

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES,
THE DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF
THE STATE OF OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM FOR) FINAL ORDER
COMPENSATION UNDER ORS 197.352) CLAIM NO. M129364
(BALLOT MEASURE 37) OF)
Roxanna and Kevin Wendler, CLAIMANTS)

Claimants: Roxanna and Kevin Wendler (the Claimants)

Property: Township 15S, Range 13E, Section 16BA, Tax lot 6000
Deschutes County (the property)

Claim: The demand for compensation and any supporting information received
from the Claimants by the State of Oregon (the Claim).

Claimants submitted the Claim to the State of Oregon under ORS 197.352. Under OAR 125-145-0010 *et seq.*, the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) referred the Claim to the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) as the regulating entity. This order is based on the record herein, including the Findings and Conclusions set forth in the Final Staff Report and Recommendation of DLCD (the DLCD Report) attached to and by this reference incorporated into this order.

ORDER

The Claim is denied as to laws administered by DLCD and the Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) for the reasons set forth in the DLCD Report.


This Order is entered by the Director of the DLCD as a final order of DLCD and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.352, OAR 660-002-0010(8), and OAR chapter 125, division 145, and by the Administrator for the State Services Division of the DAS as a final order of DAS under ORS 197.352, OAR chapter 125, division 145, and ORS chapter 293.

FOR DLCD AND THE LAND
CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION:


Lane Shetterly, Director
DLCD

Dated this 9th day of November, 2006.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES:


David Hartwig, Administrator
DAS, State Services Division
Dated this 9th day of November, 2006.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to the following judicial remedies:

1. Judicial review under ORS 183.484: Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be filed in the Circuit Court for Marion County or the Circuit Court in the county in which you reside.
2. A cause of action under ORS 197.352 (Measure 37 (2004)): If a land use regulation continues to apply to the subject property more than 180 days after the present owner of the property has made written demand for compensation under ORS 197.352, the present owner of the property, or any interest therein, shall have a cause of action in the circuit court in which the real property is located.

(Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the Department's office at 635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150, Salem, Oregon 97301-2540)

ORS 197.352 (BALLOT MEASURE 37) CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION

**OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
Final Staff Report and Recommendation**

November 9, 2006

STATE CLAIM NUMBER: M129364

NAMES OF CLAIMANTS: Roxanna and Kevin Wendler

MAILING ADDRESS: 1416 SW Cascade Avenue
Redmond, Oregon 97756-2039

PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION: Township 15S, Range 13E, Section 16BA
Tax lot 6000
Deschutes County

OTHER CONTACT INFORMATION: Martin E. Hansen
1148 Northwest Hill Street
Bend, Oregon 97701-1914

DATE RECEIVED BY DAS: May 19, 2006

180-DAY DEADLINE: November 15, 2006

I. SUMMARY OF CLAIM

The claimants, Roxanna and Kevin Wendler, seek compensation in the amount of \$1 million for the reduction in fair market value as a result of land use regulations that are alleged to restrict the use of certain private real property. The claimants desire compensation or the right to divide the subject property into five parcels and to develop a dwelling on each parcel. The subject property is located at 1416 SW Cascade Avenue, in the City of Redmond, in Deschutes County. (See claim.)

II. SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Based on the findings and conclusions set forth below, the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) has determined that the claim is not valid because neither the Land Conservation and Development Commission (the Commission) nor the department has enforced laws that restrict the claimants' use of the private real property. (See the complete recommendation in Section VI. of this report.)

III. COMMENTS ON THE CLAIM

Comments Received

On September 20, 2006, pursuant to Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 125-145-0080, the Oregon Department of Administrative Services (DAS) provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. According to DAS, five written comments were received in response to the 10-day notice.

Four comments do not address whether the claim meets the criteria for relief under ORS 197.352. Comments concerning the effects a use of the subject property may have on surrounding areas are generally not something that the department is able to consider in determining whether to waive a state law. If funds do become available to pay compensation, then such effects may become relevant in determining which claims to pay compensation for instead of waive a state law.

One comment is relevant to whether the laws that are the basis for the claim are exempt under ORS 197.352(3). The comment has been considered by the department in preparing this report. (See the comment letters in the department's claim file.)

IV. TIMELINESS OF CLAIM

Requirement

ORS 197.352(5) requires that a written demand for compensation be made:

1. For claims arising from land use regulations enacted prior to the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), within two years of that effective date, or the date the public entity applies the land use regulation as an approval criteria to an application submitted by the owner, whichever is later; or
2. For claims arising from land use regulations enacted after the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), within two years of the enactment of the land use regulation, or the date the owner of the property submits a land use application in which the land use regulation is an approval criteria, whichever is later.

Findings of Fact

This claim was submitted to DAS on May 19, 2006, for processing under OAR 125, division 145. The claim identifies Statewide Planning Goals 3 (Agricultural Lands) and 5 (Open Spaces, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Natural Resources); ORS 92 and 215; OAR 660; and "any other law or rule" as the basis for the claim. Only laws that were enacted or adopted prior to December 2, 2004, are the basis for this claim.

