

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES,
THE DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF
THE STATE OF OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM FOR)	FINAL ORDER
COMPENSATION UNDER ORS 197.352)	CLAIM NO. M124486
(BALLOT MEASURE 37) OF)	
Vivian L. Backman, CLAIMANT)	

Claimant: Vivian L. Backman (the Claimant)

Property: Township 2S, Range 2W, Section 23, Tax lots 505 and 508, Washington County (the Property)

Claim: The demand for compensation and any supporting information received from the Claimant by the State of Oregon (the Claim).

Claimant submitted the Claim to the State of Oregon under ORS 197.352. Under OAR 125-145-0010 *et seq.*, the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) referred the Claim to the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) as the regulating entity. This order is based on the record herein, including the Findings and Conclusions set forth in the Final Staff Report and Recommendation of DLCD (the DLCD Report) attached to and by this reference incorporated into this order.

ORDER

The Claim is approved as to laws administered by DLCD and the Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) for the reasons set forth in the DLCD Report, and subject to the following terms:

1. In lieu of compensation under ORS 197.352, the State of Oregon will not apply the following laws to Vivian Backman's division of 5.29-acre tax lot 505 into three parcels for residential development and her division of 3.56-acre tax lot 508 into two parcels for residential development: applicable provisions of Goal 14 and OAR 660-004-0040, adopted after the claimant acquired each of the subject tax lots. These land use regulations will not apply to the claimant only to the extent necessary to allow her to use the subject property for the use described in this report, and only to the extent that use was permitted when she acquired tax lot 505 on May 23, 1969, and only to the extent that use was permitted when she acquired tax lot 508 on September 15, 2000. The department acknowledges that the relief to which the claimant is entitled under ORS 197.352 will not allow her to use tax lot 508 for her desired use.
2. The action by the State of Oregon provides the state's authorization to the claimant to use tax lot 505 for the use described in this report, subject to the standards in effect on May 23, 1969, and to use tax lot 508 for the use described in this report, subject to the standards in effect September 15, 2000. On September 15, 2000, the property was subject to the applicable

provisions of Goal 14, as implemented through Washington County's acknowledged AF-10 zone.


3. To the extent that any law, order, deed, agreement or other legally enforceable public or private requirement provides that the subject property may not be used without a permit, license or other form of authorization or consent, the order will not authorize the use of the property unless the claimant first obtains that permit, license or other form of authorization or consent. Such requirements may include, but are not limited to: a building permit; a land use decision; a "permit" as defined in ORS 215.402 or 227.160, other permits or authorizations from local, state or federal agencies; and restrictions on the use of the subject property imposed by private parties.

4. Any use of the subject property by the claimant under the terms of the order will remain subject to the following laws: (a) those laws not specified in (1) above; (b) any laws enacted or enforced by a public entity other than the Commission or the department; and (c) those laws not subject to ORS 197.352 including, without limitation, those laws exempted under ORS 197.352(3).

5. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing terms and conditions, in order for the claimant to use the subject property, it may be necessary for her to obtain a decision under ORS 197.352 from a city and/or county and/or metropolitan service district that enforces land use regulations applicable to the property. Nothing in this order relieves the claimant from the necessity of obtaining a decision under ORS 197.352 from a local public entity that has jurisdiction to enforce a land use regulation applicable to a use of the subject property by the claimant.

This Order is entered by the Director of the DLCD as a final order of DLCD and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.352, OAR 660-002-0010(8), and OAR 125, division 145, and by the Administrator for the State Services Division of the DAS as a final order of DAS under ORS 197.352, OAR 125, division 145, and ORS 293.

FOR DLCD AND THE LAND
CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION:



Lane Shetterly, Director
DLCD
Dated this 11th day of September, 2006.

