized in a TSP is designed and constructed. This may or may not require land use decision-making. The focus of project development is project implementation, e.g. alignment, preliminary design and mitigation of impacts. During project development, projects authorized in an acknowledged TSP shall not be subject to further justification with regard to their need, mode, function, or general location. For purposes of this section, a project is authorized in a

~~Deleted: [(3) Project development involves land use decision-making to the extent that issues of compliance with applicable requirements remain outstanding at the project development phase. Issues may include, but are not limited to, compliance with regulations protecting or regulating development within floodways and other hazard areas, identified Goal 5 resource areas, estuarine and coastal shoreland areas, and the Willamette River Greenway. Where project development involves land use decision-making, all unresolved issues of compliance with applicable acknowledged comprehensive plan policies and land use regulations shall be addressed and findings of compliance adopted prior to project approval. To the extent compliance has already been determined during transportation system planning, including adoption of a refinement plan, affected local governments may rely on and reference the earlier findings of compliance with applicable standards.]~~

1 TSP where the TSP makes decisions about transportation need, mode, function and general
2 location for the facility or improvement as required by this division.

3
4 (a) Project development does not involve land use decision-making to the extent that it
5 involves transportation facilities, services or improvements identified in OAR 660-012-
6 0045(1)(a); the application of uniform road improvement design standards and other
7 uniformly accepted engineering design standards and practices that are applied during
8 project implementation; procedures and standards for right-of-way acquisition as set forth in
9 the Oregon Revised Statutes; or the application of local, state or federal rules and regulations
10 that are not a part of the local government's land use regulations.

11
12 (b) Project development involves land use decision-making to the extent that issues of
13 compliance with applicable requirements requiring interpretation or the exercise of policy or
14 legal discretion or judgment remain outstanding at the project development phase. These
15 requirements may include, but are not limited to, regulations protecting or regulating
16 development within floodways and other hazard areas, identified Goal 5 resource areas,
17 estuarine and coastal shoreland areas, and the Willamette River Greenway, and local
18 regulations establishing land use standards or processes for selecting specific alignments.
19 They also may include transportation improvements required to comply with ORS 215.296 or
20 OAR 660-012-0065(5). When project development involves land use decision-making, all
21 unresolved issues of compliance with applicable acknowledged comprehensive plan policies
22 and land use regulations shall be addressed and findings of compliance adopted prior to
23 project approval.

24
25 (c) To the extent compliance with local requirements has already been determined during
26 transportation system planning, including adoption of a refinement plan, affected local
27 governments may rely on and reference the earlier findings of compliance with applicable
28 standards.

29

1 (4) Except as provided in [Sub]section (1) of this [section] **rule**, where an Environmental Impact
2 Statement (EIS) is prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, project
3 development shall be coordinated with the preparation of the EIS. All unresolved issues of
4 compliance with applicable acknowledged comprehensive plan policies and land use regulations
5 shall be addressed and findings of compliance adopted prior to issuance of the Final EIS.

6

7 (5) If a local government decides not to build a project authorized by the TSP, it must evaluate
8 whether the needs that the project would serve could otherwise be satisfied in a manner consistent
9 with the TSP. If identified needs cannot be met consistent with the TSP, the local government shall
10 initiate a plan amendment to change the TSP or the comprehensive plan to assure that there is an
11 adequate transportation system to meet transportation needs.

12

13 (6) Transportation project development may be done concurrently with preparation of the TSP or a
14 refinement plan.

GOAL EXCEPTIONS

660-012-0070

Exceptions for Transportation Improvements on Rural Land

(1) Transportation facilities and improvements which do not meet the requirements of OAR 660-012-0065 require an exception to be sited on rural lands.

(a) A local government approving a proposed exception shall adopt as part of its comprehensive plan findings of fact and a statement of reasons that demonstrate that the standards in this rule have been met. A local government denying a proposed exception shall adopt findings of fact and a statement of reasons explaining why the standards in this rule have not been met. However, findings and reasons denying a proposed exception need not be incorporated into the local comprehensive plan.

(b) The facts and reasons relied upon to approve or deny a proposed exception shall be supported by substantial evidence in the record of the local exceptions proceeding.

