Definitions

Sexual Offence

For the purposes of a STATIC-99 assessment a sexual offence is an officially recorded sexual misbehaviour or criminal behaviour with sexual intent. To be considered a sexual offence the sexual misbehaviour must result in some form of criminal justice intervention or official sanction. For people already engaged in the criminal justice system the sexual misbehaviour must be serious enough that individuals could be charged with a sexual offence if they were not already under legal sanction. **Do not count offences such as failure to register as a sexual offender or consenting sex in prison.**

Criminal justice interventions may include the following:

- Alternative resolutions agreements (Restorative Justice)
- Arrests
- Charges
- Community-based Justice Committee Agreements
- Criminal convictions
- Institutional rule violations for sexual offences (Do not count consenting sexual activity in prison)
- Parole and probation violations

Sanctions may include the following:

- Alternative resolution agreements
- Community supervision
- Conditional discharges
- Fines
- Imprisonment
- Loss of institutional time credits due to sexual offending ("worktime credits")

Generally, "worktime credit" or "institutional time credits" means credit towards (time off) a prisoner's sentence for satisfactory performance in work, training or education programs. Any prisoner who accumulates "worktime credit" may be denied or may forfeit the credit for failure or refusal to perform assigned, ordered, or directed work or for receiving a serious disciplinary offense.
Sexual offences are scored only from official records and both juvenile and adult offences count. You may not count self-reported offences except under certain limited circumstances, please refer to the Introduction section – sub-section "Self-report and the STATIC-99".

An offence need not be called "sexual" in its legal title or definition for a charge or conviction to be considered a sexual offence. Charges or convictions that are explicitly for sexual assaults, or for the sexual abuse of children, are counted as sexual offenses on the STATIC-99, regardless of the offender's motive. Offenses that directly involve illegal sexual behaviour are counted as sex offenses even when the legal process has led to a "non-sexual" charge or conviction. An example of this would be where an offender is charged with or pleads guilty to a Break and Enter when he was really going in to steal dirty underwear to use for fetishistic purposes.

In addition, offenses that involve non-sexual behavior are counted as sexual offenses if they had a sexual motive. For example, consider the case of a man who strangles a woman to death as part of a sexual act but only gets charged with manslaughter. In this case the manslaughter charge would still be considered a sexual offence. Similarly, a man who strangles a woman to gain sexual compliance but only gets charged with Assault; this Assault charge would still be considered a sexual offence. Further examples of this kind include convictions for murder where there was a sexual component to the crime (perhaps a rape preceding the killing) , kidnapping where the kidnapping took place but the planned sexual assault was interrupted before it could occur, and assaults "pled down" from sexual assaults.

Physical assaults, threats, and stalking motivated by sexual jealousy do not count as sexual offenses when scoring the STATIC-99.

Additional Charges
Offences that may not be specifically sexual in nature, occurring at the same time as the sexual offence, and under certain conditions, may be considered part of the sexual misbehaviour. Examples of this would include an offender being charged with/convicted of:

- Sexual assault (rape) and false imprisonment
- Sexual assault (rape) and kidnapping
- Sexual assault (rape) and battery

In instances such as these, depending upon when in the court process the risk assessment was completed, the offender would be coded as having been convicted of two sexual offences plus scoring in another item (Index or Prior Non-sexual Violence). For example if an offender were convicted of any of the three examples above prior to the current "Index" offence, the offender would score 2 "prior" sex offence charges and 2 "prior" sex offence convictions (On Item #5 – Prior Sexual Offences) and a point for Prior Non-sexual Violence (Please see "Prior Non-sexual Violence" or "Index Non-sexual Violence" for a further explanation).

Category "A" and Category "B" Offences
For the purposes of the STATIC-99, sexual misbehaviours are divided into two categories. Category "A" involves most criminal charges that we generally consider "sexual offences" and that involve an identifiable child or non-consenting adult victim. This category includes all contact offences, exhibitionism, voyeurism, sex with animals and dead bodies.

Category "B" offences include sexual behaviour that is illegal but the parties are consenting or no specific victim is involved. Category "B" offences include prostitution related offences, consenting sex in public places, and possession of pornography. Behaviours such as urinating in public or public nudity associated with mental impairment are also considered Category "B" offences.

Rule: if the offender has **any** category "A" offences on their record - all category "B" offences should be counted as sex offences for the purpose of scoring sexual priors or identifying the Index offense. They do not count for the purpose of scoring victim type items. The STATIC-99 is not recommended for use with offenders who have only category "B" offences.

Offence names and legalities differ from jurisdiction to jurisdiction and a given sexual behaviour may be associated with a different charge in a different jurisdiction. The following is a list of offences that would typically be considered sexual. Other offence names may qualify when they denote sexual intent or sexual misbehaviour.

Category "A" Offences

- Aggravated Sexual Assault
- Attempted sexual offences (Attempted Rape, Attempted Sexual Assault)
- Contributing to the delinquency of a minor (where the offence had a sexual element)
- Exhibitionism
- Incest
- Indecent exposure
- Invitation to sexual touching
- Lewd or lascivious acts with a child under 14
- Manufacturing/Creating child pornography where an identifiable child victim was used in the process (The offender had to be present or participate in the creation of the child pornography with a human child present)
- Molest children
- Oral copulation
- Penetration with a foreign object

- Rape (includes in concert) (Rape in concert is rape with one or more co-offenders. The cooffender can actually perpetrate a sexual crime or be involved to hold the victim down)
- Sexual Assault
- Sexual Assault Causing Bodily Harm
- Sexual battery
- Sexual homicide
- Sexual offences against animals (Bestiality)
- Sexual offences involving dead bodies (Offering an indignity to a dead body)
- Sodomy (includes in concert and with a person under 14 years of age)
- Unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor
- Voyeuristic activity (Trespass by night)

Category "B" Offences

- Consenting sex with other adults in public places
- Crimes relating to child pornography (possession, selling, transporting, creating where only pre-existing images are used, digital creation of)
- Indecent behaviour without a sexual motive (e.g., urinating in public)
- Offering prostitution services
- Pimping/Pandering
- Seeking/hiring prostitutes
- Solicitation of a prostitute

Certain sexual behaviours may be illegal in some jurisdictions and legal in others (e.g., prostitution). Count only those sexual misbehaviours that are illegal in the jurisdiction in which the risk assessment takes place and in the jurisdiction where the acts took place.

Exclusions

The following offences would not normally be considered sexual offences

- Annoying children
- Consensual sexual activity in prison (except if sufficiently indiscreet to meet criteria for gross indecency).
- Failure to register as a sex offender
- Being in the presence of children, loitering at schools
- Possession of children's clothing, pictures, toys
- Stalking (unless sexual offence appears imminent, please see definition of "Truly Imminent" below)
- Reports to child protection services (without charges)

Rule: Simple questioning by police not leading to an arrest or charge is insufficient to count as a sexual offence.

Probation, Parole or Conditional Release Violations as Sexual Offences

Rule: Probation, parole or conditional release violations resulting in arrest or revocation/breach are considered sexual offences when the behaviour could have resulted in a charge/conviction for a sexual offence if the offender were not already under legal sanction.

Sometimes the violations are not clearly defined as a sexual arrest or conviction. The determination of whether to count probation, parole, or conditional release violations as sexual offences is dependent upon the nature of the sexual misbehaviour. Some probation, parole and conditional release violations are clearly of a sexual nature, such as when a rape or a child molestation has taken place or when behaviours such as exhibitionism or possession of child pornography have occurred. These violations would count as the Index offence if they were the offender's most recent criminal justice intervention.

Generally, violations due to "high-risk" behaviour would not be considered sex offences. The most

common of these occurs when the offender has a condition not to be in the presence of children but is nevertheless charged with a breach - being in the presence of children. A breach of this nature would not be considered a sexual offence. This is a technical violation. The issue that determines if a violation of conditional release is a new sex offence or not is whether a person who has never been convicted of a sex offence could be charged and convicted of the breach behaviour. A person who has never faced criminal sanction could not be charged with being in the presence of minors; hence, because a non-criminal could not be charged with this offence, it is a technical violation. Non-sexual probation, parole and conditional release violations, and charges and convictions such as property offences or drug offences are not counted as sexual offences, even when they occur at the same time as sexual offences.

Taking the above into consideration, some high-risk behaviour may count as a sexual offence if the risk for sexual offence recidivism was truly imminent and an offence failed to occur only due to chance factors, such as detection by the supervision officer or resistance of the victim.

Definition of "Truly Imminent"
Examples of this nature would include an individual with a history of child molesting being discovered alone with a child and about to engage in a "wrestling game." Another example would be an individual with a long history of abducting teenage girls for sexual assault being apprehended while attempting to lure teenage girls into his car.

Institutional Rule Violations
Institutional rule violations resulting in institutional punishment can be counted as sex offences if certain conditions exist. The first condition is that the sexual behaviour would have to be sufficiently intrusive that a charge for a sexual offence would be possible were the offender not already under legal sanction. In other words, "if he did it on the outside would he get charged for it?" Institutional Disciplinary Reports for sexual misbehaviours that would likely result in a charge were the offender not already in custody count as charges. Poorly timed or insensitive homosexual advances would not count even though this type of behaviour might attract institutional sanctions. The second condition is that the evaluator must be sure that the sexual assaults actually occurred and the institutional punishment was for the sexual behaviour.

In a prison environment it is important to distinguish between targeted activity and non-targeted activity. Institutional disciplinary reports that result from an offender who specifically chooses a female officer and masturbates in front of her, where she is the obvious and intended target of the act, would count as a "charge" and hence, could stand as an Index offence. The alternative situation is where an offender who is masturbating in his cell is discovered by a female officer and she is not an obvious and intended target. In some jurisdictions this would lead to a Disciplinary Report. Violations of this "non-targeted" nature do not count as a "charge' and could not stand as an Index offence. If the evaluator has insufficient information to distinguish between these two types of occurrences the offender gets the benefit of the doubt and the evaluator would not score these occurrences. A further important distinction is whether the masturbation takes place covered or uncovered. Masturbating under a sheet would not be regarded as an attempt at indecent exposure.

Consider these two examples:

(1) A prisoner is masturbating under a sheet at a time when staff would not normally look in his cell. Unexpectedly a female member of staff opens the observation window, looks through the door, and observes him masturbating. This would not count as a sex offence for the purposes of STATIC-99, even if a disciplinary charge resulted.

(2) In the alternate example, a prisoner masturbates uncovered so that his erect penis is visible to anyone who looks in his cell. Prison staff have reason to believe that he listens for the lighter footsteps of a female guard approaching his cell. He times himself so that he is exposed in this fashion at the point that a female guard is looking into the cell. This would count as a sexual offence for the purposes of scoring STATIC-99 if it resulted in an institutional punishment.

Rule: Prison Misconducts and Institutional Rule Violations for Sexual Misbehaviours count as one charge per sentence
Prison misconducts for sexual misbehaviours count as one charge per sentence, even when there are multiple incidents. The reason for this is that in some jurisdictions the threshold for misconducts is very low. Often, as previously described, misconduct will involve a female guard simply looking into a cell and observing an inmate masturbating. Even in prison, serious sexual offences, rape and attempted rape will generally attract official criminal charges.

