

**OREGON HEALTH PLAN
MEDICAID DEMONSTRATION**

Capitation Rate Development

October 2011 – September 2012

Prepared by:

Actuarial Service Unit
Oregon Health Authority
State of Oregon

Final
September 16, 2011

DATE: September 16, 2011

TO: David Rohrer
Administrator (Interim)
Actuarial Services Unit
Oregon Health Authority
500 Summer Street N.E.
Salem, Oregon 97301

FROM: X. Dennis Tang, ASA, MAAA
Lead Actuary
Actuarial Services Unit
Oregon Health Authority
827 Oregon Street N.E., Suite 220
Portland, Oregon 97232

**RE: Capitation Rates for the Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Effective October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012**

Dear David,

The Portland office of the Oregon Health Authority Actuarial Services Unit (ASU) has reviewed base data period costs, cost and utilization trends, and capitation rates submitted by the contracting physical health, physician care, mental health, and dental plans under the Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration for October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012.

Based on the cost data submitted by the plans, ASU developed statewide and regional actuarially sound rate ranges for physical health, mental health, and dental services, after applying appropriate trend factors, benefit change adjustments, and after adjusting for tier 1 and tier 2 hospital reimbursements (HRA), graduate medical education (GME), and the Children's Wraparound Services.

The capitation rates submitted by managed care plans in their Low Cost Estimates (LCE) have been adjusted for tier 1 and tier 2 hospital reimbursement adjustments (HRA), graduate medical education (GME), and the Children's Wraparound Services since the original submitted rates were without such adjustments.

The resulting adjusted capitation rates have been compared to the actuarially sound ranges. We found all of the managed care plans' adjusted capitation rates to be under the upper bound of the developed rate ranges with regards to composite rates across all eligibility categories. Some were below the actuarially sound rate ranges. A managed care plan may be paid below the developed rate range as long as the managed care plan

accepts the adjusted rate, acknowledging the risk and responsibility for providing all contracted services. Managed care plans do so for reasons of being awarded more auto-assigned members or for helping the State with cost containment goals.

This report describes the methods used for arriving at the adjusted capitation rates based on unadjusted capitation rates submitted by managed care plans, and the actuarially sound rate ranges by physical health, mental health, and dental services developed by ASU. It is being released subsequent to the effective date of the capitation rates developed herein following final approval of the rates by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS).

*

*

*

Please contact me by phone at 503-731-3217 or via email at dennis.tang@state.or.us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "X. Dennis Tang", is positioned above a solid horizontal line.

X. Dennis Tang, ASA, MAAA
Lead Actuary

Cc: Steven Schramm, Founder, Optumas
Zach Aters, ASA, MAAA, Lead Actuary, Optumas

**Actuarial Certification of
Proposed Oregon Health Plan Capitation Rates
October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012**

I, X. Dennis Tang, am the Lead Actuary in Actuarial Service Unit, Oregon Health Authority (OHA). I am an Associate of the Society of Actuaries and a Member of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet its qualification standards to certify as to the actuarial soundness of proposed capitation rates for the period October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012 developed for contracting managed care plans under the Oregon Medicaid program.

It is my opinion that all requirements of 42 CFR 438.6(c), with respect to the development of Medicaid managed care capitation rates, were satisfied in the development of the proposed capitation rates for contracting Medicaid managed care plans in Oregon. I believe that the capitation rates are appropriate for the populations to be covered and the services to be furnished under the contract. Detailed descriptions of the methodology and assumptions used in the development of the capitation rates are contained in the report to which this certification is attached.

In developing the proposed capitation rates and in developing the actuarially sound rate ranges, I have relied on base year experience provided by the plans. Each plan has attested to the accuracy of the reported data, data was reviewed for reasonableness. In addition, in developing actuarially sound rate ranges, data is smoothed and adjusted for any outlier expenditures. However, I did not perform independent verification and take no responsibility as to the accuracy of submitted data.

The capitation rates provided with this certification are considered actuarially sound for purposes of the 42 CFR 438.6(c), according to the following criteria:

- The capitation rate ranges have been developed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices;
- The capitation rate ranges are appropriate for the populations to be covered, and the services to be furnished under the contract; and
- The capitation rate ranges meet the requirements of 42 CFR 438.6(c).

The adjusted capitation rates and actuarially sound rate ranges referred to in the accompanying report are a projection of future events. It may be expected that actual experience will vary from the values shown here. Actuarial methods, considerations, and analyses used in developing the rate ranges conform to the appropriate Standards of Practice promulgated from time to time by the Actuarial Standards Board.

X. Dennis Tang, ASA, MAAA
Member, American Academy of Actuaries

Oregon Health Plan
Summary Calculation of Capitation Rates for
October 2011 – September 2012

September 2011

Table of Contents

I. Governing Regulations.....2

II. Contracting Arrangements3

III. Capitation Rate Development4

 Low Cost Estimates (LCEs)5

 Rate Ranges5

 Changes in Covered Services6

 Hospital Reimbursement Adjustments6

 Graduate Medical Education.....7

 Administrative Allowances.....7

 Children’s Wrap-around Services.....7

 Maternity Case Rate.....8

 Bariatric Case Rate8

 Trend Factors in LCEs8

 Trend Factors in the Development of Actuarially Sound Ranges8

List of Exhibits

Exhibit 1-A: Covered Services by Contract Type – OHP Plus	10
Exhibit 1-B: Covered Services by Contract Type – OHP Standard	13
Exhibit 2-A: Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 DCO Capitation Rates without Adjustment for Administrative Allowance	16
Exhibit 2-B: Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 DCO Capitation Rates with Adjustment for Administrative Allowance ..	17
Exhibit 3-A: Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates without Adjustment for Administrative Allowance	18
Exhibit 3-B: Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates with Adjustment for Administrative Allowance ..	19
Exhibit 4-A: Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates without Adjustment for Administrative Allowance, Exclude Maternity	20
Exhibit 4-B: Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates with Adjustment for Administrative Allowance, Exclude Maternity	21
Exhibit 4-C: Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates without Adjustment for Administrative Allowance, Include Maternity	22
Exhibit 4-D: Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates with Adjustment for Administrative Allowance, Include Maternity	23
Exhibit 4-E: Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 PCO Capitation Rates without Adjustment for Administrative Allowance, Exclude Maternity	24
Exhibit 4-F: Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 PCO Capitation Rates with Adjustment for Administrative Allowance, Exclude Maternity	25

List of Exhibits

Exhibit 5:	Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 DCO Capitation Rates	26
Exhibit 6:	Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates	34
Exhibit 7:	Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP and PCO Capitation Rates	44
Exhibit 8:	Maternity Case Rates for FCHPs and PCOs.....	60
Exhibit 9:	Summary of Trend Factors in Low Cost Estimates (LCEs)	61

Oregon Health Plan
Summary Calculation of Capitation Rates for
October 2011 – September 2012
September 2011

This report presents the methods used to develop the capitation rates to be paid to Fully Capitated Health Plans, Physician Care Organizations, Mental Health Organizations, and Dental Care Organizations participating in the Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration for the contract period beginning October 1, 2011. It also describes methods used in developing the actuarially sound rate ranges for physical health, mental health, and dental services.

These methods are designed to comply with:

1. The requirements of regulations issued by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) governing the development of capitation payments for Medicaid managed care programs, and
2. Relevant Oregon statutory requirements.

The capitation rates shown in this report also include children covered under Title XXI. This report provides a description of the methods used to develop the adjusted plan-specific capitation rates as well as actuarially sound rate ranges for physical health, mental health, and dental services, respectively.

I. Governing Regulations

The Oregon Health Authority (OHA) adopted a new methodology in setting capitation rates and actuarially sound rate ranges for payments to managed care organizations to provide covered services for eligible Medicaid members, for the period October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012. The rates are structured to comply with CMS regulations governing the development of capitation payments for Medicaid managed care programs that apply to rates paid to managed care plans after August 2003. These regulations require that rates be “actuarially sound.” While there are no definitive criteria for determining actuarial soundness for Medicaid managed care programs, CMS has issued a checklist that provides guidance.

The final rates will be established through signed contracts with the participating managed care plans, which will ensure that each plan concurs that the rates paid will allow for contracting with sufficient numbers of providers to ensure appropriate access to care, and that they expect to remain financially sound throughout the contract period.

The general guidelines for developing actuarially sound payment rates encompass the following concepts:

- Data appropriate for the population to be covered by the managed care program should be used for the analysis;
- Payment rates should be sufficiently differentiated to reflect known variation in per capita costs related to age, gender, Medicaid eligibility category, and health status;
- Where rate cells have relatively small numbers of individuals, cost neutral data smoothing techniques should be used;
- Medicaid fee-for-service (FFS) payment rates per unit of service are an appropriate benchmark for developing capitation rates;
- When FFS data are used for the calculations, differences in expected utilization rates between fee-for-service and managed care programs should be accounted for;
- Appropriate levels of managed care plan administrative costs should be included in the rates;
- Programmatic changes in the Medicaid program between the data and contract period should be reflected in the rates; and
- A range of appropriate rates could emerge from the rate-setting process, and an upper and lower bound may be developed.

These capitation rates and rate ranges are developed to be consistent with the concepts described above. The development of the capitation rates is described in this report, and

the supporting calculations are shown in the attached exhibits. In addition to CMS guidelines, Oregon law is considered in developing the payment rates.

II. Contracting Arrangements

The Oregon Health Plan classifies the enrolled population in two groups with different benefit plans. The OHP Plus population is covered for the full range of health care services, while a limited benefit package is offered to the OHP Standard population, comprised of the OHP Families and OHP Adults & Couples eligibility categories. These eligibility categories are shown in the tables below. The Oregon Health Plan contracts with a number of different types of managed care organizations (MCOs), also known as managed care plans, for portions of the health care service package. Fully Capitated Health Plans, or FCHPs, contract for nearly the full range of covered physical health care services, including inpatient, outpatient, physician, prescription drug, and miscellaneous medical services. Physician Care Organization (PCO) plans contract for all services covered by FCHPs with the exception of inpatient services. Mental Health Organizations, or MHOs, contract to provide inpatient and outpatient therapy services on a capitated basis and Dental Care Organizations (DCOs) contract to provide dental services. Within each general category of service (e.g., mental health) an organization is contracted for the full range of capitated services. The capitation rates shown in this report represent the amounts to be paid to contracting plans. For FCHPs, PCOs, and MHOs, separate capitation rates have been calculated for each plan, region, and eligibility category; there are two MCOs which contract with OHA under PCO arrangements.

The twelve eligibility categories and five geographic regions for which capitation rates are calculated are as follows:

OHP Eligibility Categories – OHP Plus	
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF)	AB/AD with Medicare
PLM Adults	AB/AD without Medicare
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children Aged 0 < 1	OAA with Medicare
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children Aged 1 – 5	OAA without Medicare
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children Aged 6-18	CAF Children

OHP Eligibility Categories – OHP Standard	
OHP Family	OHP Adults and Couples

Geographic Regions
Jackson, Josephine, and Douglas Counties (JJD)
Lane County
Linn, Benton, Marion, Polk and Yamhill Counties (LBMPY)
Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington Counties (Tri-County)
All Other Counties

Effective February 1, 2003, the General Assistance (GA) eligibility category was temporarily suspended. Effective October 1, 2005, the GA program was eliminated and those individuals found eligible for another coverage category are appropriately designated. Exhibits 1-A and 1-B of this report shows the categories of service that are covered under the FCHP, PCO, DCO and MHO capitation contracts, respectively.

III. Capitation Rate Development

OHA adopted a new methodology for setting the capitation rates for payments to managed care organizations for covered services for eligible Medicaid members, for the period October 1st, 2011 through September 30th, 2012. First, Managed care plans submit low cost estimates (LCE) that include appropriate costs for individual eligibility categories, projected cost and utilization trends, and proposed base capitation rates. LCEs are submitted to OHA in a template that has separate entries for each of the twelve eligibility categories. Upon final submissions by the plans, ASU calculates tier 1 and tier 2 hospital reimbursement adjustments (HRA), adds additional administrative allowances, and also adds additional amounts for GME in the physical health rates as well as children’s wrap-around services in the Mental Health rates.

Capitation rates were previously developed for each plan based on statewide average rates with plan-specific adjustments reflecting geographic variations in input costs and population risk mix, where appropriate. These statewide capitation rates were developed from biennial per capita costs, with adjustments for trends and programmatic changes that occurred between the development of the per capita costs and the capitation rates. The per capita costs for managed care enrollees were developed based on encounter data provided by the managed care plans, as well as additional sources as appropriate. The main disadvantage of the previous methodology is that rates were not developed directly from actual cost information in the encounter data, rather, rates were developed from charge amounts in the encounter data, and estimates of costs derived from cost-to-charge (CCR) ratios.

Actuarially sound rate ranges have been developed by ASU using multiple actuarial assumptions. Blinded base year data without MCO names and blinded cost and utilization trend factors without MCO names were extracted from LCEs and sent to consulting Optumas for data compilation and statewide summaries. Optumas’ work in this area did not include auditing data. Optumas completes statewide rate ranges for the base data periods, and determines low, medium, and high trend factors for physical health, mental health, and dental services respectively. ASU develops actuarially sound rate ranges for the contract period based on further regional adjustments and benefit change adjustments,

after applying appropriate trend factors. Actuarially sound rate ranges are used for purpose of evaluating final adjusted capitation rates.

Low Cost Estimates (LCEs)

In general, managed care plans are required to supply the following data and projected trend factors in their LCE submissions:

- (A) : A base data period that is recent and usually covering no less than a 12 month period

For each eligibility categories:

- (B) : Member month count for the base data period
- (C) : Actual claims cost for the base data period
- (D) : Estimate incurred but not reported (IBNR) reserve for claims incurred during the base data period
- (E) : PMPM costs including IBNR for the base data period
- (F) : Annual cost trend factors
- (G) : Annual utilization factors
- (H) : Other adjustment factors
- (I) : Proposed base capitation rates

In addition, Fully Capitated Health Plans (FCHPs) are required to supply the following data in their LCE submissions:

- Inpatient claims costs (DRG hospitals, non-DRG hospitals, and other)
- Outpatient claims costs (DRG hospitals, non-DRG hospitals, and other)
- Primary Care physician cost
- Non-primary Care physician cost
- Prescription Drug cost
- Chemical dependency cost
- Exceptional Needs Care Coordination (ENCC) cost (applicable to Medicare and Medicaid dually eligible members)
- Other cost

Since each plan provides LCEs based on their own actual historical claim costs, risk and geographic adjustments that were previously made in prior rate setting cycles are no longer necessary. Therefore, no risk adjustments or geographic adjustments are made to the submitted rates.