FOR the DEPARTMENT OF
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES:



David Hartwig, Administrator
DAS, State Services Division
Dated this 11th day of September, 2006.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review under ORS 183.484: Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be filed in the Circuit Court for Marion County or the Circuit Court in the county in which you reside.
2. A cause of action under ORS 197.352 (Measure 37 (2004)): If a land use regulation continues to apply to the subject property more than 180 days after the present owner of the property has made written demand for compensation under ORS 197.352, the present owner of the property, or any interest therein, shall have a cause of action in the circuit court in which the real property is located.

(Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the Department's office at 635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150, Salem, Oregon 97301-2540)

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

The Oregon Department of Justice has advised the Department of Land Conservation and Development that “[i]f the current owner of the real property conveys the property before the new use allowed by the public entity is established, then the entitlement to relief will be lost.”

ORS 197.352 (BALLOT MEASURE 37) CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION

**OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
Final Staff Report and Recommendation**

September 11, 2006

STATE CLAIM NUMBER: M124486

NAME OF CLAIMANT: Vivian L. Backman

MAILING ADDRESS: 21940 Southwest Backman Lane
Sherwood, Oregon 97140

PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION: Township 2S, Range 2W, Section 23
Tax lots 505 and 508
Washington County

OTHER CONTACT INFORMATION: David B. Smith
6950 Southwest Hampton Street, Suite 232
Tigard, Oregon 97223

DATE RECEIVED BY DAS: March 20, 2006

180-DAY DEADLINE: September 16, 2006

I. SUMMARY OF CLAIM

The claimant, Vivian Backman, seeks compensation in the amount of \$482,000 for the reduction in fair market value as a result of land use regulations that are alleged to restrict the use of certain private real property. The claimant desires compensation or the right to divide 5.29-acre tax lot 505 into three parcels for residential development and to divide 3.56-acre tax lot 508 into two parcels for residential development. The subject property is located at 21801 Southwest Backman Lane, near Sherwood, in Washington County. (See claim.)

II. SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Based on the findings and conclusions set forth below, the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) has determined that the claim is valid. Department staff recommends that, in lieu of compensation, the requirements of the following state laws enforced by the Land Conservation and Development Commission (the Commission) or the department not apply to Vivian Backman's division of tax lot 505 into three parcels for residential development and her division of tax lot 508 into two parcels for residential development: applicable provisions of Statewide Planning Goal 14 (Urbanization) and Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 660-004-0040, adopted after the claimant acquired each of the subject tax lots. These land use regulations will not apply to the claimant only to the extent necessary to allow

her to use the subject property for the use described in this report, and only to the extent that use was permitted when she acquired tax lot 505 in 1969 and tax lot 508 in 2000. The department acknowledges that the relief to which the claimant is entitled under ORS 197.352 will not allow the claimant to use tax lot 508 for her desired use. (See the complete recommendation in Section VI of this report.)

III. COMMENTS ON THE CLAIM

Comments Received

On August 4, 2006, pursuant to OAR 125-145-0080, the Oregon Department of Administrative Services (DAS) provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. According to DAS, no written comments were received in response to the 10-day notice.

IV. TIMELINESS OF CLAIM

Requirement

ORS 197.352(5) requires that a written demand for compensation be made:

1. For claims arising from land use regulations enacted prior to the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), within two years of that effective date, or the date the public entity applies the land use regulation as an approval criteria to an application submitted by the owner, whichever is later; or
2. For claims arising from land use regulations enacted after the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), within two years of the enactment of the land use regulation, or the date the owner of the property submits a land use application in which the land use regulation is an approval criteria, whichever is later.

Findings of Fact

This claim was submitted to DAS on March 20, 2006, for processing under OAR 125, division 145. The claim identifies Goals 3 (Agricultural Lands) and 4 (Forest Lands) and Washington County Development Code Section 346-6.1 as the basis for the claim. Only laws that were enacted or adopted prior to December 2, 2004, are the basis for this claim.

Conclusions

The claim has been submitted within two years of the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), based on land use regulations enacted or adopted prior to December 2, 2004, and is therefore timely filed.

V. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

1. Ownership

ORS 197.352 provides for payment of compensation or relief from specific laws for “owners” as that term is defined in ORS 197.352. ORS 197.352(11)(C) defines “owner” as “the present owner of the property, or any interest therein.”