Mentally Disordered and Developmentally Delayed Offenders
Some offenders suffer from sufficient mental impairment (major mental illness, developmental delays) that criminal justice intervention is unlikely. For these offenders, informal hearings and sanctions such as placement in treatment facilities and residential moves would be counted as both a charge and a conviction for a sexual offence.

Clergy and the Military
For members of the military or religious groups (clergy) (and similar professions) some movements within their own organizations can count as charges and convictions and hence, Index offences. The offender has to receive some form of official sanction in order for it to count as a conviction. An example of this would be the "de-frocking" of a priest or minister or being publicly denounced. Another example would be where an offender is transferred within the organization and the receiving institution knows they are receiving a sex offender. If this institution considers it part of their mandate to address the offender's problem or attempt to help him with his problem then this would function as equivalent to being sent to a correctional institution, and would count as a conviction and could be used as an Index Offence.
For members of the military, a religious group (clergy) or teachers (and similar professions) being transferred to a new parish/school/post or being sent to graduate school for re-training does not count as a conviction and cannot be used as an Index Offence.

Juveniles
Instances in which juveniles (ages 12–15) are placed into residential care for sexual aggression would count as a charge and conviction for a sexual offence. In jurisdictions where 16 and 17 year old sexual offenders remain in a juvenile justice system (not charged, tried, and sent to jail as adults are), where it is possible to be sent to a "home" or "placement", this would count as a charge and a conviction for a sexual offence. In jurisdictions where juveniles aged 16 and 17 are charged, convicted, sentenced, and jailed much like adults, juvenile charges and convictions (between ages 16 & 17) would be counted the same as adult charges and convictions.

Sexual misbehaviour of children 11 or under would not count as a sex offence unless it resulted in official charges.

Official Cautions – United Kingdom
In the United Kingdom, an official caution should be treated as equivalent to a charge and a conviction.

Similar Fact Crimes
An Offender assaults three different women on three different occasions. On the first two occasions he grabs the woman as she is walking past a wooded area, drags her into the bushes and rapes her. For this he is convicted twice of Sexual Assault (rape). In the third case he grabs the woman, starts to drag her into the bushes but she is so resistant that he beats her severely and leaves her. In this case he is convicted of Aggravated Assault. In order for the conviction to be counted as a sexual offence, it must have a sexual motivation. In a case like this it is reasonable to assume that the Aggravated Assault had a sexual motivation because it resembles the other sexual offences so closely. In the absence of any other indication to the contrary this Aggravated Assault would also be counted as a sexual offence. Note: This crime could also count as Non-sexual Violence.

Please also read subsection "Coding Crime Sprees" in section "Item #5 – Prior Sex Offences".

Index offence
The Index offence is generally the most recent sexual offence. It could be a charge, arrest, conviction, or rule violation (see definition of a sexual offence, earlier in this section). Sometimes Index offences include multiple counts, multiple victims, and numerous crimes perpetrated at different times because the offender may not have been detected and apprehended. Some offenders are apprehended after a spree of offending. If this results in a single conviction regardless of the number of counts, all counts are considered part of the Index offence. Convictions for sexual offences that are subsequently overturned on appeal can count as the Index offence. Charges for sexual offences can count as the Index Offence, even if the offender is later acquitted.

Most of the STATIC-99 sample (about 70%) had no prior sexual offences on their record; their Index offence was their first recorded sexual misbehaviour. As a result, the STATIC-99 is valid with offenders facing their first sexual charges.

Acquittals
Acquittals count as charges and can be used as the Index Offence.

Convictions Overturned on Appeal
Convictions that are subsequently overturned on appeal can count as an Index Offence.

"Detected" by Child Protection Services
Being "detected" by the Children's Aid Society or other Child Protection Services does not count as an official sanction; it may not stand as a charge or a conviction. This is insufficient to create a new Index Offence.

Revocation of Conditional Release for "Lifers", Dangerous Offenders, and Others with Indeterminate Sentences – As an Index Offence
Occasionally, offenders on conditional release in the community who have a life sentence, who have been designated as Dangerous Offenders (Canada C.C.C. Sec. 753) or other offenders with indeterminate sentences either commit a new offence or breach their release conditions while in the community. Sometimes, when this happens the offenders have their conditional releases revoked and are simply returned to prison rather than being charged with a new offence or violation. Generally, this is done to save time and court resources as these offenders are already under sentence.

If a "lifer", Dangerous Offender, or other offender with an already imposed indeterminate sentence is simply revoked (returned to prison from conditional release in the community without trial) for a sexual behaviour this can serve as the Index Sexual Offence if the behaviour is of such gravity that a person not already involved with the criminal justice system would most likely be charged with a sexual criminal offence given the same behaviour. Note: the evaluator should be sure that were this offender not already under sanction that it is highly likely that a sexual offence charge would be laid by police.

Historical Offences
The evaluator may face a situation where an offender is brought before the court on a series of sexual offences, all of which happened several years in the past. This most often occurs when an offender has offended against children in the past and as these children mature they come forward and charge the perpetrator. After the first charge is laid it is not unusual for other victims to appear and lay subsequent charges. The evaluator may be faced with an offender with multiple charges, multiple court dates, and possibly multiple convictions who has never before been to court – or who has never before been sanctioned for sexual misbehaviour. In a case like this, where the offender is before the court for the first time, all of the charges, court appearances and convictions become what is known as an "Index Cluster" and they are all counted as part of the Index Offence.

Index Cluster
An offender may commit a number of sexual offences in different jurisdictions, over a protracted period, in a spree of offending prior to being detected or arrested. Even though the offender may have a number of sentencing dates in different jurisdictions, the subsequent charges and convictions would constitute an "Index Cluster". These "spree" offences would group together – the early ones would not be considered "priors" and the last, the "Index", they all become the "Index Cluster". This is because the offender has not been "caught" and sanctioned for the earlier offences and then "chosen" to re-offend in spite of the sanction. Furthermore, historical offences that are detected after the offender is convicted of a more recent sexual offence would be considered part of the Index offence (pseudo-recidivism) and become part of the Index Cluster (See subsequent section).

For two offences to be considered separate offences, the second offence must have been committed after the offender was detected and detained and/or sanctioned for the previous offence. For example, an offence committed while an offender was released on bail for a previous sexual offence would supersede the previous charge and become the Index offence. This is because the offender knew he/she had been detected for their previous crimes but chose to re-offend anyway.

An Index cluster can occur in three ways.
The first occurs when an offender commits multiple offences at the same time and these offences are then subsequently dealt with as a group by the police and the courts.

The second occurs when an Index offence has been identified for an offender and following this the evaluator becomes aware of previous historical offences for which the offender has never previously been charged or convicted. These previous offences come forward and become part of the "Index Cluster". This is also known as "Pseudo-recidivism". It is important to remember, these historical charges do not count as "priors" because the offending behaviour was not consequenced before the offender committed the Index offence. The issue being, the offender has not been previously sanctioned for his behaviour and then made the choice to re-offend.

The third situation arises when an offender is charged with several offences that come to trial within a short period of time (a month or so). When the criminal record is reviewed it appears that a cluster of charges were laid at the end of an investigation and that the court could not attend to all of these charges in one sitting day. When the evaluator sees groups of charges where it appears that a lot of offending has finally "caught up" with an offender – these can be considered a "cluster". If these charges happen to be the last charges they become an Index Cluster. The evaluator would not count the last court day as the "Index" and the earlier ones as "priors". A second example of this occurs when an offender goes on a crime "spree" – the offender repeatedly offends over time, but is not detected or caught. Eventually, after two or more crimes, the offender is detected, charged, and goes to court. But he has not been independently sanctioned between the multiple offences.

For Example: An offender commits a rape, is apprehended, charged, and released on bail. Very shortly after his release, he commits another rape, is apprehended and charged. Because the offender was apprehended and charged between crimes this does not qualify as a crime "spree" – these charges and possible eventual convictions would be considered separate crimes. If these charges were the last sexual offences on the offender's record – the second charge would become the Index and the first charge would become a "Prior".

However, if an offender commits a rape in January, another in March, another in May, and another in July and is finally caught and charged for all four in August this constitutes a crime "spree" because he was not detected or consequenced between these crimes. As such, this spree of sexual offences, were they the most recent sexual offences on the offenders record, would be considered an "Index Cluster" and all four rape offences would count as "Index" not just the last one.

Pseudo-recidivism
Pseudo-recidivism occurs when an offender currently involved in the criminal justice process is charged with old offences for which they have never before been charged. This occurs most commonly with sexual offenders when public notoriety or media publicity surrounding their trial or release leads other victims of past offences to come forward and lay new charges. Because the offender has not been charged or consequenced for these misbehaviours previously, they have not experienced a legal consequence and then chosen to re-offend.

For Example: Mr. Jones was convicted in 1998 of three sexual assaults of children. These sexual assaults took place in the 1970's. As a result of the publicity surrounding Mr. Jones' possible release in 2002, two more victims, now adults, come forward and lay new charges in 2002. These offences also took place in the 1970's but these victims did not come forward until 2002. Because Mr. Jones had never been sanctioned for these offences they were not on his record when he was convicted in 1998. Offences for which the offender has never been sanctioned that come to light once the offender is in the judicial process are considered "pseudo-recidivism" and are counted as part of the "Index Cluster". Historical charges of this nature are not counted as "priors".

The basic concept is that the offender has to be sanctioned for previous mis-behaviours and then "chose" to ignore that sanction and re-offend anyway. If he chooses to re-offend after a sanction then he creates a new offence and this offence is considered part of the record, usually a new Index offence. If historical offences come to light, for which the offender has never been sanctioned, once the offender is in the system for another sexual offence, these offences "come forward' and join the Index Offence to form an "Index Cluster".

Post-Index Offences
Offences that occur after the Index offence do not count for STATIC-99 purposes. Post-Index sexual offences create a new Index offence. Post-Index violent offences should be considered "external" risk factors and would be included separately in any report about the offender's behaviour.

For Example, Post-Index Sexual Offences: Consider a case where an offender commits a sexual offence, is apprehended, charged, and released on bail. You are assigned to evaluate this offender but before you can complete your evaluation he commits another sexual offence, is apprehended and charged. Because the offender was apprehended, charged, and released this does not qualify as a crime "spree". He chose to re-offend in spite of knowing that he was under legal sanction. These new charges and possible eventual convictions would be considered a separate crime. In a situation of this nature the new charges would create a new sexual offence and become the new Index offence. If these charges happened to be the last sexual offences on the offender's record – the most recent charges would become the Index and the charge on which he was first released on bail would become a "Prior" Sexual Offence.

For Example, Post-Index Violent Offences: Consider a case where an offender in prison on a sexual offence commits and is convicted of a serious violent offence. This violent offence would not be scored on either Item #3 (Index Non-sexual Violence convictions) or Item #4 (Prior Non-sexual Violence convictions) but would be referred to separately, as an "external risk factor", outside the context of the STATIC-99 assessment, in any subsequent report on the offender.

Static-99R Scoring

Item # 1 - Young

Replaces Age Item in Official Static-99 Coding Rules (Harris, Phenix, Hanson, & Thornton, 2003)

1. Age at Release

The Basic Principle: The rates of almost all crimes decrease as people age (Hirschi & Gottfredson, 1983; Sampson & Laub, 2003). Sexual offending does not appear to be an exception. Most studies have found that older sexual offenders are lower risk to reoffend than younger sexual offenders (Barbaree & Blanchard, 2008; Hanson, 2002, 2006). Research has found that the original Static-99 did not fully account for age at release and that a new age weighting had greater predictive accuracy (Helmus, 2009). With the new age weighting (used in this item), age at release no longer significantly contributed to the prediction of sexual recidivism. Similar results were found in subgroups of rapists and child molesters.