ASU adjusts capitation rates submitted by managed cares for GME adjustments, tier 1 and 2 HRA adjustments, and administrative adjustments. See sections below for details.

Rate Ranges

Actuarially sound rate ranges have been developed by ASU in a sequence of steps. First is to make the base period data blind by stripping out plan names and plan IDs. Blinded

base year data without MCO names and blinded cost and utilization trend factors without MCO names are then sent to outside consulting firm Optumas to for data compilation and statewide rate summary by a set of lower and higher bound rates for the base data periods. The base data periods vary from plan to plan and are consolidated into the same base data periods. Optumas also develops low, medium, and high overall cost and utilization trend factors for physical health, mental health, and dental services respectively.

ASU develops the actuarially sound rate ranges by applying medium overall trend factors to the upper bounds of the rates; and low trend factors the lower bounds of the rates. Finally, ASU develops actuarially sound rate ranges for the contract period based on further regional risk score adjustments and benefit change adjustments, tier 1 and 2 hospital reimbursement adjustments, GME adjustments, and administrative allowance adjustments. Final adjusted capitation rates can not exceed upper bounds of the actuarially sound ranges with respect to composite rates across all eligibility categories.

Changes in Covered Services

The cost estimates and rates submitted by the plans were for the most part based on the same benefits as the January 2011 rates. There are only small changes in the covered dental services. Effective October 1, 2011, limitations are placed upon certain repeated dental services. This has been reflected the LCE submissions by managed care plans. It does however require minor adjustments in developing the actuarially sound rate ranges for dental services by ASU.

Hospital Reimbursement Adjustments

Effective October 1, 2009, a hospital reimbursement adjustment (HRA) was added to the capitation rates for FCHPs, PCOs and MHOs to bring DRG hospital reimbursement from 80% of cost to 100% of cost. Per actions in the 2011 legislative session, the tier 1 HRA is now intended to bring DRG hospital reimbursement from 68% of Medicare to 100% of Medicare. Tier 2 HRA is an additional enhancement in the capitation rates that provide an allowance for additional provider tax instituted by the 2011 Oregon legislative session. Tier 2 HRA is considered a provider tax allowance and is 6% of the total capitation rates. It is referred to Hospital Administrative Allowance in the contract rate sheets. Combined with administrative allowance which on average is about 7.53% of the total capitation rates, total administrative allowance including Hospital Administrative Allowance is about 13.53% of the total capitation rates for FCHPs. Note that Hospital Administrative Allowance does not apply to DCOs and MHOs. Both tier 1 HRA and tier 2 HRA (hospital administrative allowance) are treated as pass-through payments, going from the plans to the hospitals as directed by OHA.

The capitation rates provided by the plans on their LCEs are based on a level of reimbursement to the hospitals that is equivalent to 68% of Medicare, with the HRA components excluded. The tier 1 HRA component is added to the rates submitted by managed care plans by ASU using the same HRA percentages appearing in the January

2011 rate sheets after accounting for the changes in the level of reimbursement from 80% to 68% of Medicare.

Graduate Medical Education

Effective January 1, 2010, the capitation rates include reimbursement to teaching hospitals for graduate medical education (GME) as a separately identified component. Previously, GME was embedded in the capitation rates and paid to hospitals at the plans' discretion, but now plans will be directed by DHS as to how much each plan is to pay each hospital, based on the amount of GME built into the plan's capitation rate.

GME dollars for each teaching hospital were calculated by taking managed care inpatient discharges and applying the methodology used by DMAP for calculating fee-for-service GME. These dollars were reconciled with estimated GME costs in the hospital settlement reports and then allocated to plans based on the amount of business each plan has done with each hospital in the past, as specified by the Annual HRA Surveys completed by the hospitals.

The GME component of the capitation rates was applied by ASU using the GME PMPM rate proportions appearing in the January 2011 rate sheets.

Administrative Allowances

In setting the previous January 2011 capitation rates, OHA requires administrative allowances percentages as follows:

FCHP	DCO	MHO	PCO
8.41%	8%	8.80%	8.65%

For capitation rates effective October 1st 2011 to September 30th, 2012, administrative allowances varies depending on the plan submission of Low Cost Estimates and adjustments to the base capitation rates. Statewide summary shows the following administrative allowances as percentages of total rates below. Note these percentage administrative allowances include the 3% administrative allowance on HRA (tier 1 only), 2% administrative allowance on GME, and 1% premium tax allowance.

FCHP	DCO	MHO	PCO
7.53%	8.42%	9.78%	7.98%

Children's Wrap-around Services

Effective July 1st, 2010, three MHOs have entered into contract with DHS to provide wrap-around services for children. They are:

- Jefferson Behavioral Health

- Mid-Valley Behavioral Care Network
- Washington County by and through its Department of Health and Human Services

The October 2011 children's wrap-around services rates are unchanged from the January 2011 rates.

Maternity Case Rate

Maternity case rates were developed by applying appropriate cost trends for inpatient, outpatient, and physician services at the statewide level. Geographic adjustments calibrated based on March 2009 to February 2010 encounter data were applied to create plan specific maternity case rates. HRA components of the maternity case rates are calculated in the same ways described above in this report. See exhibit 8 for a comparison of October 2011 maternity cases with January 2011 maternity case rates.

Bariatric Surgery Case Rate

Effective January 1, 2008, bariatric surgery was added as a covered benefit under the Oregon Health Plan. Under managed care, pre-surgery evaluations, tests, and transportation are added to FCHP and PCO responsibility. The cost of the surgery itself, post-surgery follow-up, revisions, and complications will be covered via a case rate payment.

The array and frequency of services comprising a bariatric surgery episode were estimated by DMAP staff in collaboration with the Oregon Centers of Excellence at which the surgeries will be performed. The cost of these services was developed to be consistent with the assumptions used to value the managed care services in the development of the 2010-2011 per capita costs. Estimates of the number of people expected to receive pre-surgical evaluation and related services were developed using estimates from Washington State's Medicaid program, which appears to apply similar prior authorization criteria as Oregon.

The bariatric surgery case rate was not revised for October 2011 to September 2012. It remains the same as it was for January 2011.

Trend Factors in LCEs

Managed care plans provide cost and utilization trends in their LCEs which are used to determine their low cost estimates of capitation rates for October 2011 to September 2012. ASU examines the reasonableness of the trend factors in conjunction with overall evaluation of the rates as compared to the actuarially sound ranges. The trend factors in managed care LCEs are deemed acceptable in the context of this overall evaluation. Exhibit 9 shows a summary of average cost and utilization trend factors in submitted LCEs.

Trend Factors in the Development of Actuarially Sound Ranges

Multiple assumptions went into the development of overall cost and utilization trend factors by consulting firm Optumas for ASU's developing actuarially sound ranges for physical health, mental health, and dental services. Among these are blinded cost and utilization trend factors from managed care plans' submitted LCEs. Low, medium, and high overall trend factors were estimated. ASU applied medium trend factors to the upper bounds of the base period rate ranges, and applied low trend factors to the lower bounds of the base period rate ranges.

EXHIBITS

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Capitation Rate Development for October 2011 through September 2012
Covered Services by Contract Type - OHP PLUS

EXHIBIT 1-A

Detail Service Category	Rate Sheet Category	CONTRACT TYPE			
		FCHP	PCO	DCO	MHO
PHYSICAL HEALTH					
ANESTHESIA	Physician - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
EXCEPT NEEDS CARE COORDINATION	Exceptional Needs Care Coordination	Mandatory	Mandatory		
FP - IP HOSP	Inpatient - Family Planning	Mandatory			
FP - OP HOSP	Outpatient - Family Planning	Mandatory	Mandatory		
FP - PHYS	Physician - Family Planning	Mandatory	Mandatory		
HYSTERECTOMY - ANESTHESIA	Physician - Hysterectomy	Mandatory	Mandatory		
HYSTERECTOMY - IP HOSP	Inpatient - Hysterectomy	Mandatory			
HYSTERECTOMY - OP HOSP	Outpatient - Hysterectomy	Mandatory	Mandatory		
HYSTERECTOMY - PHYS	Physician - Hysterectomy	Mandatory	Mandatory		
IP HOSP - ACUTE DETOX	Inpatient - Basic	Mandatory			
IP HOSP - MATERNITY	Inpatient - Maternity	Mandatory			
IP HOSP - MATERNITY / STERILIZATION	Inpatient - Sterilization	Mandatory			
IP HOSP - MEDICAL/SURGICAL	Inpatient - Basic	Mandatory			
IP HOSP - NEWBORN	Inpatient - Newborn	Mandatory			
IP HOSP - POST HOSP EXTENDED CARE	Inpatient - Basic	Mandatory			
LAB & RAD - DIAGNOSTIC X-RAY	Physician - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
LAB & RAD - LAB	Physician - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
LAB & RAD - THERAPEUTIC X-RAY	Physician - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
OP ER - SOMATIC MH	Outpatient - Emergency Room	Mandatory	Mandatory		
OP HOSP - BASIC	Outpatient - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
OP HOSP - EMERGENCY ROOM	Outpatient - Emergency Room	Mandatory	Mandatory		
OP HOSP - LAB & RAD	Outpatient - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
OP HOSP - MATERNITY	Outpatient - Maternity	Mandatory	Mandatory		
OP HOSP - POST HOSP EXTENDED CARE	Outpatient - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
OP HOSP - PRES DRUGS BASIC	Outpatient - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
OP HOSP - PRES DRUGS MH/CD	Outpatient - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
OP HOSP - SOMATIC MH	Outpatient - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
OTH MED - DME	DME/Supplies	Mandatory	Mandatory		
OTH MED - HHC/PDN	Home Health/PDN/Hospice	Mandatory	Mandatory		
OTH MED - HOSPICE	Home Health/PDN/Hospice	Mandatory	Mandatory		
OTH MED - MATERNITY MGT	Maternity Management	Optional	Optional		
OTH MED - SUPPLIES	DME/Supplies	Mandatory	Mandatory		
PHYS CONSULTATION, IP & ER VISITS	Physician - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
PHYS HOME OR LONG-TERM CARE VISITS	Physician - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Capitation Rate Development for October 2011 through September 2012
Covered Services by Contract Type - OHP PLUS

EXHIBIT 1-A

Detail Service Category	Rate Sheet Category	CONTRACT TYPE			
		FCHP	PCO	DCO	MHO
PHYSICAL HEALTH					
PHYS MATERNITY	Physician - Maternity	Mandatory	Mandatory		
PHYS NEWBORN	Physician - Newborn	Mandatory	Mandatory		
PHYS OFFICE VISITS	Physician - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
PHYS OTHER	Physician - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
PHYS SOMATIC MH	Physician - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
PRES DRUGS - BASIC	Prescription Drugs - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
PRES DRUGS - FP	Prescription Drugs - Family Planning	Mandatory	Mandatory		
STERILIZATION - ANESTHESIA FEMALE	Physician - Sterilization	Mandatory	Mandatory		
STERILIZATION - ANESTHESIA MALE	Physician - Sterilization	Mandatory	Mandatory		
STERILIZATION - IP HOSP FEMALE	Inpatient - Sterilization	Mandatory			
STERILIZATION - IP HOSP MALE	Inpatient - Sterilization	Mandatory			
STERILIZATION - OP HOSP FEMALE	Outpatient - Sterilization	Mandatory	Mandatory		
STERILIZATION - OP HOSP MALE	Outpatient - Sterilization	Mandatory	Mandatory		
STERILIZATION - PHY FEMALE	Physician - Sterilization	Mandatory	Mandatory		
STERILIZATION - PHY MALE	Physician - Sterilization	Mandatory	Mandatory		
SURGERY	Physician - Basic	Mandatory	Mandatory		
TRANSPORTATION - AMBULANCE	Transportation - Ambulance	Mandatory	Mandatory		
VISION CARE - EXAMS & THERAPY	Vision	Mandatory	Mandatory		
VISION CARE - MATERIALS & FITTING	Vision	Mandatory	Mandatory		
DENTAL					
DENTAL - ADJUNCTIVE GENERAL	Dental			Mandatory	
DENTAL - ANESTHESIA SURGICAL	Dental			Mandatory	
DENTAL - DIAGNOSTIC	Dental			Mandatory	
DENTAL - ENDODONTICS	Dental			Mandatory	
DENTAL - I/P FIXED	Dental			Mandatory	
DENTAL - MAXILLOFACIAL PROS	Dental			Mandatory	
DENTAL - ORAL SURGERY	Dental			Mandatory	
DENTAL - ORTHODONTICS	Dental			Mandatory	
DENTAL - PERIODONTICS	Dental			Mandatory	
DENTAL - PREVENTIVE	Dental			Mandatory	
DENTAL - PROS REMOVABLE	Dental			Mandatory	
DENTAL - RESTORATIVE	Dental			Mandatory	

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Capitation Rate Development for October 2011 through September 2012
Covered Services by Contract Type - OHP PLUS

EXHIBIT 1-A

Detail Service Category	Rate Sheet Category	CONTRACT TYPE			
		FCHP	PCO	DCO	MHO
CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY					
CD SERVICES - ALTERNATIVE TO DETOX	Chemical Dependency	Mandatory	Mandatory		
CD SERVICES - METHADONE	Chemical Dependency	Mandatory	Mandatory		
CD SERVICES - OP	Chemical Dependency	Mandatory	Mandatory		
MENTAL HEALTH					
MH SERVICES ACUTE INPATIENT	Mental Health - Acute Inpatient				Mandatory
MH SERVICES ALTERNATIVE TO IP	Mental Health - Alternative to IP				Mandatory
MH SERVICES ANCILLARY SERVICES	Mental Health - Ancillary Services				Mandatory
MH SERVICES ASSESS & EVAL	Mental Health - Assess & Eval				Mandatory
MH SERVICES CASE MANAGEMENT	Mental Health - Case Management				Mandatory
MH SERVICES CONS ASSESS	Mental Health - CONS Assessments				Mandatory
MH SERVICES CONSULTATION	Mental Health - Consultation				Mandatory
MH SERVICES FAMILY SUPPORT	Mental Health - Family Support				Mandatory
MH SERVICES INTENSIVE TREATMENT SVCS	Mental Health - Intensive Treatment Services				Mandatory
MH SERVICES MED MANAGEMENT	Mental Health - Med Management				Mandatory
MH SERVICES OP TREATMENT	Mental Health - OP Therapy				Mandatory
MH SERVICES OTHER OP	Mental Health - Other OP				Mandatory
MH SERVICES PEO	Mental Health - PEO				Mandatory
MH SERVICES PHYS IP	Mental Health - Phys IP				Mandatory
MH SERVICES PHYS OP	Mental Health - Phys OP				Mandatory
MH SERVICES SUPPORT DAY PROGRAM	Mental Health - Support Day Program				Mandatory