(2) When an exception to Goals 3, 4, 11, or 14 is required **to locate a transportation improvement on rural lands**, the exception shall be taken pursuant to ORS 197.732(1)(c), Goal 2, and this division. **The exceptions standards in OAR chapter 660, division 4 and OAR chapter 660, division 14 shall not apply. Exceptions adopted pursuant to this division shall be deemed to fulfill the requirements for goal exceptions required under ORS 197.732(1)(c) and Goal 2.**

Deleted: [in addressing Goal 2, Part II (e)]

Deleted: [OAR chapter 660, division 4]

(3) An exception shall, at a minimum, decide need, mode, function and general location for the proposed facility or improvement:

Deleted: [adopted as part of a TSP or refinement plan]

(a) The general location shall be specified as a corridor within which the proposed facility or improvement is to be located, including the outer limits of the proposed location. Specific sites or areas within the corridor may be excluded from the exception to avoid or lessen likely adverse

1 | impacts. Where detailed design level information is available, the exception may be
2 | specified as a specific alignment;

3 | (b) The size, design and capacity of the proposed facility or improvement shall be described
4 | generally, but in sufficient detail to allow a general understanding of the likely impacts of the
5 | proposed facility or improvement and to justify the amount of land for the proposed
6 | transportation facility. Measures limiting the size, design or capacity may be specified in the
7 | description of the proposed use in order to simplify the analysis of the effects of the proposed
8 | use;

9 | (c) The adopted exception shall include a process and standards to guide selection of the precise
10 | design and location within the corridor and consistent with the general description of the
11 | proposed facility or improvement. For example, where a general location or corridor crosses a
12 | river, the exception would specify that a bridge crossing would be built but would defer to
13 | project development decisions about precise location and design of the bridge within the selected
14 | corridor subject to requirements to minimize impacts on riparian vegetation, habitat values, etc.;

15 | (d) Land use regulations implementing the exception may include standards for specific
16 | mitigation measures to offset unavoidable environmental, economic, social or energy impacts of
17 | the proposed facility or improvement or to assure compatibility with adjacent uses.

18 |
19 | (4) To address Goal 2, Part II(c)(1) the exception shall provide reasons justifying why the state
20 | policy in the applicable goals should not apply. Further, the exception shall demonstrate that
21 | there is a transportation need identified consistent with the requirements of OAR 660-012-0030
22 | which cannot reasonably be accommodated through one or a combination of the following
23 | measures not requiring an exception:

- 24 | (a) Alternative modes of transportation;
25 | (b) Traffic management measures; and
26 | (c) Improvements to existing transportation facilities.

27 |
28 | (5) To address Goal 2, Part II(c)(2) the exception shall demonstrate that non-exception locations
29 | cannot reasonably accommodate the proposed transportation improvement or facility. The

1 **exception shall set forth the facts and assumptions used as the basis for determining why**
2 **the use requires a location on resource land subject to Goals 3 or 4.**

3
4 (6) To determine the reasonableness of alternatives to an exception under sections (4) and (5) of
5 this rule, cost, operational feasibility, economic dislocation and other relevant factors shall be
6 addressed. The thresholds chosen to judge whether an alternative method or location cannot
7 reasonably accommodate the proposed transportation need or facility must be justified in the
8 exception.

9 **(a) In addressing sections (4) and (5) of this rule, the exception shall identify and address**
10 **alternative methods and locations that are potentially reasonable to accommodate the**
11 **identified transportation need.**

12 **(b) Detailed evaluation of such alternatives is not required when an alternative does not**
13 **meet an identified threshold.**

14 **(c) Detailed evaluation of specific alternative methods or locations identified by parties**
15 **during the local exceptions proceedings is not required unless the parties can specifically**
16 **describe with supporting facts why such methods or locations can more reasonably**
17 **accommodate the identified transportation need, taking into consideration the identified**
18 **thresholds.**

19
20 (7) To address Goal 2, Part II(c)(3), the exception shall:
21 (a) Compare the **long-term** economic, social, environmental and energy consequences of the
22 proposed location and other alternative locations requiring exceptions[?]. **The exception shall**
23 **describe the characteristics of each alternative location considered by the jurisdiction for**
24 **which an exception might be taken, the typical advantages and disadvantages of using the**
25 **location for the proposed transportation facility or improvement, and the typical positive**
26 **and negative consequences resulting from the transportation facility or improvement at the**
27 **proposed location with measures designed to reduce adverse impacts;**
28 (b) Determine whether the net adverse impacts associated with the proposed exception site, **with**
29 **mitigation measures designed to reduce adverse impacts,** are significantly more adverse than