Findings of Fact

The claimant, Vivian Backman, acquired the subject property on May 23, 1969, as reflected by a contract of sale and a warranty deed included with the claim. The claimant and her husband, Alvin Backman, subsequently transferred undivided interests in the subject property to their own respective revocable trusts. In 1995, the claimant and Alvin Backman conveyed tax lot 508 to the Ada and Carl Backman Revocable Trust. In 1998, Alvin Backman acquired an interest in tax lot 508 as successor trustee of the Carl and Ada Revocable Trust. In 2000, as trustee of that trust, Alvin Backman transferred tax lot 508 to the Alvin and Vivian Revocable Living Trust. The Washington County Assessor’s Office confirms the claimant’s current ownership of the subject property.

Conclusions

The claimant, Vivian Backman, is an “owner” of the subject property as that term is defined by ORS 197.352(11)(C). She acquired tax lot 505 on May 23, 1969, and tax lot 508 on September 15, 2000. Vivian Backman has had continuous ownership of tax lot 505 since 1969 and family ownership of tax lot 508 since 1969.

2. The Laws That are the Basis for This Claim

In order to establish a valid claim, ORS 197.352(1) requires, in part, that a law must restrict the claimant’s use of private real property in a manner that reduces the fair market value of the property relative to how the property could have been used at the time the claimant or a family member acquired the property.

Findings of Fact

The claim indicates that the claimant desires to divide 5.29-acre tax lot 505 into three parcels for residential development and to divide 3.56-acre tax lot 508 into two parcels for residential development. It indicates that Goals 3 and 4 and Washington County Development Code Section 346–6.1 prevent the desired use.¹

The claim is based generally on the applicable provisions of state law that require rural residential zoning. The subject property is zoned AF-10 by Washington County. The

¹ The claimant’s attorney summarily cited Goals 3 (exclusive farm use) and 4 (forest use) as restricting the subject property, but did not establish how these goals relate to or restrict use of the subject property, which is designated and zoned for rural residential use. Neither Goal 3 nor Goal 4 appears to apply to or appears to restrict the subject property, and these goals are not addressed further in this report.

AF-10 zone is a rural residential zone consistent with Goal 14, which generally requires that land outside of urban growth boundaries be used for rural uses.

Goal 14 was effective on January 25, 1975, and requires that local comprehensive plans identify and separate urbanizable land from rural land in order to provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use. In general, Goal 14 requires a minimum lot or parcel size of at least two acres for lands zoned for rural residential use. In 2000, as a result of a 1986 Oregon Supreme Court decision,² the Commission amended Goal 14 and adopted OAR 660-004-0040 (Application of Goal 14 to Rural Residential Areas), which was effective on October 4, 2000.

OAR 660-004-0040 states that if a county rural residential zone in effect on October 4, 2000, specifies a minimum lot size of two acres or more, the area of any new lot or parcel shall equal or exceed the minimum lot size that is already in effect (OAR 660-004-0040(7)(c)). Some relief from this provision is available for lots or parcels having more than one permanent habitable dwelling pursuant to OAR 660-004-0040(7)(h). The rule also provides that a county's minimum lot size requirement in a rural residential zone shall not be amended to allow a smaller minimum lot size without approval of an exception to Goal 14 (OAR 660-004-0040(6)). Because Washington County's AF-10 zone was in effect on October 4, 2000, and requires a minimum lot size of 10 acres, the minimum lot size for any new lot or parcel must equal or exceed 10 acres.

The Backman family first acquired the subject property in 1969, prior to the adoption of the statewide planning goals and their implementing statutes and rules. At that time, it was not zoned by the county.

Conclusions

The minimum lot size requirements for rural residential lots or parcels established by Goal 14 and OAR 660-004-0040 were adopted since the Backman family acquired the subject property in 1969 and do not allow the desired division of the property.