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Capitation Rate Development for October 2011 through September 2012
Covered Services by Contract Type - OHP STANDARD

EXHIBIT 1-B

Detail Service Category	Rate Sheet Category	CONTRACT TYPE			
		FCHP	PCO	DCO	MHO
PHYSICAL HEALTH					
ANESTHESIA	Physician - Basic	Covered	Covered		
EXCEPT NEEDS CARE COORDINATION	Exceptional Needs Care Coordination	Covered	Covered		
FP - IP HOSP	Inpatient - Family Planning	Limited	Limited		
FP - OP HOSP	Outpatient - Family Planning	Limited	Limited		
FP - PHYS	Physician - Family Planning	Covered	Covered		
HYSTERECTOMY - ANESTHESIA	Physician - Hysterectomy	Covered	Covered		
HYSTERECTOMY - IP HOSP	Inpatient - Hysterectomy	Limited	Limited		
HYSTERECTOMY - OP HOSP	Outpatient - Hysterectomy	Limited	Limited		
HYSTERECTOMY - PHYS	Physician - Hysterectomy	Covered	Covered		
IP HOSP - ACUTE DETOX	Inpatient - Basic	Limited	Limited		
IP HOSP - MATERNITY	Inpatient - Maternity	Covered	Covered		
IP HOSP - MATERNITY / STERILIZATION	Inpatient - Sterilization	Covered	Covered		
IP HOSP - MEDICAL/SURGICAL	Inpatient - Basic	Limited	Limited		
IP HOSP - NEWBORN	Inpatient - Newborn	Limited	Limited		
IP HOSP - POST HOSP EXTENDED CARE	Inpatient - Basic	Limited	Limited		
LAB & RAD - DIAGNOSTIC X-RAY	Physician - Basic	Covered	Covered		
LAB & RAD - LAB	Physician - Basic	Covered	Covered		
LAB & RAD - THERAPEUTIC X-RAY	Physician - Basic	Covered	Covered		
OP ER - SOMATIC MH	Outpatient - Emergency Room	Limited	Limited		
OP HOSP - BASIC	Outpatient - Basic	Limited	Limited		
OP HOSP - EMERGENCY ROOM	Outpatient - Emergency Room	Limited	Limited		
OP HOSP - LAB & RAD	Outpatient - Basic	Limited	Limited		
OP HOSP - MATERNITY	Outpatient - Maternity	Covered	Covered		
OP HOSP - POST HOSP EXTENDED CARE	Outpatient - Basic	Limited	Limited		
OP HOSP - PRES DRUGS BASIC	Outpatient - Basic	Limited	Limited		
OP HOSP - PRES DRUGS MH/CD	Outpatient - Basic	Limited	Limited		
OP HOSP - SOMATIC MH	Outpatient - Basic	Limited	Limited		
OTH MED - DME	DME/Supplies	Limited	Limited		
OTH MED - HHC/PDN	Home Health/PDN/Hospice	Limited	Limited		
OTH MED - HOSPICE	Home Health/PDN/Hospice	Limited	Limited		
OTH MED - MATERNITY MGT	Maternity Management	Optional	Optional		
OTH MED - SUPPLIES	DME/Supplies	Limited	Limited		
PHYS CONSULTATION, IP & ER VISITS	Physician - Basic	Covered	Covered		
PHYS HOME OR LONG-TERM CARE VISITS	Physician - Basic	Covered	Covered		

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Capitation Rate Development for October 2011 through September 2012
Covered Services by Contract Type - OHP STANDARD

EXHIBIT 1-B

Detail Service Category	Rate Sheet Category	CONTRACT TYPE			
		FCHP	PCO	DCO	MHO
PHYSICAL HEALTH					
PHYS MATERNITY	Physician - Maternity	Covered	Covered		
PHYS NEWBORN	Physician - Newborn	Covered	Covered		
PHYS OFFICE VISITS	Physician - Basic	Covered	Covered		
PHYS OTHER	Physician - Basic	Covered	Covered		
PHYS SOMATIC MH	Physician - Basic	Covered	Covered		
PRES DRUGS - BASIC	Prescription Drugs - Basic	Covered	Covered		
PRES DRUGS - FP	Prescription Drugs - Family Planning	Covered	Covered		
STERILIZATION - ANESTHESIA FEMALE	Physician - Sterilization	Covered	Covered		
STERILIZATION - ANESTHESIA MALE	Physician - Sterilization	Covered	Covered		
STERILIZATION - IP HOSP FEMALE	Inpatient - Sterilization	Limited	Limited		
STERILIZATION - IP HOSP MALE	Inpatient - Sterilization	Limited	Limited		
STERILIZATION - OP HOSP FEMALE	Outpatient - Sterilization	Limited	Limited		
STERILIZATION - OP HOSP MALE	Outpatient - Sterilization	Limited	Limited		
STERILIZATION - PHY FEMALE	Physician - Sterilization	Covered	Covered		
STERILIZATION - PHY MALE	Physician - Sterilization	Covered	Covered		
SURGERY	Physician - Basic	Covered	Covered		
TRANSPORTATION - AMBULANCE	Transportation - Ambulance	Limited	Limited		
VISION CARE - EXAMS & THERAPY	Vision	Limited	Limited		
VISION CARE - MATERIALS & FITTING	Vision	Limited	Limited		
DENTAL					
DENTAL - ADJUNCTIVE GENERAL	Dental			Limited	
DENTAL - ANESTHESIA SURGICAL	Dental			Limited	
DENTAL - DIAGNOSTIC	Dental			Limited	
DENTAL - ENDODONTICS	Dental			Limited	
DENTAL - I/P FIXED	Dental			Limited	
DENTAL - MAXILLOFACIAL PROS	Dental			Limited	
DENTAL - ORAL SURGERY	Dental			Limited	
DENTAL - ORTHODONTICS	Dental			Limited	
DENTAL - PERIODONTICS	Dental			Limited	
DENTAL - PREVENTIVE	Dental			Limited	
DENTAL - PROS REMOVABLE	Dental			Limited	
DENTAL - RESTORATIVE	Dental			Limited	

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Capitation Rate Development for October 2011 through September 2012
Covered Services by Contract Type - OHP STANDARD

EXHIBIT 1-B

Detail Service Category	Rate Sheet Category	CONTRACT TYPE			
		FCHP	PCO	DCO	MHO
CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY					
CD SERVICES - ALTERNATIVE TO DETOX	Chemical Dependency	Covered	Covered		
CD SERVICES - METHADONE	Chemical Dependency	Covered	Covered		
CD SERVICES - OP	Chemical Dependency	Covered	Covered		
MENTAL HEALTH					
MH SERVICES ACUTE INPATIENT	Mental Health - Acute Inpatient				Limited
MH SERVICES ALTERNATIVE TO IP	Mental Health - Alternative to IP				Covered
MH SERVICES ANCILLARY SERVICES	Mental Health - Ancillary Services				Covered
MH SERVICES ASSESS & EVAL	Mental Health - Assess & Eval				Covered
MH SERVICES CASE MANAGEMENT	Mental Health - Case Management				Covered
MH SERVICES CONS ASSESS	Mental Health - CONS Assessments				N/A
MH SERVICES CONSULTATION	Mental Health - Consultation				Covered
MH SERVICES FAMILY SUPPORT	Mental Health - Family Support				Covered
MH SERVICES INTENSIVE TREATMENT SVCS	Mental Health - Intensive Treatment Services				N/A
MH SERVICES MED MANAGEMENT	Mental Health - Med Management				Covered
MH SERVICES OP TREATMENT	Mental Health - OP Therapy				Covered
MH SERVICES OTHER OP	Mental Health - Other OP				Covered
MH SERVICES PEO	Mental Health - PEO				Covered
MH SERVICES PHYS IP	Mental Health - Phys IP				Covered
MH SERVICES PHYS OP	Mental Health - Phys OP				Covered
MH SERVICES SUPPORT DAY PROGRAM	Mental Health - Support Day Program				Covered

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 DCO Capitation Rates
Without Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 2-A

Statewide DCO Rates			
Eligibility Category	October 2011 (Without Admin Allowance)	January 2011 (Without Admin Allowance)	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$24.42	\$28.19	-13.4%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$22.31	\$25.59	-12.8%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$0.23	\$0.28	-20.3%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$16.06	\$18.15	-11.5%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$20.84	\$23.49	-11.3%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$20.60	\$22.87	-9.9%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$18.19	\$19.43	-6.4%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$11.91	\$14.48	-17.8%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$19.61	\$23.11	-15.1%
CAF Children	\$19.26	\$22.59	-14.7%
OHP Families	\$4.67	\$5.42	-13.8%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$4.68	\$5.34	-12.4%
Weighted Average ¹	\$16.77	\$18.97	-11.6%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 DCO Capitation Rates
With Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 2-B

Statewide DCO Rates			
Eligibility Category	October 2011 (Including Admin Allowance)	January 2011 (Including Admin Allowance)	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$26.64	\$30.64	-13.1%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$24.41	\$27.82	-12.3%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$0.25	\$0.31	-19.3%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$17.43	\$19.73	-11.7%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$22.65	\$25.53	-11.3%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$22.58	\$24.86	-9.2%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$19.92	\$21.12	-5.7%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$13.04	\$15.74	-17.2%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$21.45	\$25.11	-14.6%
CAF Children	\$20.97	\$24.55	-14.6%
OHP Families	\$5.08	\$5.89	-13.7%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$5.10	\$5.80	-12.1%
Weighted Average ¹	\$18.26	\$20.62	-11.4%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates
Without Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 3-A

Statewide MHO Rates			
Eligibility Category	October 2011 (Without Admin Allowance)	January 2011 (Without Admin Allowance)	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$22.13	\$27.71	-20.1%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$9.76	\$9.70	0.6%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$0.53	\$0.62	-15.4%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$4.14	\$4.62	-10.4%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$24.70	\$25.63	-3.6%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$65.55	\$78.62	-16.6%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$107.77	\$133.46	-19.2%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$7.35	\$8.70	-15.5%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$12.22	\$28.20	-56.7%
CAF Children	\$222.84	\$217.69	2.4%
OHP Families	\$13.93	\$14.92	-6.7%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$34.83	\$41.21	-15.5%
Weighted Average ¹	\$33.93	\$38.21	-11.2%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates
With Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 3-B

Statewide MHO Rates			
Eligibility Category	October 2011 (Including Admin Allowance)	January 2011 (Including Admin Allowance)	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$24.63	\$30.38	-18.9%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$10.66	\$10.63	0.3%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$0.57	\$0.68	-16.4%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$4.56	\$5.06	-9.9%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$27.23	\$28.10	-3.1%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$72.73	\$86.20	-15.6%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$120.15	\$146.33	-17.9%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$8.15	\$9.54	-14.6%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$14.23	\$30.92	-54.0%
CAF Children	\$245.69	\$238.70	2.9%
OHP Families	\$15.36	\$16.36	-6.1%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$38.58	\$45.18	-14.6%
Weighted Average ¹	\$37.59	\$41.90	-10.3%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Without Adjustment for Administrative Allowance
Excluding Maternity

Exhibit 4-A

Statewide FCHP Rates			
Eligibility Category	October 2011 (Without Admin Allowance)	January 2011 (Without Admin Allowance)	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$324.39	\$363.91	-10.9%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$292.51	\$316.52	-7.6%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$539.55	\$487.04	10.8%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$96.70	\$83.96	15.2%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$84.89	\$76.82	10.5%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$123.31	\$143.98	-14.4%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$864.86	\$799.46	8.2%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$142.98	\$148.17	-3.5%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$662.25	\$693.49	-4.5%
CAF Children	\$167.97	\$124.36	35.1%
OHP Families	\$270.94	\$242.65	11.7%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$460.05	\$478.42	-3.8%
Weighted Average ¹	\$248.12	\$239.98	3.4%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
With Adjustment for Administrative Allowance
Excluding Maternity

Exhibit 4-B

Statewide FCHP Rates			
Eligibility Category	October 2011 (Including Admin Allowance)	January 2011 (Including Admin Allowance)	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$350.49	\$397.32	-11.8%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$316.18	\$345.58	-8.5%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$580.86	\$531.76	9.2%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$104.61	\$91.67	14.1%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$91.87	\$83.88	9.5%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$133.74	\$157.21	-14.9%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$934.38	\$872.87	7.0%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$155.12	\$161.78	-4.1%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$715.30	\$757.16	-5.5%
CAF Children	\$181.78	\$135.77	33.9%
OHP Families	\$293.01	\$264.93	10.6%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$497.42	\$522.35	-4.8%
Weighted Average ¹	\$268.14	\$262.02	2.3%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Without Adjustment for Administrative Allowance
Including Maternity

Exhibit 4-C

Statewide FCHP Rates			
Eligibility Category	October 2011 (Without Admin Allowance)	January 2011 (Without Admin Allowance)	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$432.81	\$464.49	-6.8%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$1,353.79	\$1,301.79	4.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$539.55	\$487.04	10.8%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$96.70	\$83.96	15.2%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$89.62	\$81.21	10.4%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$123.31	\$143.98	-14.4%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$873.53	\$807.50	8.2%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$142.98	\$148.17	-3.5%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$662.25	\$693.49	-4.5%
CAF Children	\$170.30	\$126.52	34.6%
OHP Families	\$272.35	\$247.06	10.2%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$464.79	\$479.73	-3.1%
Weighted Average ¹	\$281.37	\$270.69	3.9%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
With Adjustment for Administrative Allowance
Including Maternity

Exhibit 4-D

Statewide FCHP Rates			
Eligibility Category	October 2011 (Including Admin Allowance)	January 2011 (Including Admin Allowance)	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$467.90	\$507.14	-7.7%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$1,466.46	\$1,421.32	3.2%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$580.86	\$531.76	9.2%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$104.61	\$91.67	14.1%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$96.99	\$88.67	9.4%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$133.74	\$157.21	-14.9%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$943.76	\$881.65	7.0%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$155.12	\$161.78	-4.1%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$715.30	\$757.16	-5.5%
CAF Children	\$184.30	\$138.14	33.4%
OHP Families	\$294.52	\$269.74	9.2%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$502.56	\$523.78	-4.1%
Weighted Average ¹	\$304.17	\$295.55	2.9%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 PCO Capitation Rates
Without Adjustment for Administrative Allowance
Excluding Maternity

