

**Addictions and Mental Health Division (AMH)**

**Children’s System Advisory Committee/  
 Statewide Children’s Wraparound Initiative Advisory Committee**  
**Minutes- Draft until approved**  
**Willamette ESD**  
**April 20, 2015 -- 9:15 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.**

**Attending:** Ajit Jetmalani, Ally Linfoot, Amy Rose Quaring (phone), Ariana Archer, Claire Weiss (Phone), Debra Shippentower (Phone), Janet Walker, Jeremy Wells, Lara Carranza, Margarita Solis (Phone), Martin Rafferty, Paula Bauer, Sandra Raven, Sandy Bumpus, Sandy Heine (Phone), Sharyn Smith, Tanya Pritt (Phone), Terry Ellis (Phone), Torri Lynn, Valarie Grindstaff, Tanya Coker, Lacey Andresen, Steve Allan, and Vanessa Frias.

**AMH Staff:** Amy Baker, Kathleen Burns, Alex Palm, Angela Leet, Fran Purdy, Laurie Theodorou, Kathleen Newton, Brooke Rizer, Sarah Lochner and Kari Startin (minutes)

**Absent:** Amber Fitts, Bob Lieberman, Cassie Archer, , Dion Denny, Jammie Farrish Gardner, Jeanne McCarty, Julie Magers, Kimberly Perez, Kirk Wolfe, Lee Coleman, Lynn Matthews, Sandi Mickey, Stacy Brubaker, Steve Allen, and Teri Peterson.

**Guest:** Judy Rinkin, John Poblock, and Samantha Goodson.

Topic	Key Discussion	Action/Task/Decision	Responsible	Due Date
<b>Intro / Agenda Review / Announcements</b>	Martin began the meeting requesting announcements be made with introductions. <u>Announcements:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Janet Walker discussed Pathways to Positive Futures and announces the special issues posted on</li> </ul>			

## Addictions and Mental Health Division (AMH)

Topic	Key Discussion	Action/Task/Decision	Responsible	Due Date
	<p>Portland State University’s website titles “The Journal of Behavioral Health Services &amp; Research” Goes through how you move these principles to actual behaviors. Shows group another article on Positive Education Outcomes to include the introduction and literature review. Encourages group to look at more articles on the website. Announces Mini Academy for National Wraparound Center with 5 different tracks scheduled for July 14<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup>. Encourages interested parties to sign up and bring a young person. There will be people from all different levels attending.</p> <p>Fran asks if we can add documents to the CSAC website and Janet says due to copyright reasons you can only link to the articles.</p> <p>Guest John Poblock from PSU announces new consultant Samantha Goodson.</p> <p>Sandy Bumpus announces OFSN was awarded the Statewide Family Network Grant from SAMHSA. Sandy also announces to put rumors to rest that Sandra Spencer previously from the National Federation of Families has resigned 1 week prior to the CSAC April meeting.</p>			

## Addictions and Mental Health Division (AMH)

Topic	Key Discussion	Action/Task/Decision	Responsible	Due Date
	<p>Fran and Sandy committees are forming the national level concerns. Martin explains hopeful feelings around the change at the national level.</p> <p>Martin, Sandy, and Fran announce Children’s Mental Health Day and introduce the proclamation. Date May 7<sup>th</sup> 11:00 – 2:00 around noon lunch.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minutes – March 20, 2015 Vanessa Frias requested a guests name be corrected. Jeremy Wells moved to approve the minutes, with the discussed amendments and corrections made, and Ajit Jetmalani seconded.</li> <li>• One last announcement from Ann Kirkwood about follow on suicide meeting after CSAC</li> </ul>	<p>Correct name Motion to approve the Minutes with edits Minutes passed</p>		
<b>Sharing</b>	Spotlight sharing of Barber			
<b>Data and Presentation from USF Research Conference on Children’s Mental Health see presentation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• While the group waits on IT issues Janet discusses extend of the Co-Chair facilitation. Janet discusses the desire to have a parking lot discussion. Executive committee has previously agreed to the decision. Martin asks group if that is what they would like the group to be. Jeremy Wells agrees and explains that ideas get pushed to the next</li> </ul>	<p>Parking lot for each meeting’s ideas kept by co-chair and reviewed by Executive Committee in agenda setting</p>	<p>Co-chairs and Fran</p>	