1 the net impacts from other locations which would also require an exception. A proposed
2 exception location would fail to meet this requirement only if the affected local government
3 concludes that the impacts associated with it are significantly more adverse than the other
4 identified exception sites[?]. **The exception shall include the reasons why the consequences of**
5 **the needed transportation facility or improvement at the proposed exception location are**
6 **not significantly more adverse than would typically result from the same proposal being**
7 **located in areas requiring a goal exception other than the proposed location. Where the**
8 **proposed goal exception location is on resource lands subject to Goals 3 or 4, the exception**
9 **shall include the facts used to determine which resource land is least productive; the ability**
10 **to sustain resource uses near the proposed use; and the long-term economic impact on the**
11 **general area caused by irreversible removal of the land from the resource base; and**

12 (c) The evaluation of the consequences of general locations or corridors need not be site-specific,
13 but may be generalized consistent with the requirements of section (3) of this rule. **Detailed**
14 **evaluation of specific alternative locations identified by parties during the local exceptions**
15 **proceeding is not required unless such locations are specifically described with facts to**
16 **support the assertion that the locations have significantly fewer net adverse economic,**
17 **social, environmental and energy impacts than the proposed exception location.**

18

19 (8) To address Goal 2, Part II(c)(4), the exception shall:

20 (a) Describe the adverse effects that the proposed transportation improvement is likely to have on
21 the surrounding rural lands and land uses, including increased traffic and pressure for nonfarm or
22 highway oriented development on areas made more accessible by the transportation
23 improvement;

24 (b) Demonstrate how the proposed transportation improvement is compatible with other adjacent
25 uses or will be so rendered through measures designed to reduce adverse impacts[?].

26 **Compatible is not intended as an absolute term meaning no interference or adverse**
27 **impacts of any type with adjacent uses; and**

28 (c) Adopt as part of the exception, facility design and land use measures which minimize
29 accessibility of rural lands from the proposed transportation facility or improvement and support
30 continued rural use of surrounding lands.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

(9) (a) Exceptions taken pursuant to this rule shall indicate on a map or otherwise the locations of the proposed transportation facility or improvement and of alternatives identified under subsection (4)(c), sections (5) and (7) of this rule.

(b) Each notice of a public hearing on a proposed exception shall specifically note that a goal exception is proposed and shall summarize the issues in an understandable manner.

(10) An exception taken pursuant to this rule does not authorize uses other than the transportation facilities or improvements justified in the exception.

(a) Modifications to unconstructed transportation facilities or improvements authorized in an exception shall not require a new exception if the modification is located entirely within the corridor approved in the exception.

(b) Modifications to **constructed** transportation facilities authorized in an exception shall require a new exception, unless the modification is permitted without an exception under OAR 660-012-0065(3)(b)-(f). For purposes of this **rule**, minor transportation improvements made to a transportation facility or improvement authorized in an exception shall not be considered a modification to a transportation facility or improvement and shall not require a new exception.

(c) Notwithstanding subsections (a) and (b) of this section, the following **modifications to transportation facilities or improvements authorized in an exception shall require new goal exceptions:**

(A) **New intersections or new interchanges on limited access highways or expressways, excluding replacement of an existing intersection with an interchange.**

(B) **New approach roads located within the influence area of an interchange.**

(C) **Modifications that change the functional classification of the transportation facility.**

(D) **Modifications that **materially reduce the effectiveness of facility design measures or land use measures adopted pursuant to subsection (8)(c) of this rule to minimize****

1 | accessibility to rural lands or support continued rural use of surrounding rural lands,
2 | unless the area subject to the modification has subsequently been relocated inside an urban
3 | growth boundary.

Division 004 Amendments

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

660-004-0000

Purpose

(1) The purpose of this rule is to explain the three types of exceptions set forth in Goal 2 "Land Use Planning, Part II, Exceptions." Except as provided for in OAR chapter 660, ~~division~~ 14, "Application of the Statewide Planning Goals to ~~Newly Incorporated Cities and to Urban Development on Rural Lands~~" and OAR chapter 660, ~~division 12, "Transportation Planning", section 0070, "Exceptions for Transportation Improvements on Rural Land"~~, this division interprets the exception process as it applies to statewide Goals 3 to 19.