This report addresses only those state laws that are identified in the claim, or that the department is certain apply to the subject property based on the use that the claimant has identified. There may be other laws that currently apply to the claimant's use of the subject property, and that may continue to apply to the claimant's use of the property, that have not been identified in the claim. In some cases, it will not be possible to know which laws apply to a use of the subject property until there is a specific proposal for that use. When the claimant seeks a building or development permit to carry out a specific use, it may become evident that other state laws apply to that use.

3. Effect of Regulations on Fair Market Value

In order to establish a valid claim, ORS 197.352(1) requires that the land use regulation(s) (described in Section V.(2) of this report) must have "the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, or any interest therein."

² *1000 Friends of Oregon v. LCDC (Curry County)*, 301 Or 447 (1986).

Findings of Fact

The claim includes an estimate of \$482,000 as the reduction in the subject property's fair market value due to the regulations that restrict the claimant's desired use of the property. This amount is based on the claimant's assessment of comparable property values.

Conclusions

As explained in Section V.(1) of this report, the claimant is Vivian Backman whose family first acquired the subject property on May 23, 1969. Under ORS 197.352, the claimant is due compensation for land use regulations that restrict the use of the subject property and have the effect of reducing its fair market value. Based on the findings and conclusions in Section V.(2) of this report, laws enacted or adopted since the Backman family acquired the subject property restrict the claimant's desired use of the property. The claimant estimates that the effect of the regulations on the fair market value of the subject property is a reduction of \$482,000.

Without an appraisal or other documentation, it is not possible to substantiate the specific dollar amount by which the land use regulations have reduced the subject property's fair market value. Nevertheless, based on the evidence in the record for this claim, the department determines that the fair market value of the subject property has been reduced to some extent as a result of land use regulations enforced by the Commission or the department since the Backman family acquired the property.

4. Exemptions Under ORS 197.352(3)

ORS 197.352 does not apply to certain land use regulations. In addition, under ORS 197.352(3), certain types of laws are exempt from ORS 197.352.

Findings of Fact

The claim is based on state land use regulations that restrict the use of the subject property, including Goal 14 and OAR 660-004-0040, which Washington County has implemented through its AF-10 zone. Both of these land use regulations were adopted after the Backman family acquired the subject property.

Conclusions

Without a specific development proposal for the subject property, it is not possible for the department to determine all the laws that may apply to a particular use of the property, or whether those laws may fall under one or more of the exemptions under ORS 197.352. It appears that none of the general goal and rule restrictions on divisions of rural residential land were in effect when the Backman family acquired the subject property in 1969. As a result, these laws are not exempt under ORS 197.352(3)(E).

Laws in effect when the Backman family acquired the subject property are exempt under ORS 197.352(3)(E) and will continue to apply to the claimant's use of the property. There may

be other laws that continue to apply to the claimant's use of the subject property that have not been identified in the claim. In some cases, it will not be possible to know which laws apply to a use of property until there is a specific proposal for that use. When the claimant seeks a building or development permit to carry out a specific use, it may become evident that other state laws apply to that use. In some cases, some of these laws may be exempt under ORS 197.352(3)(A) to (D).

VI. FORM OF RELIEF

ORS 197.352 provides for payment of compensation to an owner of private real property if the Commission or the department has enforced laws that restrict the use of the property in a manner that reduces its fair market value. In lieu of compensation, the department may choose to not apply the law in order to allow the present owner to carry out a use of the property permitted at the time the present owner acquired the property. The Commission, by rule, has directed that if the department determines a claim is valid, the Director of the department must provide only non-monetary relief unless and until funds are appropriated by the legislature to pay claims.

Findings of Fact

Based on the findings and conclusions set forth in this report, laws enforced by the Commission or the department restrict the claimant's desired use of the subject property. The claim asserts that existing state land use regulations enforced by the Commission or the department have the effect of reducing the fair market value of the subject property by \$482,000. However, because the claim does not provide an appraisal or other relevant evidence demonstrating that the land use regulations described in Section V.(2) reduce the fair market value of the subject property, a specific amount of compensation cannot be determined. In order to determine a specific amount of compensation due for this claim, it would also be necessary to verify whether or the extent to which the claimant's desired use of the property was allowed under the standards in effect when the Backman family acquired the property. Nevertheless, based on the record for this claim, the department has determined that the laws on which the claim is based have reduced the fair market value of the subject property to some extent.