## Addictions and Mental Health Division (AMH)

Topic	Key Discussion	Action/Task/Decision	Responsible	Due Date
	<p>agenda. Group agrees with the decision. Public comments: Judy states that is the way it was previously done.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Janet starts summarizing her conference experience.</li> <li>• Janet discusses themes from the conference starting with expectations of high quality implementation &amp; support: defined practice (versus “eclectic), Monitoring fidelity: data based decisions in treatment. Training doesn’t have an impact on follow-up vs coaching that includes observation of some sort. Support for this on a larger scale, specifically state level.</li> <li>• Theme 2: Optimism regarding cost-effectiveness of doing this: cost and cost-effectiveness studies, but also new developments in effectiveness/efficiency of implementation support – technology-enabled strategies. Strategies for overcoming some of the challenges with EBP’s – flexibility/coverage, cost effort – How to increase/train the workforce (pre-service, in-service)</li> <li>• Theme 3: Still, “old” challenges remain.</li> <li>• Janet moves on to discuss themes within the emerging adult track (new term for young adult).</li> <li>• Theme 1: Research based less developed: Focus on pilot studies and interventions in development</li> </ul>			

## Addictions and Mental Health Division (AMH)

Topic	Key Discussion	Action/Task/Decision	Responsible	Due Date
	<p>– What types of interventions likely to attractive successful (addressed in pathways special issue) – Peer roles being developed – much discussion of how to do this.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Theme 2: Bringing to scale also very challenging –Findings from the SSA’s Youth Transition Demonstration are discouraging. - Low wages for control and intervention. - No to negligible impact               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Also here no fidelity monitoring/lack of defined practice</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Janet moves on to talk about themes within Wraparound from the conference.</li> <li>• Theme 1: Impact of new research showing that poor quality implementation of Wrap did not lead to improvement in outcomes - <a href="http://www.nwi.pdx.edu/NWI-book/Chapters/Bruns-5e.4-wraparound-is-worth-doing-well.pdf">http://www.nwi.pdx.edu/NWI-book/Chapters/Bruns-5e.4-wraparound-is-worth-doing-well.pdf</a> - Growing evidence of cost-effectiveness - Wrap programs do appear to be doing higher quality implementation and getting higher fidelity: More programs are measuring, which likely increases implementation quality: New tools/revised to reflect upward trends in quality of implementation - Ongoing question of how to ensure high quality clinical services, integrated into Wraparound - Ongoing questions of how to prepare/train pre-service and in-service.</li> </ul>			

## Addictions and Mental Health Division (AMH)

Topic	Key Discussion	Action/Task/Decision	Responsible	Due Date
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Janet goes through cost and cost-effectiveness.</li> <li>• Theme 1: Several presentations referenced new/growing evidence on cost-effectiveness of Wraparound/RTI                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>–See overview and original research/summaries on NWI website <a href="http://nwi.pdx.edu/previous-nwi-webinars/">http://nwi.pdx.edu/previous-nwi-webinars/</a> –These are not “all stars” and some have started from scratch and gotten to statewide implementation – Cost savings primarily due to avoiding out-of-home placements, crisis/ ER - Outcomes as good or (mostly) better</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Theme 2: Requires initial and ongoing investment in implementation support –Comprehensive training/coaching, focus on implementation drivers, monitoring fidelity/outcomes.</li> <li>• No- one had public comment.</li> </ul>			
<b>Legislative Update</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sarah Lochner discusses with the group the bills she has related information on. Sarah let the group know there is a lot of buzz and excitement around bills pertaining to school based health systems and expansion.</li> <li>• HB 2626 allows lottery bonds to go to school based house systems – bill is still alive.</li> <li>• Advisory Committee for 2232 – Homeless and runaway youth.</li> </ul>			

**Addictions and Mental Health Division (AMH)**

<b>Topic</b>	<b>Key Discussion</b>	<b>Action/Task/Decision</b>	<b>Responsible</b>	<b>Due Date</b>
<p><b>Date from Youth and Family Survey feedback</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HB 2205 allows funds be made available in the treasury to assist in efforts to stop sexual exploitation in youth and serving victims of the crime.</li> <li>• HB 3299 – funding prevention and intervention for anti-marijuana curriculum in schools.</li> <li>• HB 3378 &amp; HB 2023 Hospital discharge bills to assist family members – gives more directions.</li> <li>• Amy and Martin bring into the conversation different views on HB 3378 &amp; HB 2023 and decide they want the discussion as a future topic</li> <li>• Group requests more information on HB 2948</li> <li>• Martin asks about new investment dollars. Education makes 40 million dollar deficit to DHS and OHA services. Senators are still looking for new dollars to cover the deficit. Sin tax is their obvious looking place at this time.</li> <li>• Fran asks if HB 2024 – Sarah says it is still alive.</li> <li>• Kathleen Newton goes through the purpose of the survey: Guide ongoing efforts to improve the quality of state-funded mental health services and supports for children and families</li> <li>• Kathleen goes through sample: Children age 0-17 years who received Medicaid funded mental health services during the previous year</li> <li>• Respondents: Child’s parent or other caregiver</li> <li>• And then format: Self-administered questionnaire</li> </ul>	<p>Parking lot Agenda Item</p>	<p>Executive Committee</p>	