Deleted: division
Formatted: Font: Bold
Deleted: [the Incorporation of]

(2) and (3) – No changes

660-004-0022

Reasons Necessary to Justify an Exception Under Goal 2, Part II(c)

An exception Under Goal 2, Part II(c) can be taken for any use not allowed by the applicable goal(s). The types of reasons that may or may not be used to justify certain types of uses not allowed on resource lands are set forth in the following sections of this rule:

(1) For uses not specifically provided for in subsequent sections of this rule or ~~in OAR 660-012-0070 or OAR chapter 660, division 14, the reasons shall justify why the state policy embodied~~ in the applicable goals should not apply. Such reasons include but are not limited to the following:

Formatted: Font: Bold

(a) There is a demonstrated need for the proposed use or activity, based on one or more of the requirements of ~~Statewide~~ Goals 3 to 19; and either

(b) A resource upon which the proposed use or activity is dependent can be reasonably obtained only at the proposed exception site and the use or activity requires a location near the resource.

An exception based on this subsection must include an analysis of the market area to be served by the proposed use or activity. That analysis must demonstrate that the proposed exception site

1 is the only one within that market area at which the resource depended upon can reasonably be
2 obtained; or

3 (c) The proposed use or activity has special features or qualities that necessitate its location on or
4 near the proposed exception site.

5 (2) – (11) – No changes

6

Deleted: [(12) Goal 12 – Transportation Improvements on Rural Lands. Transportation improvements not allowed on rural lands as provided for in OAR 660-012-0065 require an exception pursuant to OAR 660-012-0070 and this division.]¶

MINOR AND HOUSEKEEPING AMENDMENTS

660-012-0005

Definitions

(11) "Minor transportation improvements" include, but are not limited to, signalization, addition of turn lanes or merge/deceleration lanes on arterial or collector streets, provision of local streets, transportation system management measures, modification of existing interchange facilities within public right of way and design modifications located within an approved corridor.

Deleted: [and]

Minor transportation improvements may or may not be listed as planned projects in a TSP where the improvement is otherwise consistent with the TSP. Minor transportation improvements do not include new interchanges; new approach roads within the influence area of an interchange; new intersections on limited access roadways, highways or expressways; new collector or arterial streets, road realignments or addition of travel lanes.

Deleted: [interchanges or new interchange ramps,]

(16) "Pedestrian plaza" means a small semi-enclosed area usually adjoining a sidewalk or a transit stop which provides a place for pedestrians to sit, stand or rest. They are usually paved with concrete, pavers, bricks or similar material and include seating, pedestrian scale lighting and similar pedestrian improvements. Low walls or planters and landscaping are usually provided to create a semi-enclosed space and to buffer and separate the plaza from adjoining parking lots and vehicle maneuvering areas. Plazas are generally located at a transit stop, building entrance or an intersection and connect directly to adjacent sidewalks, walkways, transit stops and buildings. A plaza including 150-250 square feet would be considered "small."

Deleted: [entrance or an intersection and connect directly to adjacent sidewalks, walkways, transit stops and building]

NOTE: The following are new definitions and will be inserted alphabetically and the definitions renumbered consecutively.

(#) "Approach Road" means a legally constructed, public or private connection that provides vehicular access either to or from or to and from a highway and an adjoining property.

1 (#) “Influence area of an interchange” means the area 1,320 feet from an interchange ramp
2 terminal measured on the crossroad away from the mainline.

3
4 (#) “Local streets” means streets that are functionally classified as local streets to serve
5 primarily local access to property and circulation within neighborhoods or specific areas.
6 Local streets do not include streets functionally classified as collector or arterials.

7
8 (#) “Regional Transportation Plan” or “RTP” means the long-range transportation plan
9 prepared and adopted by a metropolitan planning organization for a metropolitan area as
10 provided for in federal law.

11
12 **NOTE:** The existing definition for “Metropolitan area” included in 0005(38) will be
13 inserted alphabetically in the list of definitions and renumbered accordingly.