Exhibit 4-E

Statewide PCO Rates			
Eligibility Category	October 2011 (Without Admin Allowance)	January 2011 (Without Admin Allowance)	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$247.01	\$265.96	-7.1%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$216.77	\$250.29	-13.4%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$100.55	\$179.47	-44.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$66.68	\$69.57	-4.1%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$58.78	\$61.06	-3.7%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$123.44	\$126.58	-2.5%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$515.19	\$514.18	0.2%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$135.50	\$119.36	13.5%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$547.52	\$382.30	43.2%
CAF Children	\$90.91	\$106.71	-14.8%
OHP Families	\$313.56	\$199.48	57.2%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$488.31	\$372.38	31.1%
Weighted Average ¹	\$140.26	\$145.12	-3.4%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 PCO Capitation Rates
With Adjustment for Administrative Allowance
Excluding Maternity

Exhibit 4-F

Statewide PCO Rates			
Eligibility Category	October 2011 (Including Admin Allowance)	January 2011 (Including Admin Allowance)	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$266.26	\$291.14	-8.5%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$230.21	\$273.99	-16.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$110.69	\$196.47	-43.7%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$74.55	\$76.15	-2.1%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$66.36	\$66.85	-0.7%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$132.54	\$138.56	-4.3%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$545.89	\$562.87	-3.0%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$146.75	\$130.66	12.3%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$581.19	\$418.50	38.9%
CAF Children	\$101.08	\$116.81	-13.5%
OHP Families	\$339.94	\$218.37	55.7%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$529.21	\$407.64	29.8%
Weighted Average ¹	\$152.36	\$158.86	-4.1%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 DCO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 5

ACCESS DENTAL PLAN, LLC															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)													\$28.71	\$32.24	-11.0%
Poverty Level Medical Adults													\$26.06	\$29.27	-11.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1													\$0.29	\$0.32	-10.6%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5													\$18.48	\$20.76	-11.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18													\$23.91	\$26.86	-11.0%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare													\$23.29	\$26.16	-11.0%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare													\$19.79	\$22.22	-11.0%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare													\$14.75	\$16.57	-11.0%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare													\$23.52	\$26.43	-11.0%
CAF Children													\$22.99	\$25.83	-11.0%
OHP Families													\$5.52	\$6.20	-10.9%
OHP Adults & Couples													\$5.44	\$6.11	-10.9%
Weighted Average ¹													\$16.72	\$18.78	-11.0%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 DCO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 5

ADVANTAGE DENTAL															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$26.97	\$29.72	-9.3%	\$26.97	\$29.72	-9.3%	\$26.97	\$29.72	-9.3%	\$26.97	\$29.72	-9.3%	\$29.20	\$32.24	-9.4%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$22.63	\$26.98	-16.1%	\$22.63	\$26.98	-16.1%	\$22.63	\$26.98	-16.1%	\$22.63	\$26.98	-16.1%	\$24.49	\$29.27	-16.3%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$0.19	\$0.30	-36.5%	\$0.19	\$0.30	-36.5%	\$0.19	\$0.30	-36.5%	\$0.19	\$0.30	-36.5%	\$0.21	\$0.32	-35.3%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$16.01	\$19.14	-16.3%	\$16.01	\$19.14	-16.3%	\$16.01	\$19.14	-16.3%	\$16.01	\$19.14	-16.3%	\$17.32	\$20.76	-16.6%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$20.77	\$24.76	-16.1%	\$20.77	\$24.76	-16.1%	\$20.77	\$24.76	-16.1%	\$20.77	\$24.76	-16.1%	\$22.47	\$26.86	-16.4%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$24.55	\$24.11	1.8%	\$24.55	\$24.11	1.8%	\$24.55	\$24.11	1.8%	\$24.55	\$24.11	1.8%	\$26.56	\$26.16	1.5%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$22.74	\$20.49	11.0%	\$22.74	\$20.49	11.0%	\$22.74	\$20.49	11.0%	\$22.74	\$20.49	11.0%	\$24.62	\$22.22	10.8%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$13.17	\$15.27	-13.8%	\$13.17	\$15.27	-13.8%	\$13.17	\$15.27	-13.8%	\$13.17	\$15.27	-13.8%	\$14.24	\$16.57	-14.0%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$17.81	\$24.36	-26.9%	\$17.81	\$24.36	-26.9%	\$17.81	\$24.36	-26.9%	\$17.81	\$24.36	-26.9%	\$19.24	\$26.43	-27.2%
CAF Children	\$19.27	\$23.81	-19.1%	\$19.27	\$23.81	-19.1%	\$19.27	\$23.81	-19.1%	\$19.27	\$23.81	-19.1%	\$20.83	\$25.83	-19.4%
OHP Families	\$5.70	\$5.71	-0.2%	\$5.70	\$5.71	-0.2%	\$5.70	\$5.71	-0.2%	\$5.70	\$5.71	-0.2%	\$6.18	\$6.20	-0.3%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$5.53	\$5.63	-1.8%	\$5.53	\$5.63	-1.8%	\$5.53	\$5.63	-1.8%	\$5.53	\$5.63	-1.8%	\$5.98	\$6.11	-2.1%
Weighted Average ¹	\$17.48	\$19.63	-11.0%	\$17.60	\$19.74	-10.8%	\$17.21	\$19.58	-12.1%	\$17.81	\$20.10	-11.4%	\$18.32	\$20.63	-11.2%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 DCO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 5

CAPITOL DENTAL CARE INC															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$24.89	\$29.72	-16.3%	\$24.89	\$29.72	-16.3%	\$24.89	\$29.72	-16.3%	\$24.89	\$29.72	-16.3%	\$24.89	\$32.24	-22.8%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$22.48	\$26.98	-16.7%	\$22.48	\$26.98	-16.7%	\$22.48	\$26.98	-16.7%	\$22.48	\$26.98	-16.7%	\$22.48	\$29.27	-23.2%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$0.28	\$0.30	-6.4%	\$0.28	\$0.30	-6.4%	\$0.28	\$0.30	-6.4%	\$0.28	\$0.30	-6.4%	\$0.28	\$0.32	-13.7%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$18.24	\$19.14	-4.7%	\$18.24	\$19.14	-4.7%	\$18.24	\$19.14	-4.7%	\$18.24	\$19.14	-4.7%	\$18.24	\$20.76	-12.1%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$23.56	\$24.76	-4.9%	\$23.56	\$24.76	-4.9%	\$23.56	\$24.76	-4.9%	\$23.56	\$24.76	-4.9%	\$23.56	\$26.86	-12.3%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$20.20	\$24.11	-16.2%	\$20.20	\$24.11	-16.2%	\$20.20	\$24.11	-16.2%	\$20.20	\$24.11	-16.2%	\$20.20	\$26.16	-22.8%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$17.15	\$20.49	-16.3%	\$17.15	\$20.49	-16.3%	\$17.15	\$20.49	-16.3%	\$17.15	\$20.49	-16.3%	\$17.15	\$22.22	-22.8%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$12.83	\$15.27	-16.0%	\$12.83	\$15.27	-16.0%	\$12.83	\$15.27	-16.0%	\$12.83	\$15.27	-16.0%	\$12.83	\$16.57	-22.6%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$20.73	\$24.36	-14.9%	\$20.73	\$24.36	-14.9%	\$20.73	\$24.36	-14.9%	\$20.73	\$24.36	-14.9%	\$20.73	\$26.43	-21.6%
CAF Children	\$22.71	\$23.81	-4.6%	\$22.71	\$23.81	-4.6%	\$22.71	\$23.81	-4.6%	\$22.71	\$23.81	-4.6%	\$22.71	\$25.83	-12.1%
OHP Families	\$4.97	\$5.71	-13.0%	\$4.97	\$5.71	-13.0%	\$4.97	\$5.71	-13.0%	\$4.97	\$5.71	-13.0%	\$4.97	\$6.20	-19.8%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$4.90	\$5.63	-12.9%	\$4.90	\$5.63	-12.9%	\$4.90	\$5.63	-12.9%	\$4.90	\$5.63	-12.9%	\$4.90	\$6.11	-19.7%
Weighted Average ¹	\$18.29	\$20.17	-9.3%	\$17.48	\$19.36	-9.7%	\$18.51	\$20.26	-8.6%	\$17.83	\$19.67	-9.3%	\$18.29	\$21.89	-16.4%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 DCO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 5

FAMILY DENTAL CARE															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)													\$28.57	\$32.24	-11.4%
Poverty Level Medical Adults													\$25.76	\$29.27	-12.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1													\$0.29	\$0.32	-10.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5													\$18.48	\$20.76	-11.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18													\$23.82	\$26.86	-11.3%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare													\$23.54	\$26.16	-10.0%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare													\$19.86	\$22.22	-10.6%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare													\$14.65	\$16.57	-11.6%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare													\$23.22	\$26.43	-12.1%
CAF Children													\$22.89	\$25.83	-11.4%
OHP Families													\$5.52	\$6.20	-10.9%
OHP Adults & Couples													\$5.39	\$6.11	-11.7%
Weighted Average ¹													\$17.87	\$20.13	-11.2%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 DCO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 5

MANAGED DENTAL CARE OF OR															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)													\$28.70	\$32.24	-11.0%
Poverty Level Medical Adults													\$26.05	\$29.27	-11.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1													\$0.29	\$0.32	-10.6%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5													\$18.48	\$20.76	-11.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18													\$23.91	\$26.86	-11.0%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare													\$23.28	\$26.16	-11.0%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare													\$19.79	\$22.22	-11.0%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare													\$14.75	\$16.57	-11.0%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare													\$23.52	\$26.43	-11.0%
CAF Children													\$23.00	\$25.83	-11.0%
OHP Families													\$5.52	\$6.20	-10.9%
OHP Adults & Couples													\$5.44	\$6.11	-10.9%
Weighted Average ¹													\$19.02	\$21.36	-11.0%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 DCO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 5

MULTICARE DENTAL															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)													\$28.14	\$32.24	-12.7%
Poverty Level Medical Adults													\$25.49	\$29.27	-12.9%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1													\$0.29	\$0.32	-10.6%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5													\$18.27	\$20.76	-12.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18													\$23.78	\$26.86	-11.5%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare													\$22.84	\$26.16	-12.7%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare													\$19.46	\$22.22	-12.4%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare													\$14.47	\$16.57	-12.7%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare													\$23.06	\$26.43	-12.7%
CAF Children													\$22.56	\$25.83	-12.7%
OHP Families													\$5.42	\$6.20	-12.5%
OHP Adults & Couples													\$5.33	\$6.11	-12.7%
Weighted Average ¹													\$19.72	\$22.40	-12.0%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 DCO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 5

ODS COMMUNITY HEALTH INC															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$24.18	\$29.72	-18.6%	\$24.18	\$29.72	-18.6%	\$24.18	\$29.72	-18.6%	\$24.18	\$29.72	-18.6%	\$29.23	\$32.24	-9.3%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$27.78	\$26.98	3.0%	\$27.78	\$26.98	3.0%	\$27.78	\$26.98	3.0%	\$27.78	\$26.98	3.0%	\$36.17	\$29.27	23.6%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$0.30	\$0.30	0.3%	\$0.30	\$0.30	0.3%	\$0.30	\$0.30	0.3%	\$0.30	\$0.30	0.3%	\$0.16	\$0.32	-50.7%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$16.69	\$19.14	-12.8%	\$16.69	\$19.14	-12.8%	\$16.69	\$19.14	-12.8%	\$16.69	\$19.14	-12.8%	\$17.01	\$20.76	-18.1%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$22.15	\$24.76	-10.6%	\$22.15	\$24.76	-10.6%	\$22.15	\$24.76	-10.6%	\$22.15	\$24.76	-10.6%	\$23.26	\$26.86	-13.4%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$19.43	\$24.11	-19.4%	\$19.43	\$24.11	-19.4%	\$19.43	\$24.11	-19.4%	\$19.43	\$24.11	-19.4%	\$26.30	\$26.16	0.5%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$18.77	\$20.49	-8.4%	\$18.77	\$20.49	-8.4%	\$18.77	\$20.49	-8.4%	\$18.77	\$20.49	-8.4%	\$22.32	\$22.22	0.4%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$8.69	\$15.27	-43.1%	\$8.69	\$15.27	-43.1%	\$8.69	\$15.27	-43.1%	\$8.69	\$15.27	-43.1%	\$12.77	\$16.57	-22.9%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$18.77	\$24.36	-23.0%	\$18.77	\$24.36	-23.0%	\$18.77	\$24.36	-23.0%	\$18.77	\$24.36	-23.0%	\$22.32	\$26.43	-15.5%
CAF Children	\$17.47	\$23.81	-26.6%	\$17.47	\$23.81	-26.6%	\$17.47	\$23.81	-26.6%	\$17.47	\$23.81	-26.6%	\$19.91	\$25.83	-22.9%
OHP Families	\$5.74	\$5.71	0.5%	\$5.74	\$5.71	0.5%	\$5.74	\$5.71	0.5%	\$5.74	\$5.71	0.5%	\$1.69	\$6.20	-72.7%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$6.07	\$5.63	7.8%	\$6.07	\$5.63	7.8%	\$6.07	\$5.63	7.8%	\$6.07	\$5.63	7.8%	\$2.76	\$6.11	-54.8%
Weighted Average ¹	\$17.18	\$20.58	-16.5%	\$17.35	\$21.19	-18.1%	\$17.05	\$20.28	-15.9%	\$17.36	\$20.15	-13.9%	\$19.09	\$21.93	-13.0%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 DCO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 5

WILLAMETTE DENTAL GROUP PC															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$27.80	\$29.72	-6.5%	\$27.80	\$29.72	-6.5%	\$27.80	\$29.72	-6.5%	\$27.80	\$29.72	-6.5%	\$27.80	\$32.24	-13.8%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$24.99	\$26.98	-7.4%	\$24.99	\$26.98	-7.4%	\$24.99	\$26.98	-7.4%	\$24.99	\$26.98	-7.4%	\$24.99	\$29.27	-14.6%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$0.29	\$0.30	-3.1%	\$0.29	\$0.30	-3.1%	\$0.29	\$0.30	-3.1%	\$0.29	\$0.30	-3.1%	\$0.29	\$0.32	-10.6%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$17.86	\$19.14	-6.7%	\$17.86	\$19.14	-6.7%	\$17.86	\$19.14	-6.7%	\$17.86	\$19.14	-6.7%	\$17.86	\$20.76	-14.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$23.06	\$24.76	-6.9%	\$23.06	\$24.76	-6.9%	\$23.06	\$24.76	-6.9%	\$23.06	\$24.76	-6.9%	\$23.06	\$26.86	-14.2%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$22.44	\$24.11	-6.9%	\$22.44	\$24.11	-6.9%	\$22.44	\$24.11	-6.9%	\$22.44	\$24.11	-6.9%	\$22.44	\$26.16	-14.2%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$19.05	\$20.49	-7.0%	\$19.05	\$20.49	-7.0%	\$19.05	\$20.49	-7.0%	\$19.05	\$20.49	-7.0%	\$19.05	\$22.22	-14.3%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$14.28	\$15.27	-6.5%	\$14.28	\$15.27	-6.5%	\$14.28	\$15.27	-6.5%	\$14.28	\$15.27	-6.5%	\$14.28	\$16.57	-13.8%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$22.94	\$24.36	-5.8%	\$22.94	\$24.36	-5.8%	\$22.94	\$24.36	-5.8%	\$22.94	\$24.36	-5.8%	\$22.94	\$26.43	-13.2%
CAF Children	\$22.22	\$23.81	-6.7%	\$22.22	\$23.81	-6.7%	\$22.22	\$23.81	-6.7%	\$22.22	\$23.81	-6.7%	\$22.22	\$25.83	-14.0%
OHP Families	\$5.31	\$5.71	-7.1%	\$5.31	\$5.71	-7.1%	\$5.31	\$5.71	-7.1%	\$5.31	\$5.71	-7.1%	\$5.31	\$6.20	-14.3%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$5.22	\$5.63	-7.3%	\$5.22	\$5.63	-7.3%	\$5.22	\$5.63	-7.3%	\$5.22	\$5.63	-7.3%	\$5.22	\$6.11	-14.5%
Weighted Average ¹	\$18.20	\$19.54	-6.8%	\$18.51	\$19.87	-6.8%	\$18.65	\$20.01	-6.8%	\$18.45	\$19.80	-6.8%	\$18.70	\$21.76	-14.1%

