

**Provider Directory Advisory Group  
Meeting Summary**

**August 19, 2015**

Advisory group members in attendance:

<b>9:00 Analytics Webinar</b>	Stephanie Renfro
<b>10:00 Delivery Webinar</b>	Christopher Boyd MaryKay Brady Monica Clark Maggie Mellon
<b>11:00 Plans Webinar</b>	Liz Hubert, Co-chair Martin Martinez Laura McKeane Nikki Vlandis
<b>12:00 HIE Webinar</b>	Mary Dallas, MD Hongcheng Zhao

Advisory group members not in attendance

Gina Bianco  
Kelly Keith  
Jessica Perak  
Bob Power

OHA staff and consultants

Wendy Demers  
Karen Hale  
Melissa Isavoran  
Jason Miranda  
Rachel Ostroy

Welcome, introductions, agenda review, review procurement timeline

Karen Hale, Lead Policy Analyst for the Provider Directory (PD) project welcomed everyone to the meeting. Karen and Wendy Demers discussed the health information technology (HIT) portfolio milestone timeline. The selection of the provider directory vendor is expected in Q2 2016 and implementation of the provider directory is expected in Q2 2017.

Review ranking of uses across groups

Karen explained the updated calculation methodology used to classify uses across groups and that the classifications are only a guide and starting point for phasing discussions. Uses that were ranked as #1 were given the highest weight in the calculation. She also pointed out new uses that were added which included flagging inaccurate data to the provider directory administrators (use 22), reporting inaccurate data to contributing data sources (use 23), integrating other authoritative sources (use 25), and creating an analytics extract (use 24).

Groups reviewed the results of the use ranking across the PDAG and the classes of uses they ranked as top uses within their groups. The plans group discussed value and importance of “accepting new

patients” and its current classification as class 3. They discussed that it was ranked as class 3 because of the complexity of the use.

Uses ranked across the PDAG are listed below:

- **Core**
  - 1: Integrate CC data
  - 2: Integrate HPD directories
  - 3: Integrate State Data
  - 4- Integrate HIE flat files
  - 22/23: Report inaccurate data
- **Class 1 (rating 2 or higher)**
  - 14: Contact info/ Care Coordination
  - 15: Local query contact info
  - 16: federated contact info
  - 8: PD Validation
- **Class 2 (rating 1 or higher)**
  - 6: HIE address search
  - 21: System of record (add/edit/delete)
  - 11: Source of payer info
  - 20: Network adequacy
  - 17: In network search
- **Class 3 (rating < 1)**
  - 19: Performance analytics
  - 13: Outcomes and intervention
  - 24: Analytics extract
  - 18: Practice location analytics
  - 12: Privileging info
  - 7: HIE for MU
  - 5: GIS
  - 10: Medicaid EHR audit
  - 9: Accepting new patients
  - 25: Integrate authoritative sources\*

\*It was noted in the plans discussion that this use should be moved to a core use and note that sources are yet to be defined.

#### Rank justification exercises (HIE and Delivery only)

The HIE and delivery groups were given time to complete their rank justification exercises. The delivery group was tasked with completing the use for network adequacy. After further discussion, the group decided that the use did not fit into their top ranked uses and was more of a plans use. The HIE group completed their justification exercise for using the provider directory to meet meaningful use. They

pointed out the challenges today with using different EHRs to coordinate care and the need to know which EHR is being used by the providers in the provider directory.

#### Review materials and homework instructions

Karen reviewed the instructions for all 4 exercises and noted that full instructions are in the workbooks:

- Uses wording review
- Classification of data elements for:
  - Inclusion in the provider directory
  - Degree of accuracy

*During the plans webinar, the group felt it would be helpful to also add another classification to account for when the data element was needed (first phase, second phase, later phase). This was added to the workbooks and redistributed to all groups.*

- Ranking of state data sources
- Plans and Delivery – review Provider Directory standards and requirements matrix

#### Next steps

Workbooks are due on September 9<sup>th</sup>. PDAG members were encouraged to survey their organizations for the information in the workbooks. Karen offered hosting additional check-in webinars or meetings if needed. Results will be brought back to the next meeting on September 23<sup>rd</sup>.

For the October meeting, Karen asked if group members could meet on October 21, 2015 rather than October 14, 2015. The majority of participants in the webinars could shift their schedules and updated appointments will be sent to members.