No funds have been appropriated at this time for the payment of claims. In lieu of payment of compensation, ORS 197.352 authorizes the department to modify, remove or not apply all or parts of certain land use regulations to allow Vivian Backman to use the subject property for a use permitted at the time she acquired tax lot 505 on May 23, 1969, and for a use permitted at the time she acquired tax lot 508 on September 15, 2000.

When the claimant acquired tax lot 508 on September 15, 2000, it was subject to Washington County's acknowledged comprehensive plan. At that time, residential development of tax lot 508 would have been subject to the provisions of Goal 14, as implemented through the county's acknowledged comprehensive plan and land use ordinances. In 2000, the subject property was zoned by the county as AF-10, which required a minimum lot or parcel size of 10 acres. Under the county's acknowledged AF-10 zone, 3.56-acre tax lot 508 could not have been divided for residential development when the claimant acquired it in 2000.

Conclusions

Based on the record, the department recommends that the claim be approved, subject to the following terms:

1. In lieu of compensation under ORS 197.352, the State of Oregon will not apply the following laws to Vivian Backman's division of 5.29-acre tax lot 505 into three parcels for residential development and her division of 3.56-acre tax lot 508 into two parcels for residential development: applicable provisions of Goal 14 and OAR 660-004-0040, adopted after the claimant acquired each of the subject tax lots. These land use regulations will not apply to the claimant only to the extent necessary to allow her to use the subject property for the use described in this report, and only to the extent that use was permitted when she acquired tax lot 505 on May 23, 1969, and only to the extent that use was permitted when she acquired tax lot 508 on September 15, 2000. The department acknowledges that the relief to which the claimant is entitled under ORS 197.352 will not allow her to use tax lot 508 for her desired use.
2. The action by the State of Oregon provides the state's authorization to the claimant to use tax lot 505 for the use described in this report, subject to the standards in effect on May 23, 1969, and to use tax lot 508 for the use described in this report, subject to the standards in effect September 15, 2000. On September 15, 2000, the property was subject to the applicable provisions of Goal 14, as implemented through Washington County's acknowledged AF-10 zone.
3. To the extent that any law, order, deed, agreement or other legally enforceable public or private requirement provides that the subject property may not be used without a permit, license or other form of authorization or consent, the order will not authorize the use of the property unless the claimant first obtains that permit, license or other form of authorization or consent. Such requirements may include, but are not limited to: a building permit; a land use decision; a "permit" as defined in ORS 215.402 or 227.160, other permits or authorizations from local, state or federal agencies; and restrictions on the use of the subject property imposed by private parties.
4. Any use of the subject property by the claimant under the terms of the order will remain subject to the following laws: (a) those laws not specified in (1) above; (b) any laws enacted or enforced by a public entity other than the Commission or the department; and (c) those laws not subject to ORS 197.352 including, without limitation, those laws exempted under ORS 197.352(3).
5. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing terms and conditions, in order for the claimant to use the subject property, it may be necessary for her to obtain a decision under ORS 197.352 from a city and/or county and/or metropolitan service district that enforces land use regulations applicable to the property. Nothing in this order relieves the claimant from the necessity of obtaining a decision under ORS 197.352 from a local public entity that has jurisdiction to enforce a land use regulation applicable to a use of the subject property by the claimant.

VII. COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT STAFF REPORT

The department issued its draft staff report on this claim on August 24, 2006. OAR 125-145-0100(3), provided an opportunity for the claimant or the claimant's authorized agent and any third parties who submitted comments under OAR 125-145-0080 to submit written comments, evidence and information in response to the draft staff report and recommendation. Comments received have been taken into account by the department in the issuance of this final report.