¹Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 6

Accountable Behavioral Health Alliance															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)							\$22.68	\$31.60	-28.2%	\$22.68	\$31.69	-28.4%			
Poverty Level Medical Adults							\$6.65	\$11.76	-43.4%	\$6.65	\$11.79	-43.6%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1							\$0.52	\$0.68	-24.1%	\$0.52	\$0.68	-24.1%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5							\$10.36	\$16.48	-37.1%	\$10.36	\$4.28	142.1%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18							\$33.53	\$37.98	-11.7%	\$33.53	\$32.72	2.5%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare							\$54.72	\$77.83	-29.7%	\$54.72	\$77.88	-29.7%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare							\$117.99	\$129.01	-8.5%	\$117.99	\$137.49	-14.2%			
Old Age Assistance with Medicare							\$6.68	\$9.53	-30.0%	\$6.68	\$9.54	-30.0%			
Old Age Assistance without Medicare							\$21.32	\$30.78	-30.7%	\$21.32	\$30.95	-31.1%			
CAF Children							\$293.27	\$252.00	16.4%	\$293.27	\$268.23	9.3%			
OHP Families							\$11.28	\$17.08	-34.0%	\$11.28	\$17.13	-34.1%			
OHP Adults & Couples							\$30.05	\$41.94	-28.4%	\$30.05	\$42.11	-28.6%			
Weighted Average ¹							\$40.19	\$46.52	-13.6%	\$41.57	\$45.59	-8.8%			

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 6

Pacific Source Community Solutions															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)										\$27.26	\$31.69	-14.0%			
Poverty Level Medical Adults										\$10.13	\$11.79	-14.0%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1										\$0.57	\$0.68	-16.7%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5										\$3.60	\$4.28	-15.9%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18										\$33.12	\$32.72	1.2%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare										\$65.02	\$77.88	-16.5%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare										\$112.93	\$137.49	-17.9%			
Old Age Assistance with Medicare										\$7.97	\$9.54	-16.5%			
Old Age Assistance without Medicare										\$25.41	\$30.95	-17.9%			
CAF Children										\$226.05	\$268.23	-15.7%			
OHP Families										\$14.74	\$17.13	-14.0%			
OHP Adults & Couples										\$36.23	\$42.11	-13.9%			
Weighted Average ¹										34.33	\$38.49	-10.8%			

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 6

Clackamas County															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)										\$24.85	\$31.10	-20.1%	\$24.85	\$31.26	-20.5%
Poverty Level Medical Adults										\$6.86	\$9.63	-28.7%	\$6.86	\$9.67	-29.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1										\$0.44	\$0.68	-34.7%	\$0.44	\$0.68	-34.8%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5										\$4.65	\$4.75	-2.1%	\$4.65	\$4.54	2.3%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18										\$34.29	\$35.88	-4.4%	\$34.29	\$24.73	38.7%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare										\$55.04	\$84.46	-34.8%	\$55.04	\$84.55	-34.9%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare										\$129.77	\$155.30	-16.4%	\$129.77	\$147.20	-11.8%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare										\$4.91	\$9.53	-48.4%	\$4.91	\$9.54	-48.5%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare										\$18.31	\$30.68	-40.3%	\$18.31	\$30.98	-40.9%
CAF Children										\$194.69	\$278.07	-30.0%	\$194.69	\$231.14	-15.8%
OHP Families										\$11.68	\$15.59	-25.1%	\$11.68	\$15.67	-25.5%
OHP Adults & Couples										\$34.96	\$46.43	-24.7%	\$34.96	\$46.76	-25.2%
Weighted Average ¹										\$36.18	\$44.16	-18.1%	\$39.83	\$43.83	-9.1%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 6

FamilyCare, Inc.															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)													\$20.56	\$27.39	-24.9%
Poverty Level Medical Adults													\$6.24	\$9.61	-35.1%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1													\$0.04	\$0.68	-93.5%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5													\$3.01	\$4.42	-31.9%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18													\$26.78	\$22.79	17.5%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare													\$45.64	\$85.56	-46.7%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare													\$117.41	\$136.77	-14.2%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare													\$2.49	\$9.54	-73.9%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare													\$6.72	\$30.98	-78.3%
CAF Children													\$173.23	\$198.10	-12.6%
OHP Families													\$19.58	\$14.77	32.6%
OHP Adults & Couples													\$30.27	\$44.73	-32.3%
Weighted Average ¹													\$26.87	\$30.16	-10.9%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 6

Greater Oregon Behavioral Health, Inc.															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$22.43	\$28.76	-22.0%							\$22.43	\$32.72	-31.5%			
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$8.04	\$9.38	-14.2%							\$8.04	\$10.31	-22.0%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$0.55	\$0.66	-16.6%							\$0.55	\$0.68	-19.2%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$4.92	\$4.42	11.4%							\$4.92	\$4.54	8.5%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$30.65	\$36.34	-15.6%							\$30.65	\$27.29	12.3%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$62.46	\$72.75	-14.1%							\$62.46	\$77.66	-19.6%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$108.08	\$131.92	-18.1%							\$108.08	\$132.73	-18.6%			
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$6.72	\$9.50	-29.2%							\$6.72	\$9.55	-29.6%			
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$21.36	\$30.22	-29.3%							\$21.36	\$31.13	-31.4%			
CAF Children	\$237.97	\$211.14	12.7%							\$237.97	\$232.76	2.2%			
OHP Families	\$9.70	\$14.41	-32.7%							\$9.70	\$16.88	-42.5%			
OHP Adults & Couples	\$27.27	\$39.72	-31.3%							\$27.27	\$43.90	-37.9%			
Weighted Average ¹	\$38.03	\$44.05	-13.7%							\$35.59	\$39.74	-10.4%			

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 6

Jefferson Behavioral Health															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$21.94	\$29.60	-25.9%							\$21.94	\$29.61	-25.9%			
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$8.53	\$10.17	-16.2%							\$8.53	\$10.28	-17.1%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$0.37	\$0.66	-44.3%							\$0.37	\$0.68	-46.0%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$5.52	\$6.04	-8.5%							\$5.52	\$6.06	-8.8%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$33.55	\$35.71	-6.1%							\$33.55	\$34.05	-1.5%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$75.54	\$72.71	3.9%							\$75.54	\$79.50	-5.0%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$107.71	\$128.00	-15.8%							\$107.71	\$135.34	-20.4%			
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$8.77	\$9.49	-7.6%							\$8.77	\$9.54	-8.1%			
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$19.64	\$30.07	-34.7%							\$19.64	\$31.00	-36.6%			
CAF Children	\$258.36	\$207.47	24.5%							\$258.36	\$245.08	5.4%			
OHP Families	\$15.39	\$16.34	-5.8%							\$15.39	\$15.99	-3.8%			
OHP Adults & Couples	\$27.86	\$39.58	-29.6%							\$27.86	\$40.77	-31.7%			
Weighted Average ¹	\$37.68	\$40.66	-7.3%							\$42.95	\$48.24	-11.0%			

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

** : CAF Children rate includes children's wrap-around service.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 6

Lane County acting by and through its Department of County Human Services															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)				\$39.39	\$34.37	14.6%									
Poverty Level Medical Adults				\$18.14	\$11.43	58.8%									
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1				\$0.10	\$0.68	-85.1%									
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5				\$5.80	\$6.23	-6.9%									
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18				\$33.76	\$37.74	-10.5%									
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare				\$94.65	\$93.25	1.5%									
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare				\$133.31	\$160.55	-17.0%									
Old Age Assistance with Medicare				\$8.63	\$9.54	-9.6%									
Old Age Assistance without Medicare				\$13.32	\$30.85	-56.8%									
CAF Children				\$217.95	\$294.30	-25.9%									
OHP Families				\$28.49	\$19.12	49.0%									
OHP Adults & Couples				\$46.76	\$43.96	6.4%									
Weighted Average ¹				\$50.20	\$56.24	-10.7%									

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 6

Mid-Valley Behavioral Care Network															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)							\$23.16	\$31.26	-25.9%	\$23.16	\$31.22	-25.8%			
Poverty Level Medical Adults							\$9.00	\$11.28	-20.2%	\$9.00	\$11.27	-20.2%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1							\$0.67	\$0.68	-1.8%	\$0.67	\$0.68	-1.7%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5							\$5.32	\$4.85	9.7%	\$5.32	\$4.66	14.3%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18							\$23.74	\$26.04	-8.8%	\$23.74	\$34.16	-30.5%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare							\$76.75	\$85.03	-9.7%	\$76.75	\$85.01	-9.7%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare							\$111.87	\$142.33	-21.4%	\$111.87	\$132.98	-15.9%			
Old Age Assistance with Medicare							\$8.04	\$9.54	-15.7%	\$8.04	\$9.54	-15.7%			
Old Age Assistance without Medicare							\$20.69	\$30.92	-33.1%	\$20.69	\$30.84	-32.9%			
CAF Children							\$267.35	\$245.36	9.0%	\$267.35	\$186.31	43.5%			
OHP Families							\$13.76	\$16.74	-17.8%	\$13.76	\$16.72	-17.7%			
OHP Adults & Couples							\$39.21	\$47.66	-17.7%	\$39.21	\$47.57	-17.6%			
Weighted Average ¹							\$34.73	\$38.95	-10.8%	\$37.34	\$41.72	-10.5%			

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

** : CAF Children rate includes children's wrap-around service.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 6

Multnomah County by and through its Department of County Human Services															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)													\$25.12	\$27.55	-8.8%
Poverty Level Medical Adults													\$18.90	\$10.57	78.8%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1													\$1.64	\$0.68	141.1%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5													\$3.32	\$4.89	-32.2%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18													\$19.83	\$22.74	-12.8%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare													\$75.61	\$95.34	-20.7%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare													\$132.65	\$157.58	-15.8%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare													\$10.33	\$9.54	8.3%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare													\$12.96	\$30.98	-58.1%
CAF Children													\$221.79	\$231.58	-4.2%
OHP Families													\$13.76	\$14.77	-6.8%
OHP Adults & Couples													\$56.36	\$49.72	13.4%
Weighted Average ¹													\$39.22	\$43.95	-10.8%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 MHO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 6

Washington County by and through its Department of Health and Human Services															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)													\$20.49	\$29.07	-29.5%
Poverty Level Medical Adults													\$7.73	\$10.01	-22.8%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1													\$0.07	\$0.68	-89.6%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5													\$2.49	\$4.36	-42.7%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18													\$19.12	\$24.78	-22.9%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare													\$67.19	\$89.65	-25.1%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare													\$129.91	\$159.64	-18.6%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare													\$8.47	\$9.54	-11.2%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare													\$6.76	\$30.98	-78.2%
CAF Children													\$372.68	\$277.36	34.4%
OHP Families													\$13.33	\$15.87	-16.0%
OHP Adults & Couples													\$36.18	\$44.58	-18.9%
Weighted Average ¹													\$33.82	\$37.86	-10.7%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

** : CAF Children rate includes children's wrap-around service.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

CareOregon, Inc.															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$368.12	\$407.27	-9.6%				\$327.35	\$417.80	-21.7%	\$397.79	\$419.01	-5.1%	\$340.10	\$413.26	-17.7%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$302.79	\$354.12	-14.5%				\$273.65	\$359.99	-24.0%	\$384.34	\$360.27	6.7%	\$300.84	\$357.49	-15.8%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$894.55	\$480.85	86.0%				\$860.08	\$492.48	74.6%	\$775.38	\$515.40	50.4%	\$710.89	\$485.64	46.4%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$100.80	\$91.79	9.8%				\$87.53	\$93.88	-6.8%	\$106.39	\$93.47	13.8%	\$93.32	\$93.03	0.3%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$85.62	\$79.05	8.3%				\$91.98	\$80.77	13.9%	\$102.15	\$80.80	26.4%	\$78.40	\$80.05	-2.1%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$126.14	\$173.47	-27.3%				\$124.81	\$177.22	-29.6%	\$125.29	\$173.52	-27.8%	\$138.77	\$175.95	-21.1%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$967.48	\$942.41	2.7%				\$1,176.62	\$964.39	22.0%	\$847.09	\$980.01	-13.6%	\$984.57	\$953.81	3.2%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$221.55	\$160.00	38.5%				\$195.19	\$162.89	19.8%	\$149.89	\$160.03	-6.3%	\$181.36	\$161.91	12.0%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$595.60	\$746.56	-20.2%				\$463.83	\$765.19	-39.4%	\$592.38	\$784.37	-24.5%	\$628.39	\$755.72	-16.8%
CAF Children	\$120.24	\$134.45	-10.6%				\$268.25	\$136.72	96.2%	\$129.21	\$136.49	-5.3%	\$176.11	\$135.78	29.7%
OHP Families	\$299.25	\$259.90	15.1%				\$224.84	\$265.93	-15.4%	\$356.25	\$264.81	34.5%	\$264.99	\$263.48	0.6%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$584.52	\$542.19	7.8%				\$421.42	\$553.13	-23.8%	\$527.49	\$555.19	-5.0%	\$469.59	\$548.34	-14.4%
Weighted Average ¹	\$274.03	\$248.10	10.5%				\$267.68	\$259.93	3.0%	\$301.50	\$295.53	2.0%	\$274.29	\$277.89	-1.3%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