Information Required to Score This Item: To complete this item the evaluator should confirm the offender's birth date from official records if possible or have other knowledge of the offender's age through collateral report or offender self-report.

The Basic Rule: Score -3 to 1 point depending on the age of the offender, referencing the table below.

AGE	SCORE
18 to 34.9	1
35 to 39.9	0
40 to 59.9	-1
60 or older	-3

Item # 2 – Ever Lived with an Intimate Partner – 2 Years

The Basic Principle: Research suggests that having a prolonged intimate connection to someone may be a protective factor against sexual re-offending. See Hanson and Bussière (1998), Table 1 – Items "Single (never married) and Married (currently)". On the whole, we know that the relative risk to sexually reoffend is lower in men who have been able to form intimate partnerships.

Information Required to score this Item: To complete this item it is highly desirable that the evaluator confirm the offender's relationship history through collateral sources or official records.

The Basic Rule: If the offender has never had an intimate adult relationship of two years duration you score the offender a "1" on this item. If the offender has had an intimate adult relationship of two years duration you score the offender a "0" on this item.
The intent of this item is to reflect whether the offender has the personality/psychological resources, as an adult, to establish a relatively stable "marriage-like" relationship with another person. It does not matter whether the intimate relationship was/is homosexual or heterosexual.

- **Missing Items** – The only item that may be omitted on the STATIC-99 is this one (Ever Lived With – Item #2). If no information is available this item should be scored a "0" (zero) – as if the offender has lived with an intimate partner for two years.
- To complete this item the evaluator should make an attempt to confirm the offender's relationship history through collateral sources and official records. In the absence of these sources self-report information may be utilized, assuming of course, that the self-report seems credible and reasonable to the evaluator. There may be certain cases (immigrants, refugees from third world countries) where it is not possible to access collaterals or official records. Where the evaluator, based upon the balance

of probabilities, is convinced this person has lived with an intimate partner for two years the evaluator may score this item a "0". It is greatly preferred that you confirm the existence of this relationship through collateral contacts or official records. This should certainly be done if the assessment is being carried out in an adversarial context where the offender would have a real motive to pretend to a non-existent relationship.

- In cases where confirmation of relationship history is not possible or feasible the evaluator may chose to score this item both ways and report the difference in risk estimate in their final report.

If a person has been incarcerated most of their life or is still quite young and has not had the opportunity to establish an intimate relationship of two years duration, they are still scored as never having lived with an intimate partner for two years. They score a "1". There are two reasons for this. The first being, this was the way this item was scored in the original samples and to change this definition now would distance the resulting recidivism estimates from those validated on the STATIC-99. Secondly, having been part of, or experienced, a sustained relationship may well be a protective factor for sexual offending. As a result, the reason why this protective factor is absent is immaterial to the issue of risk itself.

The offender is given a point for this item if he has never lived with an adult lover (male or female) for at least two years. An adult is an individual who is over the age of consent to marriage. The period of cohabitation must be continuous with the same person.

Generally, relationships with adult victims do not count. However, if the offender and the victim had two years of intimate relationship before the sexual offences occurred then this relationship would count, and the offender would score a "0" on this item. However, if the sexual abuse started before the offender and the victim had been living together in an intimate relationship for two years then the relationship would not count regardless of its length.

Cases where the offender has lived over two years with a child victim in a "lover" relationship do not count as living with an intimate partner and the offender would be scored a "1" on this item. Illegal relationships (Incestuous relationship with his Mother) and live-in relationships with "once child" victims do not count as "living together" for the purposes of this item and once again the offender would score a "1" on this item. A "once child" victim is the situation where the offender abused a child but that victim is either still living, as an adult, in an intimate relationship with the offender or who has lived, as an adult, in an intimate relationship with the offender.

Exclusions

- Legal marriages involving less than two years of co-habitation do not count
- Male lovers in prison would not count
- Prison marriages (of any duration) where the offender is incarcerated during the term of the relationship do not count
- Illegal relationships, such as when the offender has had an incestuous relationship with his mother do not count
- Intimate relationships with non-human species do not count
- Relationships with victims do not count (see above for exception)
- Priests and others who for whatever reason have chosen, as a lifestyle, not to marry/co-habitate are still scored as having never lived with an intimate partner

Extended Absences

In some jurisdictions it is common for an offender to be away from the marital/family home for extended periods. The offender is generally working on oilrigs, fishing boats, bush camps, military assignment, or other venues of this nature. While the risk assessment instrument requires the intimate co-habitation to be continuous there is room for discretion. If the offender has an identifiable "home" that he/she shares with a lover and the intimate relationship is longer than two years, the evaluator should look at the nature and consistency of the relationship. The evaluator should attempt to determine, in spite of these prolonged absences, whether this relationship looks like an honest attempt at a long-term committed relationship and not just a relationship of convenience.

If this relationship looks like an honest attempt at a long-term committed relationship then the evaluator would score the offender a "0" on this item as this would be seen as an intimate relationship of greater than two years duration. If the evaluator thinks that the relationship is a relationship of convenience, the offender would score a "1". If the living together relationship is of long duration (three plus years) then the periods of absence can be fairly substantial (four months in a logging camp/oil rig, or six months or more on military assignment).

Item # 3 – Index Non-sexual Violence (NSV) – Any Convictions

The Basic Principle: A meta-analytic review of the literature indicates that having a history of violence is a predictive factor for future violence. See Hanson and Bussière (1998), Table 2 – Item "Prior Violent Offences". The presence of non-sexual violence predicts the seriousness of damage were a re-offence to occur and is strongly indicative of whether overt violence will occur (Hanson & Bussière, 1998). This item was included in the STATIC-99 because in the original samples this item demonstrated a small positive relationship with sexual recidivism (Hanson & Thornton, unpublished data).
In English data, convictions for non-sexual violence were specifically predictive of rape (forced sexual penetration) rather than all kinds of sexual offenses (Thornton & Travers, 1991). In some English data sets this item has also been predictive of reconviction for any sex offense.

Information Required to Score this Item: To score this item the evaluator must have access to an official criminal record as compiled by police, court, or correctional authorities. Self-report of criminal convictions may not be used to score this item except in specific rare situations, please see sub-section "Self-report and the STATIC-99" in the Introduction section.

The Basic Rule: If the offender's criminal record shows a separate conviction for a non-sexual violent offence at the same time they were convicted of their Index Offence, you score the offender a "1" on this item. If the offender's criminal record does not show a separate conviction for a non-sexual violent offence at the same time they were convicted of their Index Offence, you score the offender a "0" on this item.

This item refers to convictions for non-sexual violence that are dealt with on the same sentencing occasion as the Index sex offence. A separate Non-sexual violence conviction is required to score this item. These convictions can involve the same victim as the Index sex offence or they can involve a different victim. All non-sexual violence convictions are included, providing they were dealt with on the same sentencing occasion as the Index sex offence(s).
Both adult and juvenile convictions count in this section. In cases where a juvenile is not charged with a violent offence but is moved to a secure or more secure residential placement as the result of a nonsexually violent incident, this counts as a conviction for Non-sexual Violence.
Included are:

- Aggravated Assault
- Arson
- Assault
- Assault causing bodily harm
- Assault Peace/Police Officer
- Attempted Abduction
- Attempted Robbery
- False Imprisonment
- Felonious Assault
- Forcible Confinement
- Give Noxious Substance (alcohol, narcotics, or other stupefacient in order to impair a victim)
- Grand Theft Person ("Grand Theft Person" is a variation on Robbery and may be counted as Non-sexual violence)
- Juvenile Non-sexual Violence convictions count on this item
- Kidnapping

- Murder
- "PINS" Petition (Person in need of supervision) There have been cases where a juvenile has been removed from his home by judicial action under a "PINS" petition due to violent actions. This would count as a conviction for Non-sexual violence.
- Robbery
- Threatening
- Using/pointing a weapon/firearm in the commission of an offence
- Violation of a Domestic Violence Order (Restraining Order) (a conviction for)
- Wounding

Note: If the conviction was "Battery" or "Assault" and the evaluator knew that there was a sexual component, this would count as a sexual offence and as a Non-sexual Violence offence.

Excluded are:

- Arrest/charges do not count
- Convictions overturned on appeal do not count
- Non-sexual violence that occurs after the Index offence does not count
- Institutional rules violations cannot count as Non-sexual Violence convictions
- Do not count driving accidents or convictions for Negligence causing Death or Injury

Weapons offences

Weapons offences do not count unless the weapon was used in the commission of a violent or a sexual offence. For example, an offender might be charged with a sexual offence and then in a search of the offenders home the police discover a loaded firearm. As a result, the offender is convicted, in addition to the sexual offence, of unsafe weapons storage. This would not count as a conviction for non-sexual violence as the weapons were not used in the commission of a violent or sexual offence.

A conviction for Possession of a firearm or Possession of a firearm without a license would generally not count as a non-sexual violent offence. A conviction for Pointing a firearm would generally count as nonsexual violence as long as the weapon was used to threaten or gain victim compliance. Intent to harm or menace the victim with the weapon must be present in order to score a point on this item.

Resisting arrest

"Resisting Arrest" does not count as non-sexual violence. In Canadian law this charge could apply to individuals who run from an officer or who hold onto a lamppost to delay arrest. If an offender fights back he will generally be charged with "Assault a Peace/Police Officer" which would count as non-sexual violence.

Convictions that are coded as only "sexual"

- Sexual Assault, Sexual Assault with a Weapon, Aggravated Sexual Assault, and Sexual Assault Causing Bodily Harm are not coded separately as Non-sexual Violence – these convictions are simply coded as sexual
- Assault with Intent to Commit Rape (U.S. Charge) – A conviction under this charge is scored as only a sex offence – Do not code as Non-sexual Violence
- Convictions for "Sexual Battery" (U.S. Charge) – A conviction under this charge is scored as only a sex offence – Do not code as Non-sexual Violence

Situations where points are scored both for a "Sexual Offence" and a Non-sexual Violence offence

An offender may initially be charged with one count of sexual assault of a child but plea-bargains this down to one Forcible Confinement and one Physical Assault of a Child. In this instance, both offences would be considered sexual offences (they could be used as an "Index" offence or could be used as "priors" if appropriate) as well; a risk point would be given for non-sexual violence.

If you have an individual convicted of Kidnapping/Forcible Confinement (or a similar offence) and it is known, based on the balance of probabilities, this was a sexual offence - this offence may count as the "Index" sexual offence or you may score this conviction as a sexual offence under Prior Sexual Offences,

whichever is appropriate given the circumstances.

Military
If an "undesirable discharge" is given to a member of the military as the direct result of a violent offence (striking an officer, or the like) this would count as a Non-sexual Violence conviction and as a sentencing date (Item #6). However, if the member left the military when he normally would have and the "undesirable discharge" is equivalent to a bad job reference, this offence would not count as Non-sexual Violence or as a Sentencing Date.

Murder – With a sexual component
A sexual murderer who only gets convicted of murder would get one risk point for Non-sexual violence, but this murder would also count as a sexual offence.