14
15
16
17
18 **660-012-0020**

19 **Elements of Transportation System Plans**

20 (3)

21 (b) A system of planned transportation facilities, services and major improvements. The system
22 shall include a description of the type or functional classification of planned facilities and
23 services and their planned capacities and performance standards;

Deleted: [levels of service]

1 **660-012-0025**

2 **Complying with the Goals in Preparing Transportation System Plans; Refinement Plans**

3
4 (3) A local government or MPO may defer decisions regarding function, general location and
5 mode of a refinement plan if findings are adopted that:

Deleted: [which]

6 (a) Identify the transportation need for which decisions regarding function, general location or
7 mode are being deferred;

8 (b) Demonstrate why information required to make final determinations regarding function,
9 general location, or mode cannot reasonably be made available within the time allowed for
10 preparation of the TSP;

11 (c) Explain how deferral does not invalidate the assumptions upon which the TSP is based or
12 preclude implementation of the remainder of the TSP;

13 (d) Describe the nature of the findings which will be needed to resolve issues deferred to a
14 refinement plan; and

15 (e) Set a deadline for adoption of a refinement plan, prior to initiation of the periodic review
16 following adoption of the TSP.

Deleted: [demonstrate that the refinement effort will be completed within three years or]

17

18

19 **660-012-0030**

20 **Determination of Transportation Needs**

21

22 (3) Within urban growth boundaries, the determination of local and regional transportation needs
23 shall be based upon:

24 (a) Population and employment forecasts and distributions that [are consistent with the
25 acknowledged comprehensive plan, including those policies that implement Goal 14, Forecasts
26 and distributions shall be for 20 years and, if desired, for longer periods; and

Deleted: which

Deleted:

Deleted: which

Deleted: [, including Goal 14's requirement to encourage urban development on urban lands prior to conversion of urbanizable lands]

27 (b) Measures adopted pursuant to OAR 660-012-0045 to encourage reduced reliance on the
28 automobile.

2

3 **Implementation of the Transportation System Plan**

4

5 (2) Local governments shall adopt land use or subdivision ordinance regulations, consistent with
6 applicable federal and state requirements, to protect transportation facilities, corridors and sites
7 for their identified functions. Such regulations shall include:

8 (a) Access control measures, for example, driveway and public road spacing, median control and
9 signal spacing standards, which are consistent with the functional classification of roads and
10 consistent with limiting development on rural lands to rural uses and densities;

11 (b) Standards to protect future operation of roads, transitways and major transit corridors;

12 (c) Measures to protect public use airports by controlling land uses within airport noise corridors
13 and imaginary surfaces, and by limiting physical hazards to air navigation;

14 (d) A process for coordinated review of future land use decisions affecting transportation
15 facilities, corridors or sites;

16 (e) A process to apply conditions to development proposals in order to minimize impacts and
17 protect transportation facilities, corridors or sites;

18 (f) Regulations to provide notice to public agencies providing transportation facilities and
19 services, MPOs, and ODOT of:

20 (A) Land use applications that require public hearings;

21 (B) Subdivision and partition applications;

22 (C) Other applications which affect private access to roads; and

23 (D) Other applications within airport noise corridors and imaginary surfaces which affect airport
24 operations; **and**

25 (g) Regulations assuring that amendments to land use designations, densities, and design

26 standards are consistent with the functions, capacities and performance standards of facilities
27 identified in the TSP.

Deleted: [levels of service]

28

29

30 (7) Local governments shall establish standards for local streets and accessways that minimize
31 pavement width and total right-of-way consistent with the operational needs of the facility. The
32 intent of this requirement is that local governments consider and reduce excessive standards for

1 local streets and accessways in order to reduce the cost of construction, provide for more efficient
2 use of urban land, provide for emergency vehicle access while discouraging inappropriate traffic
3 volumes and speeds, and which accommodate convenient pedestrian and bicycle circulation. Not
4 withstanding [sub]section (1) or (3) of this rule[section], local street standards adopted to meet
5 this requirement need not be adopted as land use regulations.