Cascade Comprehensive Care, Inc.															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)										\$357.29	\$383.94	-6.9%			
Poverty Level Medical Adults										\$430.63	\$354.55	21.5%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1										\$755.66	\$578.36	30.7%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5										\$92.97	\$84.40	10.1%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18										\$91.88	\$94.30	-2.6%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare										\$73.80	\$156.04	-52.7%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare										\$834.72	\$839.42	-0.6%			
Old Age Assistance with Medicare										\$73.79	\$159.42	-53.7%			
Old Age Assistance without Medicare										\$835.36	\$732.89	14.0%			
CAF Children										\$246.46	\$133.84	84.1%			
OHP Families										\$245.85	\$301.75	-18.5%			
OHP Adults & Couples										\$482.44	\$494.80	-2.5%			
Weighted Average ¹										\$275.53	\$272.52	1.1%			

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

Pacific Source Community Solutions															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)										\$385.75	\$435.75	-11.5%			
Poverty Level Medical Adults										\$325.52	\$349.69	-6.9%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1										\$579.53	\$583.01	-0.6%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5										\$93.77	\$93.53	0.3%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18										\$97.72	\$89.32	9.4%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare										\$82.78	\$145.60	-43.1%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare										\$978.14	\$859.92	13.7%			
Old Age Assistance with Medicare										\$95.10	\$164.93	-42.3%			
Old Age Assistance without Medicare										\$934.57	\$798.19	17.1%			
CAF Children										\$152.38	\$139.34	9.4%			
OHP Families										\$362.33	\$315.84	14.7%			
OHP Adults & Couples										\$668.72	\$589.04	13.5%			
Weighted Average ¹										\$266.16	\$256.33	3.8%			

¹Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

DCIPA, LLC															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$456.47	\$447.40	2.0%												
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$383.08	\$353.79	8.3%												
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$858.69	\$615.14	39.6%												
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$101.88	\$95.09	7.1%												
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$118.52	\$89.67	32.2%												
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$123.75	\$183.45	-32.5%												
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$880.16	\$1,027.16	-14.3%												
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$143.74	\$161.18	-10.8%												
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$668.33	\$749.91	-10.9%												
CAF Children	\$142.79	\$135.51	5.4%												
OHP Families	\$326.81	\$283.74	15.2%												
OHP Adults & Couples	\$528.36	\$622.76	-15.2%												
Weighted Average ¹	\$318.44	\$322.98	-1.4%												

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

Southwest Oregon Individual Practice Association, Inc., abn Doctors of the Oregon Coast South															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)										\$401.85	\$394.98	1.7%			
Poverty Level Medical Adults										\$330.80	\$361.17	-8.4%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1										\$605.15	\$647.49	-6.5%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5										\$97.01	\$101.40	-4.3%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18										\$103.79	\$99.56	4.2%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare										\$158.08	\$149.16	6.0%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare										\$858.32	\$768.95	11.6%			
Old Age Assistance with Medicare										\$136.57	\$165.61	-17.5%			
Old Age Assistance without Medicare										\$817.02	\$786.45	3.9%			
CAF Children										\$149.68	\$139.39	7.4%			
OHP Families										\$272.70	\$277.05	-1.6%			
OHP Adults & Couples										\$563.87	\$516.33	9.2%			
Weighted Average ¹										\$321.83	\$306.53	5.0%			

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

FamilyCare, Inc.															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$243.12	\$350.11	-30.6%				\$300.21	\$376.22	-20.2%	\$300.21	\$373.93	-19.7%	\$300.21	\$358.34	-16.2%
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$154.43	\$329.18	-53.1%				\$189.61	\$345.54	-45.1%	\$189.61	\$343.91	-44.9%	\$189.61	\$334.37	-43.3%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$210.42	\$491.30	-57.2%				\$242.44	\$537.12	-54.9%	\$242.44	\$543.97	-55.4%	\$242.44	\$503.61	-51.9%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$150.42	\$82.96	81.3%				\$160.98	\$88.05	82.8%	\$160.98	\$87.33	84.3%	\$160.98	\$84.62	90.2%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$96.25	\$77.88	23.6%				\$88.95	\$82.64	7.6%	\$88.95	\$82.14	8.3%	\$88.95	\$79.39	12.0%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$181.46	\$135.02	34.4%				\$224.75	\$141.47	58.9%	\$224.75	\$139.43	61.2%	\$224.75	\$137.34	63.6%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$932.46	\$715.72	30.3%				\$888.56	\$768.62	15.6%	\$888.56	\$768.79	15.6%	\$888.56	\$731.44	21.5%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$134.16	\$159.54	-15.9%				\$180.21	\$166.18	8.4%	\$180.21	\$164.08	9.8%	\$180.21	\$161.93	11.3%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$932.30	\$726.11	28.4%				\$744.92	\$786.73	-5.3%	\$744.92	\$789.47	-5.6%	\$744.92	\$743.63	0.2%
CAF Children	\$93.06	\$133.11	-30.1%				\$372.70	\$139.25	167.6%	\$372.70	\$138.49	169.1%	\$372.70	\$135.09	175.9%
OHP Families	\$375.02	\$224.00	67.4%				\$356.73	\$238.06	49.8%	\$356.73	\$236.08	51.1%	\$356.73	\$228.58	56.1%
OHP Adults & Couples	\$364.16	\$438.91	-17.0%				\$466.79	\$464.51	0.5%	\$466.79	\$462.58	0.9%	\$466.79	\$446.92	4.4%
Weighted Average ¹	\$228.43	\$230.45	-0.9%				\$225.08	\$220.40	2.1%	\$227.18	\$216.60	4.9%	\$231.22	\$213.80	8.1%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

InterCommunity Health Plans, Inc. abn InterCommunity Health Network															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)							\$384.08	\$416.46	-7.8%	\$384.08	\$444.89	-13.7%			
Poverty Level Medical Adults							\$303.25	\$336.42	-9.9%	\$303.25	\$351.22	-13.7%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1							\$536.58	\$554.83	-3.3%	\$536.58	\$633.16	-15.3%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5							\$81.29	\$85.29	-4.7%	\$81.29	\$89.33	-9.0%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18							\$99.04	\$93.74	5.7%	\$99.04	\$98.76	0.3%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare							\$88.87	\$158.64	-44.0%	\$88.87	\$161.03	-44.8%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare							\$1,067.88	\$849.05	25.8%	\$1,067.88	\$921.47	15.9%			
Old Age Assistance with Medicare							\$112.43	\$159.74	-29.6%	\$112.43	\$161.78	-30.5%			
Old Age Assistance without Medicare							\$1,068.44	\$752.76	41.9%	\$1,068.44	\$831.79	28.5%			
CAF Children							\$133.25	\$134.64	-1.0%	\$133.25	\$139.76	-4.7%			
OHP Families							\$337.82	\$274.67	23.0%	\$337.82	\$288.09	17.3%			
OHP Adults & Couples							\$598.19	\$581.55	2.9%	\$598.19	\$613.92	-2.6%			
Weighted Average ¹							\$300.15	\$283.47	5.9%	\$330.16	\$330.51	-0.1%			

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

Lane Individual Practice Association, Inc.															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)				\$303.88	\$373.37	-18.6%									
Poverty Level Medical Adults				\$291.42	\$333.80	-12.7%									
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1				\$599.86	\$551.72	8.7%									
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5				\$111.64	\$83.92	33.0%									
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18				\$120.22	\$87.46	37.5%									
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare				\$162.53	\$137.30	18.4%									
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare				\$777.06	\$822.04	-5.5%									
Old Age Assistance with Medicare				\$206.78	\$161.18	28.3%									
Old Age Assistance without Medicare				\$777.26	\$739.73	5.1%									
CAF Children				\$184.29	\$134.56	37.0%									
OHP Families				\$263.25	\$274.64	-4.1%									
OHP Adults & Couples				\$416.89	\$462.76	-9.9%									
Weighted Average ¹				\$274.68	\$271.64	1.1%									

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
 Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

Marion/Polk Community Health Plan, LLC															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)							\$307.52	\$377.26	-18.5%						
Poverty Level Medical Adults							\$385.28	\$339.89	13.4%						
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1							\$532.79	\$500.76	6.4%						
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5							\$95.61	\$97.50	-1.9%						
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18							\$80.89	\$84.54	-4.3%						
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare							\$131.63	\$154.77	-15.0%						
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare							\$948.01	\$809.48	17.1%						
Old Age Assistance with Medicare							\$137.02	\$161.43	-15.1%						
Old Age Assistance without Medicare							\$718.28	\$747.65	-3.9%						
CAF Children							\$137.46	\$135.11	1.7%						
OHP Families							\$255.74	\$247.42	3.4%						
OHP Adults & Couples							\$491.57	\$487.19	0.9%						
Weighted Average ¹							\$228.31	\$225.70	1.2%						

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

Mid Rogue Independent Physician Association, Inc.															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$372.35	\$410.43	-9.3%							\$372.35	\$428.67	-13.1%			
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$330.69	\$344.21	-3.9%							\$330.69	\$353.92	-6.6%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$641.14	\$616.01	4.1%							\$641.14	\$659.90	-2.8%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$111.87	\$102.52	9.1%							\$111.87	\$106.05	5.5%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$101.43	\$85.82	18.2%							\$101.43	\$88.90	14.1%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$151.66	\$147.93	2.5%							\$151.66	\$151.10	0.4%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$946.25	\$902.80	4.8%							\$946.25	\$946.95	-0.1%			
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$160.43	\$159.73	0.4%							\$160.43	\$162.67	-1.4%			
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$783.94	\$729.24	7.5%							\$783.94	\$771.33	1.6%			
CAF Children	\$133.85	\$133.75	0.1%							\$133.85	\$137.29	-2.5%			
OHP Families	\$307.09	\$279.80	9.8%							\$307.09	\$289.68	6.0%			
OHP Adults & Couples	\$505.42	\$505.49	0.0%							\$505.42	\$523.44	-3.4%			
Weighted Average ¹	\$297.48	\$289.35	2.8%							\$317.25	\$320.61	-1.0%			

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

ODS Community Health, Inc.															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$384.56	\$361.54	6.4%				\$384.56	\$404.12	-4.8%	\$539.33	\$391.00	37.9%			
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$327.42	\$334.29	-2.1%				\$327.42	\$360.60	-9.2%	\$458.68	\$351.67	30.4%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$296.66	\$490.31	-39.5%				\$296.66	\$549.87	-46.0%	\$234.86	\$578.09	-59.4%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$95.04	\$90.66	4.8%				\$95.04	\$99.83	-4.8%	\$123.05	\$95.66	28.6%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$77.12	\$77.99	-1.1%				\$77.12	\$85.64	-9.9%	\$113.47	\$82.94	36.8%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$120.07	\$160.34	-25.1%				\$120.07	\$174.90	-31.4%	\$164.87	\$162.60	1.4%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$853.84	\$724.69	17.8%				\$853.84	\$803.73	6.2%	\$922.34	\$800.42	15.2%			
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$140.91	\$159.95	-11.9%				\$140.91	\$172.26	-18.2%	\$127.38	\$161.86	-21.3%			
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$853.63	\$735.77	16.0%				\$853.63	\$823.33	3.7%	\$226.48	\$831.57	-72.8%			
CAF Children	\$117.48	\$133.82	-12.2%				\$117.48	\$143.89	-18.4%	\$105.19	\$139.79	-24.8%			
OHP Families	\$298.22	\$239.23	24.7%				\$298.22	\$263.92	13.0%	\$391.94	\$252.85	55.0%			
OHP Adults & Couples	\$473.12	\$492.95	-4.0%				\$473.12	\$538.11	-12.1%	\$723.18	\$525.72	37.6%			
Weighted Average ¹	\$248.46	\$246.72	0.7%				\$220.58	\$256.06	-13.9%	\$277.53	\$239.64	15.8%			

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

Grants Pass Management Services, Inc., abn Oregon Health Management Services															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)	\$343.50	\$385.60	-10.9%												
Poverty Level Medical Adults	\$346.41	\$341.96	1.3%												
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1	\$503.34	\$569.39	-11.6%												
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5	\$136.91	\$87.62	56.3%												
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18	\$136.24	\$85.66	59.0%												
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare	\$154.43	\$158.26	-2.4%												
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare	\$880.78	\$852.10	3.4%												
Old Age Assistance with Medicare	\$141.70	\$159.47	-11.1%												
Old Age Assistance without Medicare	\$342.65	\$723.68	-52.7%												
CAF Children	\$177.57	\$133.34	33.2%												
OHP Families	\$236.71	\$264.36	-10.5%												
OHP Adults & Couples	\$327.69	\$485.90	-32.6%												
Weighted Average ¹	\$285.51	\$287.46	-0.7%												

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

Providence Health Assurance															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)							\$318.15	\$394.34	-19.3%				\$318.15	\$358.53	-11.3%
Poverty Level Medical Adults							\$279.65	\$364.38	-23.3%				\$279.65	\$341.69	-18.2%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1							\$388.83	\$613.16	-36.6%				\$388.83	\$553.26	-29.7%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5							\$111.75	\$96.47	15.8%				\$111.75	\$88.88	25.7%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18							\$85.03	\$84.07	1.1%				\$85.03	\$77.61	9.6%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare							\$128.08	\$146.95	-12.8%				\$128.08	\$136.98	-6.5%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare							\$951.15	\$850.71	11.8%				\$951.15	\$777.44	22.3%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare							\$103.43	\$172.26	-40.0%				\$103.43	\$161.97	-36.1%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare							\$951.22	\$825.31	15.3%				\$951.22	\$747.86	27.2%
CAF Children							\$141.13	\$144.00	-2.0%				\$141.13	\$135.35	4.3%
OHP Families							\$227.50	\$244.05	-6.8%				\$227.50	\$224.51	1.3%
OHP Adults & Couples							\$417.45	\$485.98	-14.1%				\$417.45	\$450.74	-7.4%
Weighted Average ¹							\$205.86	\$222.04	-7.3%				\$249.63	\$244.17	2.2%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 FCHP Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

Tuality Health Alliance															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)													\$445.64	\$405.57	9.9%
Poverty Level Medical Adults													\$380.05	\$350.17	8.5%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1													\$561.28	\$509.93	10.1%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5													\$76.31	\$87.84	-13.1%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18													\$69.84	\$80.36	-13.1%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare													\$123.04	\$137.23	-10.3%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare													\$882.74	\$793.57	11.2%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare													\$154.88	\$162.22	-4.5%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare													\$883.03	\$754.09	17.1%
CAF Children													\$130.49	\$135.81	-3.9%
OHP Families													\$304.04	\$281.04	8.2%
OHP Adults & Couples													\$544.00	\$504.72	7.8%
Weighted Average ¹													\$227.81	\$219.93	3.6%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 PCO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