Revocation of Conditional Release for "Lifers", Dangerous Offenders, and Others with Indeterminate Sentences
If a "lifer", Dangerous Offender, or other offender with an already imposed indeterminate sentence is simply revoked (returned to prison from conditional release in the community without trial) for a sexual behaviour that would generally attract a sexual charge if the offender were not already under sanction and at the same time this same offender committed a violent act sufficient that it would generally attract a separate criminal charge for a violent offence, this offender can be scored for Index Non-sexual Violence when the accompanying sexual behaviour stands as the Index offence. Note: the evaluator should be sure that were this offender not already under sanction that it is highly likely that both a sexual offence charge and a violent offence charge would be laid by police.

Item # 4 – Prior Non-sexual Violence – Any Convictions

The Basic Principle: A meta-analytic review of the literature indicates that having a history of violence is a predictive factor for future violence. See Hanson and Bussière (1998), Table 2 – Item "Prior Violent Offences". The presence of non-sexual violence predicts the seriousness of damage were a re-offence to occur and is strongly indicative of whether overt violence will occur (Hanson & Bussière, 1998). This item was included in the STATIC-99 because in the original samples this item demonstrated a small positive relationship with sexual recidivism (Hanson & Thornton, unpublished data).

In English data, convictions for prior non-sexual violence were specifically predictive of rape (forced sexual penetration) rather than all kinds of sexual offenses (Thornton & Travers, 1991). In some English data sets this item has also been predictive of reconviction for any sex offense. Sub-analyses of additional data sets confirm the relation of prior non-sexual violence and sexual recidivism (Hanson & Thornton, 2002).

Information Required to Score this Item: To score this item the evaluator must have access to an official criminal record as compiled by police, court, or correctional authorities. Self-report of criminal convictions may not be used to score this item except in specific rare situations, please see sub-section "Self-report and the STATIC-99" in the Introduction section.

The Basic Rule: If the offender's criminal record shows a separate conviction for a non-sexual violent offence prior to the Index Offence, you score the offender a "1" on this item. If the offender's criminal record does not show a separate conviction for a non-sexual violent offence prior to their Index Offence, you score the offender a "0" on this item.

This item refers to convictions for non-sexual violence that are dealt with on a sentencing occasion that pre-dates the Index sex offence sentencing occasion. A separate non-sexual violence conviction is required to score this item. These convictions can involve the same victim as the Index sex offence or they can involve a different victim, but the offender must have been convicted for this non-sexual violent offence before the sentencing date for the Index offence. All non-sexual violence convictions are

included, providing they were dealt with on a sentencing occasion prior to the Index sex offence.
Both adult and juvenile convictions count in this section. In cases where a juvenile is not charged with a violent offence but is moved to a secure or more secure residential placement as the result of a nonsexually violent incident, this counts as a conviction for Non-sexual Violence.

Included are:

- Aggravated Assault
- Arson
- Assault
- Assault Causing Bodily Harm
- Assault Peace/Police Officer
- Attempted Abduction
- Attempted Robbery
- False Imprisonment
- Felonious Assault
- Forcible Confinement
- Give Noxious Substance (alcohol, narcotics, or other stupefacient in order to impair a victim)
- Grand Theft Person ("Grand Theft Person" is a variation on Robbery and may be counted as Non-sexual violence)
- Juvenile Non-sexual Violence convictions count on this item
- Kidnapping
- Murder
- "PINS" Petition (Person in need of supervision) There have been cases where a juvenile has been removed from his home by judicial action under a "PINS" petition due to violent actions. This would count as a conviction for Non-sexual violence.
- Robbery
- Threatening
- Using/pointing a weapon/firearm in the commission of an offence
- Violation of a Domestic Violence Order (Restraining Order) (a conviction for)
- Wounding

Note: If the conviction was "Battery" or "Assault" and the evaluator knew that there was a sexual component, this would count as a sexual offence and as a Non-sexual Violence offence.

Excluded are:

- Arrest/charges do not count
- Convictions overturned on appeal do not count
- Non-sexual violence that occurs after the Index offence does not count
- Institutional rules violations cannot count as Non-sexual Violence convictions
- Do not count driving accidents or convictions for Negligence causing Death or Injury

Weapons offences

Weapons offences do not count unless the weapon was used in the commission of a violent or a sexual offence. For example, an offender might be charged with a sexual offence and then in a search of the offenders home the police discover a loaded firearm. As a result, the offender is convicted, in addition to the sexual offence, of unsafe weapons storage. This would not count as a conviction for non-sexual violence as the weapons were not used in the commission of a violent or sexual offence.
A conviction for Possession of a firearm or Possession of a firearm without a license would generally not count as a non-sexual violent offence. A conviction for Pointing a firearm would generally count as nonsexual violence as long as the weapon was used to threaten or gain victim compliance. Intent to harm or menace the victim with the weapon must be present in order to score a point on this item.

Resisting arrest
"Resisting Arrest" does not count as non-sexual violence. In Canadian law this charge could apply to individuals who run from an officer or who hold onto a lamppost to delay arrest. If an offender fights back he will generally be charged with "Assault a Peace/Police Officer" which would count as non-sexual violence.

Convictions that are coded as only "sexual"

- Sexual Assault, Sexual Assault with a Weapon, Aggravated Sexual Assault, and Sexual Assault Causing Bodily Harm are not coded separately as Non-sexual Violence – these convictions are simply coded as sexual
- Assault with Intent to Commit Rape (U.S. Charge) – A conviction under this charge is scored as only a sex offence – Do not code as Non-sexual Violence
- Convictions for "Sexual Battery" (U.S. Charge) – A conviction under this charge is scored as only a sex offence – Do not code as Non-sexual Violence

Situations where points are scored both for a "Sexual Offence" and a Non-sexual Violence offence
An offender may initially be charged with one count of sexual assault of a child but plea-bargains this down to one Forcible Confinement and one Physical Assault of a Child. In this instance, both offences would be considered sexual offences (they could be used as an "Index" offence or could be used as "priors" if appropriate) as well; a risk point would be given for non-sexual violence.

If you have an individual convicted of Kidnapping/Forcible Confinement (or a similar offence) and it is known, based on the balance of probabilities, this was a sexual offence - this offence may count as the "Index" offence or you may score this conviction as a sexual offence under Prior Sexual Offences, whichever is appropriate given the circumstances.

Military
If an "undesirable discharge" is given to a member of the military as the direct result of a violent offence (striking an officer, or the like) this would count as a Non-sexual Violence conviction and as a sentencing date (Item #6). However, if the member left the military when he normally would have and the "undesirable discharge" is equivalent to a bad job reference, this offence would not count as Non-sexual Violence or as a Sentencing Date.

Murder – With a sexual component
A sexual murderer who only gets convicted of murder would get one risk point for Non-sexual violence, but this murder would also count as a sexual offence.

Revocation of Conditional Release for "Lifers", Dangerous Offenders, and Others with Indeterminate Sentences
If a "lifer", Dangerous Offender, or other offender with an already imposed indeterminate sentence has been revoked (returned to prison from conditional release in the community without trial) for a Nonsexual Violent offence that happened prior to the Index sexual offence (or Index Cluster) this revocation can stand as a conviction for Non-sexual Violence if that non-sexually violent act were sufficient that it would generally attract a separate criminal charge for a violent offence. Note: the evaluator should be sure that were this offender not already under sanction that it is highly likely that a violent offence charge would be laid by police.

Item # 5 – Prior Sex Offences

The Basic Principle: This item and the others that relate to criminal history and the measurement of persistence of criminal activity are based on a firm foundation in the behavioural literature. As long ago as 1911 Thorndyke stated that the "the best predictor of future behaviour, is past behaviour". Andrews & Bonta (2003) state that having a criminal history is one of the "Big Four" predictors of future criminal behaviour. More recently, and specific to sexual offenders, a meta-analytic review of the literature

indicates that having prior sex offences is a predictive factor for sexual recidivism. See Hanson and Bussière (1998), Table 1 – Item "Prior Sex Offences".

Information Required to Score this Item: To score this item you must have access to an official criminal record as compiled by police, court, or correctional authorities. Self-report of criminal convictions may not be used to score this item except in specific rare situations, please see sub-section "Self-report and the STATIC-99" in the Introduction section.

The Basic Rule: This is the only item in the STATIC-99 that is not scored on a simple "0" or "1" dichotomy. From the offender's official criminal record, charges and convictions are summed separately. Charges that are not proceeded with or which do not result in a conviction are counted for this item. If the record you are reviewing only shows convictions, each conviction is also counted as a charge.
Charges and convictions are summed separately and these totals are then transferred to the chart below.
Note: For this item, arrests for a sexual offence are counted as "charges".

Prior Sexual Offences		
Charges	**Convictions**	**Final Score**
None	None	0
1-2	1	1
3-5	2-3	2
6+	4	3

Whichever column, charges or convictions, gives the offender the "higher" final score is the column that determines the final score. Examples are given later in this section.
This item is based on officially recorded institutional rules violations, probation, parole and conditional release violations, charges, and convictions. Only institutional rule s violations, probation, parole, and conditional release violations, charges, and convictions of a sexual nature that occur **PRIOR** to the Index offence are included.

Do not count the Index Sexual Offence

The Index sexual offence charge(s) and conviction(s) are not counted, even when there are multiple offences and/or victims involved, and the offences occurred over a long period of time.

Count all sexual offences prior to the Index Offence

All pre-Index sexual charges and convictions are coded, even when they involve the same victim, or multiple counts of the same offence. For example, three charges for sexual assault involving the same victim would count as three separate charges. Remember, "counts count". If an offender is charged with six counts of Invitation to Sexual Touching and is convicted of two counts you would score a "6" under charges and a "2" under convictions. Convictions do not take priority over charges. If the record you are reviewing only shows convictions, each conviction is also counted as a charge.

Generally when an offender is arrested, they are initially charged with one or more criminal charges. However, these charges may change as the offender progresses through the criminal justice system. Occasionally, charges are dropped for a variety of legal reasons, or "pled down" to obtain a final plea bargain. As a basic rule, when calculating charges use the most recent charging document as your source of official charges.

In some cases a number of charges are laid by the police and as the court date approaches these charges are "pled-down" to fewer charges. When calculating charges and convictions you count the number of charges that go to court. In other cases an offender may be charged with a serious sexual offence (Aggravated Sexual Assault) and in the course of plea bargaining agrees to plead to two (or more) lesser charges (Assault). Once again, you count the charges that go to court and in a case like this the offender would score as having more charges than were originally laid by the police.

When scoring this item, counting charges and convictions, it is important to use an official criminal record. One incident can result in several charges or convictions. For example, an offender perpetrates a

rape where he penetrates the victim once digitally and once with his penis while holding her in a room against her will. This may result in two convictions for Sexual Battery (Sexual Assault or equivalent) and one conviction of False Imprisonment (Forcible Confinement or equivalent). So long as it is known that the False Imprisonment was part of the sexual offence, the offender would be scored as having three (3) sexual charges, three (3) sexual convictions and an additional risk point for a conviction of Non-sexual Violence [the False Imprisonment] (Either "Index" {Item #3} or "Prior" {Item #4} as appropriate).

Probation, Parole and Conditional Release Violations
If an offender violates probation, parole, or conditional release with a sexual misbehaviour, these violations are counted as one charge.