6

7

8 **660-012-0055**

9 (6) The director may grant a whole or partial exemption from the requirements of this division to
10 cities under ~~2,500~~ **10,000** population, ~~outside MPO areas,~~ and counties under 25,000 population,
11 **and for areas within a county within an urban growth boundary that contains a population**
12 **less than 10,000.** Eligible jurisdictions may request that the director approve an exemption from
13 all or part of the requirements in this division. **Exemptions shall be for a period determined**
14 **by the director or until the jurisdiction's next periodic review, whichever is shorter.**

15 (a) The director's decision to approve an exemption shall be based upon the following factors:

16 (A) Whether the existing and committed transportation system is generally adequate to meet
17 likely transportation needs;

18 (B) Whether the new development or population growth is anticipated in the planning area over
19 the next five years;

20 (C) Whether major new transportation facilities are proposed which would affect the planning
21 areas;

22 (D) Whether deferral of planning requirements would conflict with accommodating state or
23 regional transportation needs; and

24 (E) Consultation with the Oregon Department of Transportation on the need for transportation
25 planning in the area, including measures needed to protect existing transportation facilities.

Deleted: [

Deleted:]

Deleted: [

Deleted:]

Formatted: No underline

Deleted: [within five years following the adoption of this rule or at subsequent periodic reviews:]

Deleted: [until the jurisdiction's next periodic review:]

Formatted: Font: Bold

1 (b) The director's decision to grant an exemption under this section is appealable to the
2 commission as provided in OAR 660-002-0020 (Delegation of Authority Rule)

3

4 **660-012-0065**

5 **Transportation Improvements on Rural Lands**

6

7 (1) This rule identifies transportation facilities, services and improvements which may be
8 permitted on rural lands consistent with Goals 3, 4, 11, and 14 without a goal exception.

9 (2) For the purposes of this rule, the following definitions apply:

10 (a) "Access Roads" means low volume public roads that principally provide access to property or
11 as specified in an acknowledged comprehensive plan;

12 (b) "Collectors" means public roads that provide access to property and that collect and distribute
13 traffic between access roads and arterials or as specified in an acknowledged comprehensive
14 plan;

15 (c) "Arterials" means state highways and other public roads that principally provide service to
16 through traffic between cities and towns, state highways and major destinations or as specified in
17 an acknowledged comprehensive plan;

18 (d) "Accessory Transportation Improvements" means transportation improvements that are
19 incidental to a land use to provide safe and efficient access to the use;

20 (e) "Channelization" means the separation or regulation of conflicting traffic movements into
21 definite paths of travel by traffic islands or pavement markings to facilitate the safe and orderly
22 movement of both vehicles and pedestrians. Examples include, but are not limited to, left turn
23 refuges, right turn refuges including the construction of islands at intersections to separate traffic,
24 and raised medians at driveways or intersections to permit only right turns. "Channelization"
25 does not include continuous median turn lanes;

26 (f) "Realignment" means rebuilding an existing roadway on a new alignment where the new
27 centerline shifts outside the existing right of way, and where the existing road surface is either
28 removed, maintained as an access road or maintained as a connection between the realigned
29 roadway and a road that intersects the original alignment. The realignment shall maintain the

1 function of the existing road segment being realigned as specified in the acknowledged
2 comprehensive plan;

3 (g) "New Road" means a public road or road segment that is not a realignment of an existing
4 road or road segment.

5 (3) The following transportation improvements are consistent with Goals 3, 4, 11, and 14 subject
6 to the requirements of this rule:

7 (a) Accessory transportation improvements for a use that is allowed or conditionally allowed by
8 ORS 215.213, 215.283 or OAR **chapter** 660, division 6 (Forest Lands);

9 (b) Transportation improvements that are allowed or conditionally allowed by ORS 215.213,
10 215.283 or OAR **chapter** 660, division 6 (Forest Lands);

11 (c) Channelization not otherwise allowed under subsections (a) or (b) of this section;

12 (d) Realignment of roads not otherwise allowed under subsection (a) or (b) of this section;

13 (e) Replacement of an intersection with an interchange;

14 (f) Continuous median turn lane;

15 (g) New access roads and collectors within a built or committed exception area, or in other areas
16 where the function of the road is to reduce local access to or local traffic on a state highway.
17 These roads shall be limited to two travel lanes. Private access and intersections shall be limited
18 to rural needs or to provide adequate emergency access.