## Addictions and Mental Health Division (AMH)

Topic	Key Discussion	Action/Task/Decision	Responsible	Due Date
	<p>in English and Spanish; online or paper</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kathleen informs the group of the two questions that have added about YSS-F Trauma Informed Care questions that have been added since 2012.</li> <li>• Question 1: When your child first began seeing his or her current (or most recent) mental health services provider, did the provider ask about your child’s history of trauma (severely stressful events like car wrecks, loss of loved ones, natural disasters, poverty, bullying, crime, physical or sexual abuse, or neglect)? Answers being: Yes, No, or Uncertain.</li> <li>• Question 2: If your child has experienced serious trauma, were problems related to this trauma adequately addressed during treatment? Answers being: Yes, No, or Uncertain.</li> <li>• Kathleen runs through data about how often do children’s mental health providers ask families about their history of trauma. The data has shown since 2012 – 2014 the question “<i>When your child first began seeing his or her current (or most recent) mental health services provider, did the provider ask about your child’s history of trauma?</i>” has the results of “Yes” (2012-75.9%, 2013-73.7, 2014-75.6) “No” (2012-13%, 2013-12.8%, 2014-11.8%) and “Uncertain” (2012-11.2%, 2013-13.6%, 2014-12.6%)</li> </ul>			

## Addictions and Mental Health Division (AMH)

Topic	Key Discussion	Action/Task/Decision	Responsible	Due Date
<p><b>Trauma Informed discussion from last month</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kathleen shows data related to how many families said their children had no serious trauma-related problems.</li> <li>• Kathleen shows data on how many families feel their children’s trauma-related problems were adequately addressed.</li> <li>• Kathleen shows data on what happens when families of children who have experienced serious trauma are not asked about their children’s trauma history? – <i>“Percent of children with serious trauma history whose needs were addressed during treatment, by whether provider initially asked about the child’s trauma history”</i> – Percentage that had initially been asked - 66.8% Asked – 12.6% Not Asked – 15.8% were not sure.</li> <li>• Kathleen concluded her presentation. Public discussion requests “not sure” “uncertain” answers be taken out so the percentage goes into either a yes or no category. Kathleen states, that may conclude data that is not 100% accurate. CSAC member expresses concern that Trauma is not being addressed the same across the board and how it impacts siblings. No public comments.</li> </ul> <p>Kathleen answers questions regarding the Trauma Informed discussion from last month.</p>			

## Addictions and Mental Health Division (AMH)

Topic	Key Discussion	Action/Task/Decision	Responsible	Due Date
<p><b>Discussion of voting status for CSAC at-large representatives</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fran describes the bylaw’s changes state at large emeritus positions are non-voting. Fran expresses her concerns that making them non-voting positions will diminish the work they have done.</li> <li>• The executive committee agreed this should be taken to a public vote.</li> <li>• Move made by Jeremy Wells with the amendments of adding 1 youth/family/advocate to the bylaws, seconded by Sandra Raven. Bylaw amendments to come by next meeting May 15, 2015.</li> </ul>	<p>Motion to amend the bylaws Motion passes</p> <p>Bylaws to be amended on website</p>	<p>Fran/Kari</p>	<p><b>May 2015</b></p>
<p><b>Hot Topics</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sandy Bumpus would like to look at the policy for meaningful family involvement. Work group to revise and bring finished copy to CSAC Full Committee.</li> </ul>	<p>Work Group Item</p>	<p>Sandy/Fran</p>	<p><b>May 2015</b></p>
<p><b>System Monitoring</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Brooke gave brief update on Wraparound rolling out across the state. Brooke also goes through the fidelity monitoring and the WFI-EZ forms and process. She also states that she has gotten very good questions and concerns about system implications. Brooke shows excitement that sites are curious how other sites are doing so, all practices are the same. New sites are completely dependent on the Governor’s budget. Copies of the WFI-EZ forms are located in the meeting packet and online.</li> </ul>		<p>Brooke</p>	



DRAFT