If the offender violates probation or parole on more than one occasion, within a given probation or parole period, each separate occasion of a sexual misbehaviour violation is counted as one charge. For example, a parole violation for indecent exposure in July would count as one charge. If the offender had another parole violation in November for possession of child pornography, it would be coded as a second charge. Multiple probation, parole and conditional release violations for sexual misbehaviours laid at the same time are coded as one charge. Even though the offender may have violated several conditions of parole during one parole period, it is only counted as one charge, even if there were multiple sex violations. The following is an example of counting charges and convictions.

Prior Sexual Offences

Charges	Convictions	Final Score
None	None	0
1-2	1	1
3-5	2-3	2
6+	4	3

Charges and Convictions are counted separately – the column that gives the higher final score is the column that scores the item. It is possible to have six (6+) or more charges for a sexual offence and no convictions. Were this to happen, the offender's final score would be a three (3) for this item.

Acquittals
Acquittals count as charges and can be used as the Index Offence. The reason that acquittals are scored this way is based upon a research study completed in England that found that men acquitted of rape are more likely to be convicted of sexual offences in the follow-up period than men who had been found guilty {with equal times at risk} (Soothill et al., 1980).
Note: Acquittals do not count for Item #6 – Prior Sentencing Dates.

Adjudication Withheld
In some jurisdictions it is possible to attract a finding of "Adjudication Withheld", in which case the offender receives a probation-like period of supervision. This is counted as a conviction because a sentence was given.

Appeals
If an offender is convicted and the conviction is later overturned on appeal, code as one charge.

Arrests Count
In some instances, the offender has been arrested for a sexual offence, questioning takes place but no formal charges are filed. If the offender is arrested for a sexual offence and no formal charges are filed, a "1" is coded under charges, and a "0" is coded under convictions. If the offender is arrested and one or more formal charges are filed, the total number of charges is coded, even when no conviction ensues.

Coding "Crime Sprees"
Occasionally, an evaluator may have to score the STATIC-99 on an offender who has been caught at the end of a long line of offences. For example, over a 20-day period an offender breaks into 5 homes, each of which is the home of an elderly female living alone. One he rapes, one he attempts to rape but she gets

away, and three more get away, one with a physical struggle (he grabs her wrists, tells her to shut up). The offender is subsequently charged with Sexual Assault, Attempted Sexual Assault, B & E with Intent (X2), and an Assault. The question is, do all the charges count as sexual offences, or just the two charges that are clearly sexual? Or, does the evaluator score the two sex charges as sex charges and the assault charges as Non-sexual Violence?

In cases such as this, code all 5 offences as sex offences - based upon the following thinking:

1) From the evidence presented this appears to be a "focused" crime spree – We assume the evaluator has little doubt what would have happened had the women not escaped or fought back.
2) Our opinion of "focus" is reinforced by the exclusive nature of the victim group, "elderly females". This offender appears to want something specific, and, the very short time span - 20 days – leads us to believe that the offender was feeling some sexual or psychological pressure to offend.
3) An attempted contact sex offence is scored as a contact sex offence for the purposes of the STATIC-99. Charges such as Attempted Sexual Assault (Rape) and Invitation to Sexual Touching are coded as contact sex offences due to their intention.
4) We recommend that if the evaluator "based on the balance of probabilities" (not "beyond a reasonable doubt") - is convinced that sex offences were about to occur that these actions can be counted as sex offences.
5) Please also read sub-section "Similar Fact Crimes" in the "Definitions" section.

Conditional Discharges
Where an offender has been charged with a sexual offence and receives a Conditional Discharge, for the purposes of the STATIC-99 a conditional discharge counts as a conviction and a sentencing date.

Consent Decree
Where applicable, "Consent Decree" counts as a conviction and a sentencing date.

Court Supervision
In some states it is possible to receive a sentence of Court Supervision, where the court provides some degree of minimal supervision for a period (one year), this is similar to probation and counts as a conviction.

Detection by Child Protection Officials
Being "detected" by the Children's Aid Society or other Child Protection Services does not count as an official sanction; it may not stand as a charge or a conviction.

Extension of Sentence by a Parole Board (or similar)
In some jurisdictions Parole Boards (or similar) have the power to extend the maximum period of incarceration beyond that determined by the court. If an offender is assigned extra time, added to their sentence, by a parole board for a sexual criminal offence this counts as an additional sexual charge and conviction. The new additional period of incarceration must extend the total sentence and must be for sexual misbehaviour. This would not count as a sexual conviction if the additional time was to be served concurrently or if it only changed the parole eligibility date. This situation is not presently possible in Canada.

Giving Alcohol to a Minor
The charge of Giving Alcohol to a Minor (or its equivalent, drugs, alcohol, noxious substance, or other stupefacient) – can count as a sexual offence (both charge and conviction) if the substance was given with the intention of making it easier to commit a sexual offence. If there were evidence the alcohol (or substance) was given to the victim just prior to the sexual assault, this would count as a sexual offence. If there is no evidence about what went on, or the temporal sequence of events, the substance charge would not count as a sexual offence.

Institutional Disciplinary Reports
Institutional Disciplinary Reports for sexual misbehaviours that would likely result in a charge were the offender not already in custody count as charges. In a prison environment it is important to distinguish between targeted activity and non-targeted activity. Institutional disciplinary reports that result from an offender who specifically chooses a female guard and masturbates in front of her, where she is the obvious and intended target of the act would count as a "charge" and hence, could stand as an Index offence. The alternative situation is where an offender who is masturbating in his cell and is discovered by a female employee and she is not an obvious and intended target. In some jurisdictions this would lead to a Disciplinary Report. Violations of this "non-targeted" nature do not count as a "charge' and could not stand as an Index offence. If you have insufficient information to distinguish between these two types of occurrences the offender gets the benefit of the doubt and you do not score the occurrence. An example of a behaviour that might get an inmate a disciplinary charge, but would not be used as a charge for scoring the STATIC-99, includes the inmate who writes an unwanted love letter to a female staff. The letter does not contain sexual content to the extent that the offender could be charged. Incidents of this nature do not count as a charge.

Prison misconducts for sexual misbehaviours count as one charge per sentence, even when there are multiple incidents. The reason for this is that in some jurisdictions the threshold for misconducts is very low. Often, as previously described, misconduct will involve a female guard simply looking into a cell and observing an inmate masturbating. Even in prison, serious sexual offences, rape and attempted rape will generally attract official criminal charges.

Juvenile Offences
Both adult and juvenile charges and convictions count when scoring this item. In cases where a juvenile was not charged with a sexual offence but was moved to a secure or more secure residential placement as the result of a sexual incident, this counts as a charge and a conviction for the purposes of scoring Prior Sex Offences.

Juvenile Petitions
In some states, it is impossible for a juvenile offender to get a "conviction". Instead, the law uses the wording that a juvenile "petition is sustained" (or any such wording). For the purposes of scoring the STATIC-99 this is equivalent to an adult conviction because there are generally liberty-restricting consequences. Any of these local legal wordings can be construed as convictions if they would be convictions were that term available.

Military
For members of the military, a discharge from service as a result of sexual crimes would count as a charge and a conviction.

If an "undesirable discharge" were given to a member of the military as the direct result of a sexual offence, this would count as a sexual conviction and as a sentencing date (Item #6). However, if the member left the military when he normally would have, and the "undesirable discharge" is the equivalent to a bad job reference, the undesirable discharge would not count as a sexual offence or as a Sentencing Date (Item #6).

Military Courts Martial
If an offender is given a sanction (Military Brig or its equivalent) for a criminal offence, rather than a purely military offence {failure of duty}, these offences count, both charges and convictions, when scoring the STATIC-99. If the charges are sexual they count as sexual offences and if violent, they count as violent offences. These offences also count as sentencing dates (Item #6). Pure Military Offences {Conduct Unbecoming, Insubordination, Not following a lawful order, Dereliction of Duty, etc.} do not count when scoring the STATIC-99.

Noxious Substance
The charge of Giving A Noxious Substance (or its equivalent, drugs, alcohol, or other stupefacient) – can count as a sexual offence (both charge and conviction) if the substance was given with the intention of making it easier to commit the sexual offence. If there were evidence the substance was given to the victim just prior to the sexual assault, this would count as a sexual offence. If there is no evidence about what went on, or the temporal sequence of events, the substance charge would not count as a sexual offence.

Not Guilty
Being found "Not Guilty" can count as charges and can be used as the Index Offence. Note: This is not the case for Item #6, "Prior Sentencing Dates", where being found "Not Guilty" is not counted as a Prior Sentencing Date.

Official Cautions – United Kingdom
In the United Kingdom, an official caution should be treated as equivalent to a charge and a conviction.

Official Diversions
Official diversions are scored as equivalent to a charge and a conviction (Restorative Justice, Reparations, Family Group Conferencing, Community Sentencing Circles).

Peace Bonds, Judicial Restraint Orders and "810" Orders
In some instances a Peace Bond/Judicial Restraint Order/810 Orders are placed on an offender when sexual charges are dropped or dismissed or when an offender leaves jail or prison. Orders of this nature, primarily preventative, **are not counted** as charges or convictions for the purposes of scoring the STATIC-99.

"PINS" Petition (Person in need of supervision)
There have been cases where a juvenile has been removed from his home by judicial action under a "PINS" petition due to sexual aggression. This would count as a charge and a conviction for a sexual offence.

Priests and Ministers
For members of a religious group (Clergy and similar professions) some disciplinary or administrative actions within their own organization can count as a charge and a conviction. The offender has to receive some form of official sanction in order for it to count as a conviction. An example of an official sanction would be removal from a parish for a priest or minister under the following circumstances.
If the receiving institution knows they are being sent a sex offender and considers it part of their mandate to address the offender's problem or attempt to help, this would function as equivalent to being sent to a correctional institution and would count as a charge and a conviction. A conviction of this nature may stand as an Index offence.

Allegations that result in a "within-organization" disciplinary move or a move designed to explicitly address the offenders problems would be counted as a charge and a conviction. A conviction of this nature may stand as an Index offence.

Being transferred to a new parish or being given an administrative posting away from the public with no formal sanction or being sent to graduate school for re-training would not count as a charge or conviction. Where a priest/minister is transferred between parishes due to allegations of sexual abuse but there is no explicit internal sanction; these moves would not count as charges or convictions.

Prison Misconducts for Sexual Misbehaviours Count as One Charge per Sentence
Prison misconducts for sexual misbehaviours count as one charge per sentence, even when there are multiple incidents. The reason for this is that in some jurisdictions the threshold for misconducts is very low. Often, as previously described, misconduct will involve a female guard simply looking into a cell

and observing an inmate masturbating. Even in prison, serious sexual offences, rape and attempted rape will generally attract official criminal charges.

Post-Index Offences
Offences that occur after the Index offence do not count for STATIC-99 purposes. Post-Index sexual offences create a new Index offence. Post-Index violent offences should be considered "external" risk factors and would be included separately in any report about the offender's behaviour.

For Example, Post-Index Sexual Offences: Consider a case where an offender commits a sexual offence, is apprehended, charged, and released on bail. You are assigned to evaluate this offender but before you can complete your evaluation he commits another sexual offence, is apprehended and charged. Because the offender was apprehended, charged, and released this does not qualify as a crime "spree". He chose to re-offend in spite of knowing that he was under legal sanction. These new charges and possible eventual convictions would be considered separate crimes. In a situation of this nature the new charges would create a new sexual offence and become the new Index offence. If these charges happened to be the last sexual offences on the offender's record – the most recent charges would become the Index and the charge on which he was first released on bail would become a "Prior" Sexual Offence.