Conclusions

The claim has been submitted within two years of the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), based on land use regulations enacted or adopted prior to December 2, 2004, and is therefore timely filed.

V. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

1. Ownership

ORS 197.352 provides for payment of compensation or relief from specific laws for “owners” as that term is defined in ORS 197.352. ORS 197.352(11)(C) defines “owner” as “the present owner of the property, or any interest therein.”

Findings of Fact

The claimants, Roxanna and Kevin Wendler, acquired the subject property on June 13, 1974, as reflected by a contract of sale included with the claim. The Deschutes County Assessor’s Office confirms the claimants’ current ownership of the subject property.

Conclusions

The claimants, Roxanna and Kevin Wendler, are “owners” of the subject property as that term is defined in ORS 197.352(11)(C), as of June 13, 1974.

2. The Laws That are the Basis for This Claim

In order to establish a valid claim, ORS 197.352(1) requires, in part, that a law must restrict the claimants’ use of private real property in a manner that reduces the fair market value of the property relative to how the property could have been used at the time the claimants or a family member acquired the property.

Findings of Fact

The claim indicates that the claimants desire to divide the subject property into five parcels and to develop a dwelling on each resulting parcel, and that the use is not allowed under current land use regulations.

The subject property is currently zoned Open Space Park Reserve by the City of Redmond. The subject property is within the City of Redmond’s Urban Growth Boundary (UGB).

In general, the zoning of a particular property within a UGB is determined by the city or county with land use jurisdiction over the property. In some circumstances, the Commission’s rules or state statutes may apply to a local government decision regarding zoning, but usually, within a UGB, state laws require or encourage a higher intensity of development rather than restrict the use of real property. In this case, the claimants have not alleged how a specific state land use

regulation restricts the use of real property and has the effect of reducing the fair market value of that real property.¹

Based on the information in the claim, the department has not identified any state laws that restrict the claimants' use of the subject property.

Conclusions

The claim does not establish any state laws that currently restrict the use of the claimants' property. Because the subject property is located within the City of Redmond's UGB, in general, neither the Commission nor the department enforces laws that require specific zoning of the property. Based on the record before the department, neither the Commission nor the department enforces any laws that restrict the use of the claimants' real property.

3. Effect of Regulations on Fair Market Value

In order to establish a valid claim, ORS 197.352(1) requires that the land use regulations (described in Section V.(2) of this report) must have "the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, or any interest therein."

As explained in Section V.(2) of this report, the claimants, Roxanna and Kevin Wendler, have not established that any state laws restrict the use of the subject property. Accordingly, the department cannot determine that any laws enforced by the Commission or the department have had the effect of reducing the fair market value of the subject property.

4. Exemptions Under ORS 197.352(3)

ORS 197.352 does not apply to certain land use regulations. In addition, under ORS 197.352(3), certain types of laws are exempt from ORS 197.352.

As explained in Section V.(2) of this report, the claimants, Roxanna and Kevin Wendler, have not established that any state laws restrict the use of the subject property. Accordingly, the department cannot determine that any exemptions under ORS 197.352(3) apply to this claim.

VI. FORM OF RELIEF

ORS 197.352(1) provides for payment of compensation to an owner of private real property if the Commission or the department has enforced one or more laws that restrict the use of the property in a manner that reduces its fair market value. In lieu of compensation, the department may choose to not apply the law in order to allow the present owner to carry out a use of the property permitted at the time the present owner acquired the property. The Commission, by rule, has directed that if the department determines a claim is valid, the Director of the department must provide only non-monetary relief unless and until funds are appropriated by the legislature to pay claims.

¹ Goal 5 requires local governments to inventory specified natural resources, including open space. However, the claimants have not alleged or established that the subject property is listed on a local government Goal 5 inventory or if it is, how that listing impacts the claimants' use of the property.

Findings of Fact

Based on the record for this claim, the claimants have not established that any state laws enforced by the Commission or the department restrict the use of the subject property, and have the effect of reducing the fair market value of the subject property. Because the subject property is located within the City of Redmond's UGB, neither the Commission nor the department enforces laws that require specific zoning of the property.

Conclusions

Based on the record before the department, the claimants, Roxanna and Kevin Wendler, have not established that they are entitled to relief under ORS 197.352(1) as a result of land use regulations enforced by the Commission or the department. Therefore, the department recommends that this claim be denied.

VII. COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT STAFF REPORT

The department issued its draft staff report on this claim on October 20, 2006. OAR 125-145-0100(3), provided an opportunity for the claimants or the claimants' authorized agent and any third parties who submitted comments under OAR 125-145-0080 to submit written comments, evidence and information in response to the draft staff report and recommendation. Comments received have been taken into account by the department in the issuance of this final report.