19 (h) Bikeways, footpaths and recreation trails not otherwise allowed as a modification or part of
20 an existing road;

21 (i) Park and ride lots;

22 (j) Railroad mainlines and branchlines;

23 (k) Pipelines;

24 (l) Navigation channels;

25 (m) Replacement of docks and other facilities without significantly increasing the capacity of
26 those facilities;

27 (n) Expansions or alterations of public use airports that do not permit service to a larger class of
28 airplanes; and

29 (o) Transportation facilities, services and improvements other than those listed in this rule that
30 serve local travel needs. The travel capacity and **performance standards** of facilities and
31 improvements serving local travel needs shall be limited to that necessary to support rural land

Deleted: [level-of-service]

1 uses identified in the acknowledged comprehensive plan or to provide adequate emergency
2 access.

3 (4) Accessory transportation improvements required as a condition of development listed in
4 subsection (3)(a) of this rule shall be subject to the same procedures, standards and requirements
5 applicable to the use to which they are accessory.

6 (5) For transportation uses or improvements listed in subsections (3)(d) to (g) and (o) of this rule
7 within an exclusive farm use (EFU) or forest zone, a jurisdiction shall, in addition to
8 demonstrating compliance with the requirements of ORS 215.296:

9 (a) Identify reasonable build design alternatives, such as alternative alignments, that are safe and
10 can be constructed at a reasonable cost, not considering raw land costs, with available technology.
11 The jurisdiction need not consider alternatives that are inconsistent with applicable standards or
12 not approved by a registered professional engineer;

13

14

Deleted: [Until adoption of a local TSP pursuant to the requirements of OAR 660-012-0035, the jurisdiction shall consider design and operations alternatives within the project area that would not result in a substantial reduction in peak hour travel time for projects in the urban fringe that would significantly reduce peak hour travel time. A determination that a project will significantly reduce peak hour travel time is based on OAR 660-012-0035(10).]

Deleted: [(6) Notwithstanding any other provision of this division, if a jurisdiction has not met the deadline for TSP adoption set forth in OAR 660-012-0055, or any extension thereof, a transportation improvement that is listed in section (5) of this rule and that will significantly reduce peak hour travel time as provided in OAR 660-0120-035(10) may be allowed in the urban fringe only if the jurisdiction applies either:¶
(a) The criteria applicable to a "reasons" exception provided in Goal 2 and OAR 660, Division 4; or¶
(b) The evaluation and selection criteria set forth in OAR 660-012-0035.]

~~The purpose of this Division is to implement Statewide Planning Goal 12 (Transportation) and promote the development of safe, convenient and economic transportation systems that are designed to reduce reliance on the automobile so that the air pollution, traffic and other livability problems faced by urban areas in other parts of the country might be avoided. It is also the purpose of this Division to explain how local governments and state agencies responsible for transportation planning demonstrate compliance with other statewide planning goals and to identify how transportation facilities are provided on rural lands consistent with the goals. The division sets requirements or coordination among affected levels of government for preparation, adoption, refinement, implementation and amendment of transportation system plans. Transportation system plans adopted pursuant to this Division fulfill the requirements for public facilities planning required under ORS 197.712(2)(e), Goal 11 and OAR Chapter 660, Division 11, as they relate to transportation facilities. Through measures designed to reduce reliance on the automobile, this division is also intended to assure that the planned transportation system supports a pattern of travel and land use in urban areas which will avoid the air pollution, traffic and livability problems faced by other areas of the country. This portion of the rule aims to improve the livability of urban areas by promoting changes in land use patterns and the transportation system that make it more convenient for people to walk, bicycle and use transit, and drive less to meet their daily needs. Changing land use and travel patterns will also complement state and local efforts to meet other objectives, including containing urban development, reducing the cost of public services, protecting farm and forest land, reducing air, water and noise pollution, conserving energy and reducing emissions of greenhouse gases that contribute to global climate change. The result of applying these portions of the rule will vary within urban areas. Some parts of urban areas, such as downtowns, pedestrian districts, transit-oriented developments and other mixed use, pedestrian friendly centers, will be highly convenient for a variety of modes, including walking, bicycling and transit, while others will be auto-oriented and include more modest measures to accommodate access and circulation by other modes. The rules in this Division are not intended to make local government determination "land use decisions" under ORS 197.015(10). The rules recognize, however, that, under existing statutory and case law, many determinations~~

~~relating to the adoption and implementation of transportation plans will be land use decisions.~~