For Example, Post-Index Violent Offences: Consider a case where an offender in prison on a sexual offence commits and is convicted of a serious violent offence. This violent offence would not be scored on either Item #3 (Index Non-sexual Violence convictions) or Item #4 (Prior Non-sexual Violence convictions) but would be referred to separately, outside the context of the STATIC-99 assessment, in any subsequent report on the offender.

Probation before Judgment
Where applicable, "Probation before judgment" counts as a charge, conviction, and a sentencing date.
Revocation of Conditional Release for "Lifers", Dangerous Offenders, and Others with

Indeterminate Sentences
If a "lifer", Dangerous Offender, or other offender with an already imposed indeterminate sentence is simply revoked (returned to prison from conditional release in the community without trial) for a sexual behaviour that is of sufficient gravity that a person not already involved with the criminal justice system would most likely be charged with a sexual criminal offence, this revocation of conditional release would count as both a Prior Sex Offence "charge" and a Prior Sex Offence "conviction". Note: the evaluator should be sure that were this offender not already under sanction that it is highly likely that a sexual offence charge would be laid by police. Revocations for violations of conditional release conditions, so called "technicals" (drinking violations, failure to report, being in the presence of minors, being in the possession of legally obtained pornography) are insufficient to stand as Prior Sentencing Dates.

RRASOR and STATIC-99 – Differences in Scoring
Historical offences are scored differently between the RRASOR and the STATIC-99. On the RRASOR, if the offender is charged or convicted of historical offences committed prior to the Index Offence, these are counted as Prior Sexual Offences (User Report, The Development of a Brief Actuarial Risk Scale for Sexual Offense Recidivism 1997-04, Pg. 27, end of paragraph titled Prior Sexual Offences). This is not the case for the STATIC-99. For the STATIC-99, if the offender is charged or convicted of historical offences after the offender is charged or convicted of a more recent offence, these offences are to be considered part of the Index Offence (pseudo-recidivism) – forming an "Index Cluster".

Suspended Sentences
Suspended sentences should be treated as equivalent to a charge and a conviction.

Teachers
Being transferred to a new school or being given an administrative posting away from the public with no

formal sanction or being sent to graduate school for re-training would not count as a charge or conviction. Where a teacher is transferred between schools due to allegations of sexual abuse but there is no explicit internal sanction; these moves would not count as charges or convictions.

Item # 6 Prior Sentencing Dates

The Basic Principle: This item and the others that relate to criminal history and the measurement of persistence of criminal activity are based on a firm foundation in the behavioural literature. As long ago as 1911 Thorndyke stated that the "the best predictor of future behaviour, is past behaviour". Andrews & Bonta (2003) state that having a criminal history is one of the "Big Four" predictors of future criminal behaviour. Prior Sentencing Dates is a convenient method of coding the length of the criminal record.

Information Required to Score this Item: To score this item you must have access to an official criminal record as compiled by police, court, or correctional authorities. Self-report of criminal convictions may not be used to score this item except in specific rare situations, please see sub-section "Self-report and the STATIC-99 in the Introduction section.

The Basic Rule: If the offender's criminal record indicates four or more separate sentencing dates prior to the Index Offence, the offender is scored a "1" on this item. If the offender's criminal record indicates three or fewer separate sentencing dates prior to the Index Offence, the offender scores a "0" on this item. Count the number of distinct occasions on which the offender was sentenced for criminal offences. The number of charges/convictions does not matter, only the number of sentencing dates. Court appearances that resulted in complete acquittal are not counted, nor are convictions overturned over on appeal. The Index sentencing date is not included when counting up the sentencing dates.

If the offender is on some form of conditional release (parole/probation/bail etc.) "technical" violations do not count as new sentencing dates. For example, if an offender had a condition prohibiting drinking alcohol, a breach for this would not be counted as a new sentencing date. To be counted as a new sentencing date, the breach of conditions would have to be a new offence for which the offender could be charged if he were not already under criminal justice sanction.

Institutional rule violations do not count, even when the offence was for behaviour that could have resulted in a legal sanction if the offender had not already been incarcerated.

Count:

- Juvenile offences count (if you know about them – please see section on the use of self-report in the Introduction)
- Where applicable "Probation before judgment" counts as a conviction and a sentencing date
- Where applicable "Consent Decree" counts as a conviction and a sentencing date
- Suspended Sentences count as a sentencing date

Do Not Count:

- Stayed offences do not count as sentencing dates
- Institutional Disciplinary Actions/Reports do not count as sentencing dates

The offences must be of a minimum level of seriousness. The offences need not result in a serious sanction (the offender could have been fined), but the offence must be serious enough to permit a sentence of community supervision or custody/incarceration (as a juvenile or adult). Driving offences generally do not count, unless they are associated with serious penalties, such as driving while intoxicated or reckless driving causing death or injury.

Generally, most offences that would be recorded on an official criminal history would count – but the statute, as written in the jurisdiction where the offence took place, must allow for the imposition of a custodial sentence or a period of community supervision (adult or juvenile). Only truly trivial offences are excluded; those where it is impossible to get a period of incarceration or community supervision. Offences that can **only** result in fines do not count.

Sentences for historical offences received while the offender is incarcerated for a more recent offence (pseudo-recidivism), are not counted. For two offences to be considered separate offences, the second offence must have been committed after the offender was sanctioned for the first offence.
Offence convictions occurring after the Index offence cannot be counted on this item.

Conditional Discharges
Where an offender has been charged with a sexual offence and receives a Conditional Discharge, for the purposes of the STATIC-99 a conditional discharge counts as a conviction and a sentencing date.

Diversionary Adjudication
If a person commits a criminal offence as a juvenile or as an adult and receives a diversionary adjudication, this counts as a sentencing date (Restorative Justice, Reparations, Family Group Conferencing, Community Sentencing Circles).

Extension of Sentence by a Parole Board (or similar)
If an offender is assigned extra time added to their sentence by a parole board for a criminal offence this counts as an additional sentencing date if the new time extended the total sentence. This would not count as a sentencing date if the additional time was to be served concurrently or if it only changed the parole eligibility date. This situation is presently not possible in Canada.

Failure to Appear
If an offender fails to appear for sentencing, this is not counted as a sentencing date. Only the final sentencing for the charge for which the offender missed the sentencing date is counted as a sentencing date.

Failure to Register as a Sexual Offender
If an offender receives a formal legal sanction, having been convicted of Failing to Register as a Sexual Offender, this conviction would count as a sentencing date. However, it should be noted that charges and convictions for Failure to Register as a Sexual Offender are not counted as sexual offences.

Juvenile Extension of Detention
In some states it is possible for a juvenile to be sentenced to a Detention/Treatment facility. At the end of that term of incarceration it is possible to extend the period of detention. Even though a Judge and a prosecutor are present at the proceedings, because there has been no new crime or charges/convictions, the extension of the original order is not considered a sentencing date.

Juvenile Offences
Both adult and juvenile convictions count in this item. In the case where a juvenile is not charged with a sexual or violent offence but is moved to a secure or more secure residential placement as the result of a sexual or violent incident, this counts as a sentencing date for the purposes of scoring Prior Sentencing Dates.

Military
If an "undesirable discharge" is given to a member of the military as the direct result of criminal behaviour (something that would have attracted a criminal charge were the offender not in the military), this would count as a sentencing date. However, if the member left the military when he normally would have and the "undesirable discharge" is the equivalent to a bad job reference then the criminal behaviour would not count as a Sentencing Date.

Military Courts Martial
If an offender is given a sanction (Military Brig or its equivalent) for a criminal offence rather than a purely military offence {failure of duty} this counts as a sentencing date. Pure Military Offences {Insubordination, Not Following a Lawful Order, Dereliction of Duty, Conduct Unbecoming, etc.} do not count as Prior Sentencing Dates.

Not Guilty
Being found "Not Guilty" is not counted as a Prior Sentencing Date.

Official Cautions – United Kingdom
In the United Kingdom, an official caution should be treated as equivalent to a sentencing date.

Post-Index Offences
Post-Index offences are not counted as sentencing occasions for the STATIC-99.

Revocation of Conditional Release for "Lifers", Dangerous Offenders, and Others with Indeterminate Sentences
If a "lifer", Dangerous Offender, or other offender with an already imposed indeterminate sentence is simply revoked (returned to prison from conditional release in the community without trial) for criminal behaviour that is of sufficient gravity that a person not already involved with the criminal justice system would most likely be charged with a criminal offence, this revocation of conditional release would count as a Prior Sentencing Date. Note: the evaluator should be sure that were this offender not already under sanction that a criminal charge would be laid by police and that a conviction would be highly likely. Revocations for violations of conditional release conditions, so called "technicals", (drinking violations, failure to report, being in the presence of minors) are insufficient to stand as Prior Sentencing Dates. Note: for this item there have been some changes to the rules from previous versions. Some rules were originally written to apply to a specific jurisdiction. Over time, and in consultation with other jurisdictions the rules have been generalized to make them applicable across jurisdictions in a way that preserves the original intent of the item.

Suspended Sentences
Suspended sentences count as a sentencing date.

Item # 7 - Any Convictions for Non-contact Sex Offences

The Basic Principle: Offenders with paraphilic interests are at increased risk for sexual recidivism. For example, most individuals have little interest in exposing their genitals to strangers or stealing underwear. Offenders who engage in these types of behaviours are more likely to have problems conforming their sexual behaviour to conventional standards than offenders who have no interest in paraphilic activities.

Information Required to Score this Item: To score this item you must have access to an official criminal record as compiled by police, court, or correctional authorities. Self-report of criminal convictions may not be used to score this item except in specific rare situations, please see sub-section "Self-report and the STATIC-99" in the Introduction section.

The Basic Rule: If the offender's criminal record indicates a separate conviction for a non-contact sexual offence, the offender is scored a "1" on this item. If the offender's criminal record does not show a separate conviction for a non-contact sexual offence, the offender is scored a "0" on this item.
This category requires a conviction for a non-contact sexual offence such as:

- Exhibitionism
- Possessing obscene material
- Obscene telephone calls
- Voyeurism
- Exposure
- Elicit sexual use of the Internet
- Sexual Harassment (Unwanted sexual talk)
- In certain jurisdictions "Criminal Trespass" or "Trespass by Night" may be used as a charge for voyeurism – these would also count

The criteria for non-contact sexual offences are strict: the offender must have been convicted, and the offence must indicate non-contact sexual misbehaviour. The "Index" offence(s) may include a conviction for a non-contact sexual offence and this offence can count in this category. The most obvious example of this is where an offender is charged and convicted of Exposure for "mooning" a woman from a car window. This would result in a coding of "1" for this item.

There are some cases, however, where the legal charge does not reflect the sexual nature of the offence. Take, for example, the same situation where an offender is charged with Exposure for "mooning" a woman from a car window, but the case is pled-down to, and the offender is finally convicted of Disorderly Conduct. In cases like this, while this item requires that there be a conviction, the coding of a non-contact sexual offence can be based on the behaviour that occurred in cases where the name of the offence is ambiguous.