Kaiser Permanente Oregon Plus, LLC															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)							\$242.22	\$250.55	-3.3%				\$242.22	\$252.61	-4.1%
Poverty Level Medical Adults							\$266.73	\$272.63	-2.2%				\$266.73	\$274.04	-2.7%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1							\$188.58	\$195.79	-3.7%				\$188.58	\$196.49	-4.0%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5							\$70.38	\$72.16	-2.5%				\$70.38	\$72.68	-3.2%
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18							\$60.00	\$62.04	-3.3%				\$60.00	\$62.45	-3.9%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare							\$114.15	\$117.71	-3.0%				\$114.15	\$118.66	-3.8%
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare							\$509.49	\$533.15	-4.4%				\$509.49	\$536.52	-5.0%
Old Age Assistance with Medicare							\$123.43	\$129.79	-4.9%				\$123.43	\$130.77	-5.6%
Old Age Assistance without Medicare							\$509.50	\$415.82	22.5%				\$509.50	\$418.53	21.7%
CAF Children							\$112.07	\$116.26	-3.6%				\$112.07	\$116.83	-4.1%
OHP Families							\$0.00	\$0.00	N/A				\$0.00	\$0.00	N/A
OHP Adults & Couples							\$0.00	\$0.00	N/A				\$0.00	\$0.00	N/A
Weighted Average ¹							\$145.11	\$150.46	-3.6%				\$141.63	\$147.58	-4.0%

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Comparison of October 2011 and January 2011 PCO Capitation Rates
Includes Adjustment for Administrative Allowance

Exhibit 7

FamilyCare, Inc. PCO															
Eligibility Category	JJD			Lane			LBMPY			Other			Tri-County		
	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change	Oct 2011	Jan 2011	% Change
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (Adults Only)										\$302.63	\$ 270.47	11.9%			
Poverty Level Medical Adults										\$182.94	\$ 277.14	-34.0%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children < 1										\$31.08	\$ 192.54	-83.9%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 1 - 5										\$78.56	\$ 71.81	9.4%			
PLM, TANF, and CHIP Children 6 - 18										\$75.03	\$ 64.69	16.0%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled with Medicare										\$156.62	\$ 121.08	29.3%			
Aid to the Blind/Aid to the Disabled without Medicare										\$602.35	\$ 484.58	24.3%			
Old Age Assistance with Medicare										\$167.04	\$ 132.86	25.7%			
Old Age Assistance without Medicare										\$687.00	\$ 424.56	61.8%			
CAF Children										\$87.74	\$ 118.09	-25.7%			
OHP Families										\$341.29	\$ 192.56	77.2%			
OHP Adults & Couples										\$530.43	\$ 356.01	49.0%			
Weighted Average ¹										\$164.12	\$147.02	11.6%			

¹ Weighted average capitation rates are based on January 2011 enrollment distributions.

Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
Capitation Rate Development for October 2011 through September 2012
Maternity Case Rates for FCHPs and PCOs

Exhibit 8

	Base Case Rate			Base HRA	Admin	Hospital Admin Allowance (HRA New)	Case Rate
	IP Maternity	OP Maternity	Phys Maternity				
Oct 2011	\$4,365.65	\$874.39	\$3,610.21	\$1,739.23	\$946.10	\$736.31	\$12,271.90
Jan 2011	\$4,796.62	\$958.54	\$3,610.21	\$1,146.61	\$965.23	n/a	\$11,477.22
	-9.0%	-8.8%	0%	51.7%	-2.0%		6.9%

Plan	Region	Maternity Geographic Factors		Adjusted Case Rate			Base HRA	Admin	Hospital Admin Allowance (HRA New)	Case Rate
		IP Geo	OP Geo	IP Maternity	OP Maternity	Phys Maternity				
CareOregon	JJD	0.952	0.949	\$4,154.20	\$830.04	\$3,610.21	\$1,654.34	\$917.30	\$712.73	\$11,878.82
CareOregon	LBMPY	1.051	1.046	\$4,587.52	\$914.63	\$3,610.21	\$1,826.26	\$975.61	\$760.48	\$12,674.71
CareOregon	Tri-County	0.945	0.979	\$4,127.20	\$855.79	\$3,610.21	\$1,653.73	\$917.15	\$712.60	\$11,876.67
CareOregon	OTHER	1.235	1.018	\$5,390.68	\$890.52	\$3,610.21	\$2,086.00	\$1,063.37	\$832.39	\$13,873.16
Cascade	OTHER	0.886	0.925	\$3,868.37	\$808.89	\$3,610.21	\$1,552.23	\$882.72	\$684.41	\$11,406.83
PacificSource	OTHER	1.194	1.106	\$5,212.37	\$967.21	\$3,610.21	\$2,051.56	\$1,051.90	\$822.97	\$13,716.23
DCIPA	JJD	0.929	0.968	\$4,054.41	\$846.33	\$3,610.21	\$1,626.40	\$907.89	\$705.02	\$11,750.26
DOCS	OTHER	1.010	1.083	\$4,408.71	\$946.74	\$3,610.21	\$1,777.14	\$959.07	\$746.93	\$12,448.81
FamilyCare	JJD	0.892	0.930	\$3,895.04	\$813.12	\$3,610.21	\$1,562.49	\$886.20	\$687.26	\$11,454.32
FamilyCare	Tri-County	0.945	0.979	\$4,127.18	\$856.31	\$3,610.21	\$1,653.90	\$917.20	\$712.65	\$11,877.45
FamilyCare	LBMPY	1.180	1.096	\$5,150.15	\$958.25	\$3,610.21	\$2,027.92	\$1,043.88	\$816.41	\$13,606.82
FamilyCare	OTHER	1.243	1.160	\$5,425.31	\$1,014.39	\$3,610.21	\$2,137.87	\$1,081.18	\$846.96	\$14,115.92
OHMS	JJD	0.883	0.927	\$3,854.15	\$810.50	\$3,610.21	\$1,548.01	\$881.30	\$683.24	\$11,387.41
Intercommunity	LBMPY	1.019	0.971	\$4,449.30	\$848.75	\$3,610.21	\$1,758.76	\$952.64	\$741.68	\$12,361.34
Intercommunity	OTHER	1.339	1.090	\$5,843.99	\$952.84	\$3,610.21	\$2,257.32	\$1,121.43	\$879.94	\$14,665.73
LIPA	LANE	0.924	0.965	\$4,032.60	\$843.65	\$3,610.21	\$1,618.26	\$905.13	\$702.76	\$11,712.61
MPCHP	LBMPY	1.002	1.006	\$4,376.56	\$879.59	\$3,610.21	\$1,744.56	\$947.91	\$737.80	\$12,296.64
MRIPA	JJD	0.921	0.938	\$4,021.38	\$820.62	\$3,610.21	\$1,607.02	\$901.28	\$699.61	\$11,660.11
MRIPA	OTHER	1.053	1.033	\$4,595.09	\$903.15	\$3,610.21	\$1,825.04	\$975.17	\$760.13	\$12,668.80
ODS Community Health	JJD	0.952	0.949	\$4,157.66	\$829.43	\$3,610.21	\$1,655.30	\$917.62	\$712.99	\$11,883.20
ODS Community Health	LBMPY	1.366	1.302	\$5,962.51	\$1,138.66	\$3,610.21	\$2,357.31	\$1,155.65	\$907.94	\$15,132.28
ODS Community Health	Other	1.271	1.091	\$5,548.10	\$953.80	\$3,610.21	\$2,159.05	\$1,088.21	\$852.73	\$14,212.10
Providence	LBMPY	1.366	1.302	\$5,962.51	\$1,138.66	\$3,610.21	\$2,357.31	\$1,155.65	\$907.94	\$15,132.28
Providence	Tri-County	0.948	0.980	\$4,137.62	\$857.02	\$3,610.21	\$1,657.60	\$918.46	\$713.67	\$11,894.58
Tuality	Tri-County	0.958	0.987	\$4,183.71	\$863.08	\$3,610.21	\$1,674.93	\$924.33	\$718.48	\$11,974.75
Kaiser	LBMPY	1.000	0.989	\$0.00	\$821.13	\$3,610.21	\$267.38	\$448.36	\$328.54	\$5,475.62
Kaiser	Tri-County	1.000	0.976	\$0.00	\$810.68	\$3,610.21	\$263.98	\$447.19	\$327.58	\$5,459.63
FamilyCare (PCO)	OTHER	1.243	1.160	\$0.00	\$963.67	\$3,610.21	\$313.79	\$464.37	\$341.62	\$5,693.67

**Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
 Capitation Rate Development for October 2011 through December 2012
 Summary of Trend Factors in Low Cost Estimates (LCE) by Plans**

Exhibit 9

TANF RELATED ADULTS ¹

	Annualized Cost Trend Rates	Annualized Utilization Trend Rates	Overall Annualized Trend Rates
Inpatient Hospital	-0.4%	-0.4%	-0.9%
Outpatient Hospital	1.8%	0.5%	2.4%
Physician & Other	0.7%	1.2%	2.0%
Prescription Drug	0.8%	0.8%	1.6%
Dental	2.6%	-2.2%	0.3%
Mental Health	1.6%	0.8%	2.4%
Chemical Dependency	2.1%	1.9%	4.0%

CHILDREN

	Annualized Cost Trend Rates	Annualized Utilization Trend Rates	Combined Annualized Trend Rates
Inpatient Hospital	-0.9%	-0.1%	-1.1%
Outpatient Hospital	1.0%	0.3%	1.3%
Physician & Other	0.4%	1.2%	1.6%
Prescription Drug	0.6%	1.1%	1.7%
Dental	2.6%	-1.3%	1.3%
Mental Health	0.7%	0.6%	1.2%
Chemical Dependency	0.8%	0.9%	1.7%

DISABLED-RELATED ¹

	Annualized Cost Trend Rates	Annualized Utilization Trend Rates	Combined Annualized Trend Rates
Inpatient Hospital	-0.9%	0.2%	-0.7%
Outpatient Hospital	0.7%	0.5%	1.2%
Physician & Other	0.3%	0.8%	1.1%
Prescription Drug	0.7%	1.8%	2.6%
Dental	2.9%	-2.1%	0.8%
Mental Health	1.7%	1.1%	2.9%
Chemical Dependency	1.5%	1.2%	2.7%

¹ TANF-Related Adult factors apply to the TANF, and PLMA eligibility categories.
 Disabled-Related factors apply to the AB/AD without Medicare, OAA without Medicare, and OHPAC eligibility categories.
 Dual-Medicaid/Medicare factors apply to the AB/AD

² Base data periods vary from plan to plan but the midpoint of contract period is the same (5/1/2012).

**Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
 Capitation Rate Development for October 2011 through December 2012
 Summary of Trend Factors in Low Cost Estimates (LCE) by Plans**

Exhibit 9

DUAL MEDICAID/MEDICARE ELIGIBILITY CATEGORIES¹

	Annualized Cost Trend Rates	Annualized Utilization Trend Rates	Combined Annualized Trend Rates
Inpatient Hospital	-1.1%	-0.1%	-1.2%
Outpatient Hospital	1.3%	0.5%	1.8%
Physician & Other	0.9%	0.7%	1.6%
Prescription Drug	1.5%	0.2%	1.7%
Dental	2.8%	-2.0%	0.8%
Mental Health	1.7%	0.4%	2.1%
Chemical Dependency	1.3%	0.9%	2.2%

STANDARD ELIGIBILITY CATEGORIES¹

	Annualized Cost Trend Rates	Annualized Utilization Trend Rates	Combined Annualized Trend Rates
Inpatient Hospital	-0.3%	-0.5%	-0.8%
Outpatient Hospital	1.6%	0.6%	2.2%
Physician & Other	1.1%	1.4%	2.5%
Prescription Drug	1.0%	1.0%	2.0%
Dental	2.6%	-1.5%	1.1%
Mental Health	2.2%	1.2%	3.5%
Chemical Dependency	2.1%	1.9%	4.0%

¹ TANF-Related Adult factors apply to the TANF, and PLMA eligibility categories.
 Disabled-Related factors apply to the AB/AD without Medicare, OAA without Medicare, and OHPAC eligibility categories.
 Dual-Medicaid/Medicare factors apply to the AB/AD

² Base data periods vary from plan to plan but the midpoint of contract period is the same (5/1/2012).

APPENDICES

**Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
October 2011 Capitation Rate Development
CMS Medicaid Managed Care Rate Setting Requirements Not
Addressed Elsewhere**

- **AA.1.2 – Projection of Expenditures** – Per capita expenditures are calculated and compared in Exhibits 2-A, 2-B, 3-A, 3-B, 4-A, 4-B, 4-C, 4-D, 4-E, and 4-F the capitation rate report. The weighted average rate of change calculation uses recent population distribution information available at the time the calculation was made.

- **AA.1.8 – Limit on payment to other providers** – Payments to providers for services related to managed care contracted services are limited to the amounts paid by managed care plans, with one exception: cost settlements to Federally Qualified Health Centers and Rural Health Centers are made by DMAP. Managed care plan capitation rates are developed to allow for average payments to these providers consistent with the community average payment rate for similar services provided by a comparable provider. For these services, managed care plans are provided sufficient capitation revenue to cover the interim payments that are required by law or regulation, and DMAP takes all responsibility for the final cost settlement.

Other direct payments to providers are made only for the portion of the population that is covered on a Fee-for-Service basis. Graduate Medical Education was removed from the per capita costs, but it has been reinstated in capitation rates since July 1, 2008. Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) payments are based on the provision of services to individuals who are uninsured. Health plan utilization of hospitals does not affect the calculation of DSH payment amounts.

- **AA.2.0 – Methods used to exclude invalid data** – Low Cost Estimates (LCEs) are prepared and submitted by managed care plans based on actual encounter, and actual payments. Managed care plans have excluded invalid encounter and payment data per OHA instructions. Capitation rates submitted in LCEs are then compared to January 2011 capitation rates for reasonableness checks.