Charges and arrests do not count, nor do self-reported offences. Sexual offences in which the offender intended to make contact with the victim (but did not succeed) would be considered attempted contact offences and are coded as contact offences (e.g., invitation to sexual touching, attempted rape). Some offences may include elements of both contact and non-contact offences, for example, sexual talk on Internet - arranging to meet the child victim. In this case, the conviction would count as a non-contact sex offence.

Attempted Contact Offences
Invitation to Sexual Touching, Attempted Rape and other such "attempted" contact offences are counted as "Contact" offences due to their intention.

Internet Crimes
Internet crimes were not recorded in the original samples for the STATIC-99 because the Internet had not advanced to the point where it was commonly available. As a result, determining how to score Internet crimes on the STATIC-99 requires interpretation beyond the available data. Internet crimes could be considered in two different ways. First, they could be considered a form of attempted sexual contact, where the wrongfulness of the behaviour is determined by what is about to happen. Secondly, they could be considered an inappropriate act in themselves, akin to indecent telephone calls (using an older technology). We believe that luring children over the Internet does not represent a fundamentally new type of crime but is best understood as a modern expression of traditional crimes. We consider communicating with children over the Internet for sexual purposes to be an inappropriate and socially harmful act in itself and, therefore, classify these acts with their historical precursors, such as indecent/obscene telephone calls, in the category of non-contact sexual offences.

Pimping and Prostitution Related Offences
Pimping and other prostitution related offences (soliciting a prostitute, promoting prostitution, soliciting for the purposes of prostitution, living off the avails of prostitution) do not count as non-contact sexual offences. (Note: prostitution was not illegal in England during the study period, though soliciting was).

Plea Bargains
Non-contact sexual offence convictions do not count if the non-contact offence charge arose as the result of a plea bargain. Situations such as this may appear in the criminal record where charges for a contact offence are dropped and the non-contact charges appear simultaneously with a guilty plea. An occurrence of this nature would be considered a contact offence and scored as such.

Revocation of Conditional Release for "Lifers", Dangerous Offenders, and Others with Indeterminate Sentences
If a "lifer", Dangerous Offender, or other offender with an already imposed indeterminate sentence is simply revoked (returned to prison from conditional release in the community without trial) for a Noncontact Sexual Offence that is of sufficient gravity that a person not already involved with the criminal justice system would most likely be charged with a Non-contact Sexual Offence, this revocation of

conditional release would count as a conviction for a Non-contact Sexual Offence. Note: the evaluator should be sure that were this offender not already under sanction that it is highly likely that a non-contact sexual offence charge would be laid by police.

Items #8, #9, & # 10 – The Three Victim Questions

The following three items concern victim characteristics: Unrelated Victims, Stranger Victims, and Male Victims. For these three items the scoring is based on all available credible information, including self-report, victim accounts, and collateral contacts. The items concerning victim characteristics, however, only apply to sex offences in which the victims were children or non-consenting adults (Category "A" sex offences). Do not score victim information from non-sexual offences or from sex offences related to prostitution/pandering, possession of child pornography, and public sex with consenting adults (Category "B" sex offences). Do not score victim information on sexual offences against animals (Bestiality and similar charges).

In addition to all of the "everyday" sexual offences (Sexual Assault, Rape, Invitation to Sexual Touching, Buggery) you also score victim information on the following charges:

- Illegal use of a Minor in Nudity-oriented Material/Performance
- Importuning (Soliciting for Immoral Purposes)
- Indecent Exposure (When a specific victim has been identified)
- Sexually Harassing Telephone Calls
- Voyeurism (When a specific victim has been identified)

You do not score Victim Information on the following charges:

- Compelling Acceptance of Objectionable Material
- Deception to Obtain Matter Harmful to Juveniles
- Disseminating/Displaying Matter Harmful to Juveniles
- Offences against animals
- Pandering Obscenity
- Pandering Obscenity involving a Minor
- Pandering Sexually-Oriented Material involving a Minor
- Prostitution related offences

"Accidental Victims"

Occasionally there are "Accidental Victims" to a sexual offence. A recent example of this occurred when an offender was raping a woman in her living room. The noise awoke the victim's four-year-old son. The son wandered into the living room and observed the rape in progress. The victim instructed her son to return to his bedroom and he complied at once. The perpetrator was subsequently charged and convicted of "Lewd and Lascivious Act on a Minor" in addition to the rape. In court the offender pleaded to both charges. In this case, the four-year-old boy would not count as a victim as there was no intention to commit a sexual offence against him. He would not count in any of the three victim items regardless of the conviction in court.

A common example of an accidental victim occurs when a person in the course of his/her daily life or profession happens across a sexual offence. Examples include police officers, park wardens, janitors, and floor walkers who observe a sexual offence in the course of their duties. If a male officer were to observe an exhibitionist exposing himself to a female, the offender would not be given the point for "Male Victim" as there was no intention to expose before the male officer. The evaluator would not give the offender a point for "male victim" unless the offender specifically chose a male officer to expose himself to. In the same vein, a floor walker or janitor who observes an offender masturbating while looking at a customer in a store would not be counted as a "stranger victim" or an "unrelated victim". In short there has to be some intention to offend against that person for that person to be a victim. Merely stumbling upon a crime scene does not make the observer a victim regardless of how repugnant the observer finds the behaviour.

Acquitted or Found Not Guilty
The criteria for coding victim information is "all credible information". In this type of situation it is important to distinguish between the court's stringent standard of determining guilt (Beyond a reasonable doubt) and "What is most likely to be true" – a balance of probabilities. When the court sticks to the "Beyond a reasonable doubt" criteria they are not concluding that someone did not do the crime, just that the evidence was insufficient to be certain that they did it. The risk assessment perspective is guided by: "On the balance of probabilities, what is most likely to be true?" If the assessor, "On the balance of probabilities" feels that the offence more likely than not took place the victims may be counted.

For the assessment, therefore, it may be necessary to review the cases in which the offender was acquitted or found "Not Guilty" and make an independent determination of whether it is more likely than not that there were actual victims. If, in the evaluators opinion, it were more likely that there was no sexual offence the evaluator would not count the victim information. In the resulting report the evaluator would generally include a score with the contentious victim information included and a score without this victim information included, showing how it effects the risk assessment both ways.

This decision to score acquittals and not guilty in this manner is buttressed by a research study in England that found that men acquitted of rape are more likely to be convicted of sexual offences in the follow-up period than men who had been found guilty {with equal times at risk} (Soothill et al., 1980).

Child Pornography
Victims portrayed in child pornography are not scored as victims for the purposes of the STATIC-99. They do not count as non-familial, stranger, nor male victims. Only real, live, human victims count. If your offender is a child pornography maker and a real live child was used to create pornography by your offender or your offender was present when pornography was created with a real live child, this child is a victim and should be scored as such on the STATIC-99 victim questions. (Note: manipulating preexisting images to make child pornography [either digitally of photographically] is not sufficient – a real child must be present) Making child pornography with a real child victim counts as a "Category A" offence and, hence, with even a single charge of this nature, the STATIC-99 is appropriate to use. The evaluator may, of course, in another section of the report make reference to the apparent preferences demonstrated in the pornography belonging to the offender.

Conviction, But No Victim
For the purposes of the STATIC-99, consensual sexual behaviour that is prohibited by statute does not create victims. This is the thinking behind Category "B" offences. Examples of this are prostitution offences and public toileting (Please see "Category "A" and Category "B" offences" in the Introduction section for a further discussion of this issue). Under some circumstances it is possible that in spite of a conviction for a sexual offence the evaluator may conclude that there are no real victims. An example of this could be where a boy (age 16 years) is convicted of Statutory Rape of his 15-year-old boyfriend (Assume age of consent in this jurisdiction to be 16 years of age). The younger boy tells the police that the sexual contact was consensual and the police report informs the evaluator that outraged parents were the complainants in the case. In a scenario like this, the younger boy would not be scored as a victim, the conviction notwithstanding.

Credible Information
Credible sources of information would include, but are not limited to, police reports, child welfare reports, victim impact statements or discussions with victims, collateral contacts and offender self-report. If the information is credible (Children's Protective Association, victim impact statements, police reports) you may use this information to code the three victim questions, even if the offender has never been arrested or charged for those offences.

Exhibitionism
In cases of exhibitionism, the three victim items may be scored if there was a targeted victim, and the evaluator is confident that they know before whom the offender was trying to exhibit. If the offender

exhibits before a mixed group, males and females, do not score "Male Victim" unless there is reason to believe that the offender was exhibiting specifically for the males in the group. Assume only female victims unless you have evidence to suggest that the offender was targeting males.
Example: If a man exposed to a school bus of children he had never seen before (both genders), the evaluator would score this offender one risk point for Unrelated Victim, one risk point for Stranger Victim, but would not score a risk point for Male Victim unless there was evidence the offender was specifically targeting the boys on the bus.

In cases where there is no sexual context (i.e., the psychotic street person who takes a shower in the town fountain) there are no victims regardless of how offended they might be or how many people witnessed the event.

Internet Victims and Intention
If an offender provides pornographic material over the Internet, the intent of the communication is important. In reality a policeman may be on the other end of the net in a "sting" operation. If the offender thought he was providing pornography to a child, even though he sent it to a police officer, the victim information is counted as if a child received it. In addition, when offenders attempt, over the Internet, to contact face-to-face a "boy or girl" they have contacted over the Internet the victim information counts as the intended victim, even if they only "met" a policeman.

Intention is important. In a case were a child was pretending to be an adult and an adult "shared" pornography with that person in the honest belief that they were (legally) sharing it with another adult there would not be a victim.

Polygraph Information
Victim information derived solely from polygraph examinations is not used to score the STATIC-99 unless it can be corroborated by outside sources or the offender provides sufficient information to support a new criminal investigation.

Prowl by Night - Voyeurism
For these types of offences the evaluator should score specific identifiable victims. However, assume only female victims unless you have evidence to suggest that the offender was targeting males.

Sexual Offences Against Animals
While the sexual assault of animals counts as a sexual offence, animals do not count as victims. This category is restricted to human victims. It makes no difference whether the animal was a member of the family or whether it was a male animal or a stranger animal.

Sex with Dead Bodies
If an offender has sexual contact with dead bodies these people do count as victims. The evaluator should score the three victim questions based upon the degree of pre-death relationship between the perpetrator and the victim.

Stayed Charges
Victim information obtained from stayed charges should be counted.

Victims Not at Home
If an offender breaks into houses, (regardless of whether or not the victims are there to witness the offence) to commit a sexual offence, such as masturbating on or stealing their undergarments or does some other sexual offence – victims of this nature are considered victims for the purposes of the STATIC-99. Assume only female victims unless you have evidence to suggest that the offender was targeting males.

Item # 8 - Any Unrelated Victims?

The Basic Principle: Research indicates that offenders who offend only against family members recidivate at a lower rate compared to those who have victims outside of their immediate family (Harris & Hanson, Unpublished manuscript). Having victims outside the immediate family is empirically related to a corresponding increase in risk.

Information Required to Score this Item: To score this item use all available credible information. "Credible Information" is defined in the previous section "Items #8, #9, & #10 -The Three Victim Questions".

The Basic Rule: If the offender has victims of sexual offences outside their immediate family, score the offender a "1" on this item. If the offender's victims of sexual offences are all within the immediate family score the offender a "0" on this item.

A related victim is one where the relationship is sufficiently close that marriage would normally be prohibited, such as parent, brother, sister, uncle, grandparent, stepbrother, and stepsister. Spouses (married and common-law) are also considered related. When considering whether step-relations are related or not, consider the nature and the length of the pre-existing relationship between the offender and the victim before the offending started. Step-relationships lasting less than two years would be considered unrelated (e.g., step-cousins, stepchildren). Adult stepchildren would be considered related if they had lived for two years in a child-parent relationship with the offender.

Time and Jurisdiction Concerns

A difficulty in scoring this item is that the law concerning who you can marry is different across jurisdictions and across time periods within jurisdictions. For example, prior to 1998, in Ontario, there were 17 relations a man could not marry, including such oddities as "nephew's wife" and "wife's grandmother". In 1998 the law changed and there are now only 5 categories of people that you cannot marry in Ontario: grandmother, mother, daughter, sister, and granddaughter (full, half, and adopted). Hence, if a man assaulted his niece in 1997 he would not have an unrelated victim but if he committed the same crime in 1998 he would technically be assaulting an unrelated victim. We doubt very much the change in law would affect the man's choice of victim and his resulting risk of re-offence. As a result the following rules have been adopted.

People who are seen as related for the purposes of scoring the STATIC-99

1. Legally married spouses
2. Any live-in lovers of over two years duration. (Girlfriends/Boyfriends become related once they have lived with the offender as a lover for two years)
3. Anyone too closely related to marry (by jurisdiction of residence of the perpetrator)
4. The following relations whether or not marriage is permitted in the jurisdiction of residence of the perpetrator:

- Aunt
- Brother's wife
- Common-law wife/Ex common-law wife (lived together for 2 years)
- Daughter
- Father's wife/step-mother
- First cousins
- Granddaughter
- Grandfather
- Grandfather's wife
- Grandmother
- Grandson's wife
- Mother
- Niece/Nephew

- Sister
- Son's wife
- Stepdaughter/Stepson (Must have more than two years living together before abuse begins)
- Wife and Ex-wife
- Wife's daughter/step-daughter
- Wife's granddaughter
- Wife's grandmother
- Wife's mother

The relationships can be full, half, adopted, or common-law (two years living in these family relationships). The mirror relationships of the opposite gender would also count as related (e.g., brother, sons, nephews, granddaughter's husband).

People who are seen as unrelated for the purposes of scoring the STATIC-99

- Any step-relations where the relationship lasted less than two years
- Daughter of live-in girlfriend/Son of live-in girlfriend
(less than two years living together before abuse begins)
- Nephew's wife
- Second cousins
- Wife's aunt

Decisions about borderline cases (e.g., brother's wife) should be guided by a consideration of the psychological relationship existing prior to the sexual assault. If an offender has been living with the victim in a family/paternal/fraternal role for two years prior to the onset of abuse, the victim and the offender would be considered related.

Becoming "Unrelated"

If an offender who was given up for adoption (removed etc.) at birth (Mother and child having no contact since birth or shortly after) and the Mother (Sister, Brother etc.) is a complete stranger that the offender would not recognize (facial recognition) as their family, these biological family members could count as Unrelated Victims. This would only happen if the offender did not know they were offending against a family member.

Item # 9 - Any Stranger Victims?

The Basic Principle: Research shows that having a stranger victim is related to sexual recidivism. See Hanson and Bussière (1998), Table 1 – Item "Victim Stranger (versus acquaintance)".

Information Required to Score this Item: Use all credible information to score this item. "Credible Information" is defined in the section "Items #8, #9, & #10 - The Three Victim Questions".

The Basic Rule: If the offender has victims of sexual offences who were strangers at the time of the offence, score the offender a "1" on this item. If the offender's victims of sexual offences were all known to the offender for at least 24 hours prior to the offence, score the offender a "0" on this item. If the offender has a "stranger" victim, Item #8, "Any Unrelated Victims", is generally scored as well.
A victim is considered a stranger if the victim did not know the offender 24 hours before the offence. Victims contacted over the Internet are not normally considered strangers unless a meeting was planned for a time less than 24 hours after initial communication.

For Stranger victims, the offender can either not know the victim or it can be the victim not knowing the offender. In the first case, where the offender does not know the victim, (the most common case), the offender chooses someone who they are relatively sure will not be able to identify them (or they just do not care) and offends against a stranger. However, there have been examples where the offender "should" have known the victim but just did not recognize them. This occurred in one case where the perpetrator and the victim had gone to school together but the perpetrator did not recognize the victim as someone

they knew. In cases like this, the victim would still be a stranger victim as the offender's intention was to attack a stranger.

The criteria for being a stranger are very high. Even a slight degree of knowing is enough for a victim not to be a stranger. If the victim knows the offender at all for more than 24 hours, the victim is not a stranger. For example, if the victim was a convenience store clerk and they recognized the perpetrator as someone who had been in on several occasions to buy cigarettes, the victim would no longer be a stranger victim. If a child victim can say they recognize the offender from around the neighborhood and the perpetrator has said "Hi" to them on occasion, the child is no longer a stranger victim. The evaluator must determine whether the victim "knew" the offender twenty-four hours (24) before the assault took place. The criteria for "know/knew" is quite low but does involve some level of interaction. They need not know each other's names or addresses. However, simply knowing of someone but never having interacted with them would not be enough for the victim to count as "known".

The Reverse Case

In cases of "stalking" or stalking-like behaviours the offender may know a great deal about the victim and their habits. However, if the victim does not know the offender when they attack this still qualifies as a stranger victim.

The "24 hour" rule also works in reverse – there have been cases where a performer assaulted a fan the first time they met. In this case, the victim (the fan) had "known of" the performer for years, but the performer (the perpetrator) had not known the fan for 24 hours. Hence, in cases such as this, the victim would count as a stranger because the perpetrator had not known the victim for 24 hours prior to the offence.

Internet, E-mail, and Telephone

Sometimes offenders attempt to access or lure victims over the Internet. This is a special case and the threshold for not being a stranger victim is quite low. If the offender and the victim have communicated over the Internet (e-mail, or telephone) for more than twenty-four hours (24 hours) before the initial face to-face meeting, the victim (child or adult) is not a stranger victim. To be clear, this means that if an offender contacts, for the first time, a victim at 8 p.m. on a Wednesday night, their first face-to-face meeting must start before 8 p.m. on Thursday night. If this meeting starts before 8 p.m., and they remain in direct contact, the sexual assault might not start until midnight – as long as the sexual assault is still within the first face-to-face meeting – this midnight sexual assault would still count as a stranger assault. If they chat back and forth for longer than 24 hours, the victim can no longer be considered a stranger victim for the purposes of scoring the STATIC-99.

It is possible in certain jurisdictions to perpetrate a sexual offence over the Internet, by telephone or email and never be in physical proximity to the victim. If the offender transmits sexually explicit/objectionable materials over the Internet within 24 hours of first contact, this can count as a stranger victim; once again the "24 hour rule" applies. However, if the perpetrator and the victim have been in communication for more than 24 hours prior to the sending of the indecent material or the starting of indecent talk on the telephone then the victim can no longer be considered a stranger.

Becoming a "Stranger" Again

It is possible for someone who the offender had met briefly before to become a stranger again. It is possible for the offender to have met a victim but to have forgotten the victim completely (over a period of years). If the offender believed he was assaulting a stranger, the victim can be counted as a stranger victim. This occurred when an offender returned after many years absence to his small hometown and assaulted a female he thought he did not know, not realizing that they had gone to the same school.

Item # 10 - Any Male Victims?

The Basic Principle: Research shows that offenders who have offended against male children or male adults recidivate at a higher rate compared to those who do not have male victims. Having male victims is correlated with measures of sexual deviance and is seen as an indication of increased sexual deviance; see Hanson and Bussière (1998), Table 1.

Information Required to Score this Item: To score this item use all available credible information. "Credible Information" is defined in section "Items #8, #9, & #10 - The Three Victim Questions".

The Basic Rule: If the offender has male victims of sexual offences, non-consenting adults or child victims, score the offender a "1" on this item. If the offender's victims of sexual offences are all female, score the offender a "0" on this item.

Included in this category are all sexual offences involving male victims. Possession of child pornography involving boys, however, does not count. Exhibitionism to a mixed group of children (girls and boys) would not count unless there was clear evidence the offender was targeting the boys. Contacting male victims over the Internet does count.

If an offender assaults a transvestite in the mistaken belief the victim is a female (may be wearing female clothing) do not score the transvestite as a male victim. If it is certain the offender knew he was assaulting a male before the assault, score a male victim.

In some cases a sexual offender may beat-up or contain (lock in a car trunk) another male in order to sexually assault the male's date (wife, etc.). If the perpetrator simply assaults the male (non-sexual) in order to access the female you do not count him as a male victim on the STATIC-99. However, if the perpetrator involves the male in the sexual offence, such as tying him up and making him watch the rape (forced voyeuristic activity), the assault upon the male victim would count as a sexual offence and the male victim would count on the STATIC-99.

Static-99R Coding Form

Question Number	Risk Factor	Codes		Score
1	Age at release	Aged 18 to 34.9 Aged 35 to 39.9 Aged 40 to 59.9 Aged 60 or older		1 0 -1 -3
2	Ever Lived With	Ever lived with lover for at least two years? Yes No		 0 1
3	Index non-sexual violence - Any Convictions	No Yes		0 1
4	Prior non-sexual violence - Any Convictions	No Yes		0 1
5	Prior Sex Offences	Charges 0 1,2 3-5 6+	Convictions 0 1 2,3 4+	 0 1 2 3
6	Prior sentencing dates (excluding index)	3 or less 4 or more		0 1
7	Any convictions for non-contact sex offences	No Yes		0 1
8	Any Unrelated Victims	No Yes		0 1
9	Any Stranger Victims	No Yes		0 1
10	Any Male Victims	No Yes		0 1
	Total Score	**Add up scores from individual risk factors**		

Translating Static-99R scores into risk categories

Score		Label for Risk Category
-3 through 1	=	Low
2, 3	=	Low-Moderate
4, 5	=	Moderate-High
6 plus	=	High

Static-99R Coding Form

Question Number	Risk Factor	Codes		Score
1	Age at release	Aged 18 to 34.9 Aged 35 to 39.9 Aged 40 to 59.9 Aged 60 or older		1 0 -1 -3
2	Ever Lived With	Ever lived with lover for at least two years? Yes No		 0 1
3	Index non-sexual violence - Any Convictions	No Yes		0 1
4	Prior non-sexual violence - Any Convictions	No Yes		0 1
5	Prior Sex Offences	Charges 0 1,2 3-5 6+	Convictions 0 1 2,3 4+	 0 1 2 3
6	Prior sentencing dates (excluding index)	3 or less 4 or more		0 1
7	Any convictions for non-contact sex offences	No Yes		0 1
8	Any Unrelated Victims	No Yes		0 1
9	Any Stranger Victims	No Yes		0 1
10	Any Male Victims	No Yes		0 1
	Total Score	**Add up scores from individual risk factors**		

Translating Static-99R scores into risk categories

Score		Label for Risk Category
-3 through 1	=	Low
2, 3	=	Low-Moderate
4, 5	=	Moderate-High
6 plus	=	High