- AA.2.3 – **Spenddown** – Since OHP beneficiaries do not gain Medicaid eligibility after spend-down, there are no costs associated with spend-down amounts that need to be excluded from the capitation rate development.
- AA.2.5 – **Services Covered Out of Capitated Savings** – Plans attest that their encounter data includes only services provided for under the State Plan. No additional services covered from contact savings are anticipated.
- AA.3.8 – **Graduate Medical Education** – GME payments are made in two forms. For services covered on a fee-for-services basis, additional payments are made per discharge to teaching hospitals to cover medical education costs. For services covered through managed care plans, the health plans are paid a capitation rate that is calculated to cover average hospital costs, including education expense. Managed care plans then pay teach teaching hospitals for education expenses based on a set of percentages calculated by the state.
- AA.3.10 – **Cost trending/inflation** – Trend rates were estimated by managed care plans in their Low Cost Estimates based on plan specific analysis that are appropriate for representing expected changes in health care costs with appropriate considerations of Fee-for-Service unit cost changes. ASU developed actuarially sound rate ranges for the contract period. In that development, separate trend factors were developed by outside consulting firm Optumas and ASU applied those trends to the base period rate ranges.
- AA.3.14 – **Financial Experience Adjustment** – No adjustment is made for the financial experience of managed care plans. However, average managed care plan loss ratios are considered in evaluating capitation rates submitted by plans.
- AA.5.0 – AA.5.2- **Data Smoothing** – Data smoothing issues are largely addressed by ensuring the rate cells used to develop the per capita costs have sufficient population size. Guidelines were published to managed care plans on what are considered appropriate data smoothing. Some plans choose to combine ABAD and OAA with

Medicare together. Some choose to combine ABAD and OAA without Medicare together.

Regional risk adjustment factors based on March 2009 to December 2009 encounter data are statewide base data period rate ranges for purpose of developing actuarial sound rate ranges by regions.

- **AA.6.0 – AA.6.3 – Stop Loss, Reinsurance, or risk-sharing Arrangements** – OHP does not incorporate stop loss, reinsurance or risk sharing arrangements into its contracts with the managed care plans.

**Oregon Health Plan Medicaid Demonstration
 Capitation Rate Development for October 2011 through September 2012
 CMS Medicaid Managed Care Rate Setting Checklist**

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
AA.1.0	42 CFR 438. 6(c)(2)(i) and (ii) 42 CFR 438.806 SMM 2089.2, SMM 2092.8 SMM 2089.1	<p>Overview of ratesetting methodology - The Contract must specify the payment rates and any risk-sharing mechanisms and the actuarial basis for computation of those rates and mechanisms: Specifically, the contract includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — The rates and the time period for the rates — The risk-sharing mechanisms, — The actuarial basis for the computation of those rates and risk-sharing mechanisms <i>(a lay person’s description of the general steps the State followed to set rates is sufficient).</i> <p><i>Rate Development or Update</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — <i>The State is developing a new rate (RO completes steps AA. 1 - AA. 7).</i> — <i>The State is adjusting rates approved under 42 CFR 438. 6(c)-(RO completes all of step AA. 1)</i> 	<p>Managed plans submit Low Cost Estimates (LCE) and capitation rates based on their actual claims cost, IBNR, trend assumptions, administrative costs, and other adjustments reflecting benefit changes, levels of reimbursement, and expected levels of profit and loss. ASU develops actuarially sound ranges using base data period rate summary, and trend factors developed by consulting firm Optumas, with appropriate adjustments for regional risks, benefit changes, and other adjustments such as GME, tier 1 and 2 HRA, and administrative allowances. Capitation rates submitted by plans are also adjusted to include GME, tier 1 and 2 HRA and administrative allowances. The adjusted capitation rates are compared to the actuarial sound rate ranges. With regards to composite rate across all eligibility categories, adjusted capitation rates can not exceed the upper bounds of actuarial sound ranges.</p>

Appendix A-2

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
AA.1.1	42 CFR 438.6(c)(1)(i)(A) and (C) 42 CFR 438.6(2)(i) and (ii) 42 CFR 438.6(c)(3) 42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(i) SMM 2089.2	<p><u>Actuarial certification</u> -The State must provide the actuarial certification of the capitation rates and payments under the contract. All payments under risk contracts and all risk-sharing mechanisms in contracts must be actuarially sound. Actuarially sound capitation rates means capitation rates that have been developed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices, are appropriate for the populations to be covered, and the services to be furnished under the contract; and the Actuary must submit a certification, as meeting the requirements of the regulation, by an actuary who meets the qualification standards established by the American Academy of Actuaries and follows the practice standards established by the Actuarial Standards Board. <i>Note: An Actuary who is a member of the American Academy of Actuaries will sign his name followed by the designation M.A.A.A., meaning a Member of the American Academy of Actuaries. For further information see www.actuary.org/faqs.htm</i></p> <p><i>Note: Actuaries can create either rates or rate ranges so long as the methodology (including all assumptions) to get to the actual rates in the contract are specified and meet CMS requirements. If there are instances where actuaries believe that information their State is required to submit would represent trade secrets or proprietary information, as described in the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S. C. 552(a)), the information should be identified as such and may be withheld from public disclosure under the provisions of the FOIA.</i></p>	<p>An actuarial certification accompanies the October 2011 to September 2012 Capitation Rate report.</p>
AA. 1.2	42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(iii)	<p><u>Projection of expenditures</u> -The State must provide a projection of expenditures under its previous year's contract (or under its FFS program if it did not have a contract in the previous year) compared to those projected under the proposed contract.</p>	<p>A comparison of current and proposed capitation rates is shown in exhibits 2-A, 2-B, 3-A, 3-B, 4-A, 4-B, 4-C, 4-D, 4-E, 4-F, 5, 6, and 7 of the October 2011 to September 2012 Capitation Rate report.</p>
AA. 1.3	45 CFR 74.43 and Appendix A 42 CFR 43 8.6(a) 42 CFR 438.806(a) and (b)	<p><u>Procurement, Prior Approval and Ratesetting</u> - All contracts must meet the procurement requirements in 45 CFR Part 74. Regardless of the procurement method, the final rates must be in the contract and include documentation and a description of how the resulting contract rates are determined in sufficient detail to address this set of regulatory criteria for each contract. In general, there are two options:</p> <p>___ Option 1: State set rates -- The rates are developed using a set of assumptions meeting federal regulations that results in a set of rates. Open cooperative contracting occurs when the State signs a contract with any entity meeting the technical programmatic requirements of the State and willing to be reimbursed the actuarially-sound, State-determined rate. Sole source contracting occurs where the state contracts with a single entity to provide a set of services must be documented as meeting the requirements of 42 CFR 438.6(c) under this option.</p> <p>___ Option 2: Competitive Procurement -- The rates are developed using a set of assumptions meeting federal regulations that results in a range of acceptable bids to determine a bid range for rates. Competitive procurement occurs when entities submit bids and the State negotiates rates within the range of acceptable bids. <i>A State could also disclose a maximum or minimum acceptable payment and encourage bids below or above that amount.</i></p>	<p>Oregon uses Option 1. Contracting arrangements are described on pages 3-4 of the October 2011 to September 2012 Capitation Rate report.</p>
AA. 1.5	42 CFR 447.15 42 CFR 438.2 42 CFR 438.8 12(a)	<p><u>Risk contracts</u> – The entity assumes risk for the cost of services covered under the contract and incurs loss if the cost of furnishing the services exceed the payments under the contract. The entity must accept as payment in full, the amount paid by the State plus any cost sharing from the members. Payments for</p>	<p>All contracts with managed care plans are on a fully at-risk basis.</p>

Appendix A-2

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
AA.1.6	42 CFR 438.60	<p>carrying out contract provisions including incentive payments are medical assistance costs.</p> <p><u>Limit on payment to other providers - The State agency must ensure that no payment is made to a provider other than the entity for services available under the contract between the State and the entity, except when these payments are provided for in title XIX of the Act, in 42 CFR, or when the State agency has adjusted the capitation rates paid under the contract to make payments for graduate medical education. <i>Note: see Step AA .3.8 for GME adjustments.</i></u></p>	Addressed in the Capitation Rate report, Exhibit A- 1. This is the "Rate Setting Requirements not addressed elsewhere" text section.
AA. 1.7	<p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(i) and (ii)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(2)(i) and (ii)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(1)(i)(A) and (C)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(ii)(A)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(1)(B)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(ii) and (iv)</p> <p>SMM 2089.5</p>	<p><u>Rate Modifications - This section is for use if the State updates or amends rates set under the new regulation at 42 CFR 438.6(c).</u> The State has made program and rate changes that have affected the cost and utilization under the contract. The value and effect of these programmatic service changes on the rates should be documented. Adjustments for changes in the program structure or to reflect Medical trend inflation are made. Documentation meeting the requirements in step AA.3.0 – AA.3.24 is submitted to the RO for new adjustments. The adjustments include but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medical cost and utilization trend inflation factors are based on historical medical State-specific costs or a national/regional medical market basket applicable to the state and population. Justification for the predictability of the inflation rates is given regardless of the source. Differentiation of trend rates is documented (i.e., differences in the trend by service categories, eligibility category, etc). All trend factors and assumptions are explained and documented. See Step AA.3.9. • Programmatic changes include additions and deletions to the contractor's benefit package, changes in the eligible population, or other programmatic changes in the managed care program (or FFS program that affected the managed care program) made after the last set of rates were set and outlined in the regulation. The State may adjust for those changes if the adjustment is made only once (e.g., if the State projected the effect of a change in the last rate setting, then they must back out that projection before applying an adjustment for the actual policy effect) <p>CMS allows rate changes (regardless of whether they are reductions or augmentations) and provides FFP in such changes as long as the changes are implemented through either a formal contract amendment or a multi-period contract and continue to meet all applicable statute provisions and regulations. If rate changes are implemented through a contract amendment, the amendment must receive approval by the RO before FFP in any higher payment amounts may be awarded. If the rate change is an anticipated development in a multi-year process, it must also be reviewed by the RO, consistent with guidelines for multi-year contracts. <i>If the amended rates use new actuarial techniques or different utilization data bases than was used and approved previously, the regional office should complete the entire checklist. Rates</i></p>	See comments for steps AA.3.0-AA.3.24
AA.2.0	<p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(i) and (iv)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(1)(i)(B)</p>	<p><u>Base Year Utilization and Cost Data - The State must provide documentation and an assurance that all payment rates are:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • based only upon services covered under the State Plan (or costs directly related to providing these services, for example, MCO, PIHP, or PAHP administration) • Provided under the contract to Medicaid -eligible individuals. 	Data used in capitation rate development are from managed care plans actual claims cost data and IBNR estimates

Appendix A-2

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
		<p><i>*In setting actuarially sound capitation rates, the State must apply the following element or explain why it is not applicable: Base utilization and cost data that are derived from the Medicaid population or if not, are adjusted to make them comparable to the Medicaid population. The base data used were recent and are free from material omission.</i></p> <p><i>Base data for both utilization and cost are defined and relevant to the Medicaid population (i.e., the database is appropriate for setting rates for the given Medicaid population). States without recent FFS history and no validated encounter data will need to develop other data sources for this purpose. States and their actuaries will have to decide which source of data to use for this purpose, based on which source is determined to have the highest degree of reliability, subject to RO approval.</i></p> <p><i>Examples of acceptable databases on which to base utilization assumptions are: Medicaid FFS databases, Medicaid managed care encounter data, State employees health insurance databases, and low-income health insurance program databases. Note: Some states have implemented financial reporting requirements of the health plans which can be used as a data source in conjunction with encounter data and would improve on some of the shortcomings of these other specific databases used for utilization purposes. For example, some states now require the submission of financial reports to supplement encounter data by providing cost data. It would also be permissible for the State to supplement the encounter data by using FFS cost data. The State could use the cost and utilization data from a Medicaid FFS database and would not need to supplement the data with plan financial information.</i></p> <p><i>Note: The CMS RO may approve other sources not listed here based upon the reasonableness of the given data source. The overall intent of these reporting requirements is to collect the same information that is available in the encounter data, but in a more complete and accurate reflection of the true cost of services. Utilization data is appropriate to the Medicaid population and the base data was reviewed by the State for similarity with the covered Medicaid population. That is, if the utilization assumptions are not derived from recent Medicaid experience, the State should explain and document the source of assumptions and why the assumptions are appropriate to the Medicaid population covered by these proposed rates.</i></p> <p><i>Service cost assumptions are appropriate for a Medicaid program and the base data was reviewed by the State for similarity with the Medicaid program’s current costs. Note: except in the case of payments to FQHCs that subcontract with entities, which are governed by section 1903 (m) (2) (A) (ix), CMS does not regulate the payment rates between entities and subcontracting providers. Payment rates are adequate to the extent that the capitated entity has documented the adequacy of its network.</i></p> <p><i>The term “appropriate” means specific to the population for which the payment rate is intended. This requirement applies to individuals who have health care costs that are much higher than the average. Appropriate for the populations covered means that the rates are based upon specific populations, by eligibility category, age, gender, locality, and other distinctions decided by the State. Appropriate to the services to be covered means that the rates must be based upon the State plan services to be provided under the contract. There is no stated or implied requirement that entities be reimbursed the full cost of care at billed charges.</i></p>	<p>Managed care plans attest the claims cost data are for the services furnished and covered under the State Plan and that they do not include any portion costs that would be considered administrative expense.</p>
AA.2. 1	42 CFR 438.6(c)(1)(i)(B)	<p>Medicaid Eligibles under the Contract – All payments under risk contracts and all risk-sharing in contracts must be actuarially sound. Actuarially sound capitation rates means capitation rates are appropriate for the populations to be covered and provided under the contract to Medicaid -eligible</p>	<p>Capitation rates are based on cost data by</p>

Appendix A-2

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
	42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(ii)(B)	<p>individuals. <i>The State may either include only data for eligible individuals and exclude data for individuals in the base period who would not be eligible for managed care contract services or apply an appropriate adjustment factor to the data to remove ineligible if sufficient documentation exists. The explanation and documentation should list the eligibility categories specifically included and excluded from</i></p> <p><i>Note: for example, if mentally retarded individuals are not in the managed care program, utilization, eligibility and cost data for mentally retarded eligibles should all be excluded from the rates.</i></p> <p><i>Note: all references in this checklist to Medicaid eligibles include 1115 expansion populations approved under 1115 demonstration projects.</i></p>	<p>twelve eligibility categories and reflect only those eligibles enrolled in plans.</p>
AA.2.2	1905(p) (1-3) SMM 3490 (ff) SMD letter 9/30/00	<p><u>Dual Eligibles (DE)</u>—Some States include capitation payments for DE. Because the statute and CMS policy specifies that the State may only pay for Medicaid-eligible individuals, those Medicaid payment limits must be observed if the program includes DE. See the Attachment to Appendix A for additional information on Dual Eligibles.</p> <p>Only the following groups of DE are entitled to Medicaid Services. If they are included in a capitated managed care contract, they should have a Medicaid rate calculated separately from other DE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • QMB Plus • Medicaid (Non QMB and Non SLMB) • SLMB Plus <p>Eligibles and services for beneficiaries in the four non-Medicaid DE categories</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • QMB-only • QDWI • SLMB-only • QI-1 <p>should be specifically excluded from the capitated rates calculated for the 3 DE categories above (QMB Plus, Medicaid (Non QMB and Non-SLMB), and SLMB Plus). If DE beneficiaries in the non-Medicaid four categories are allowed to choose to enroll in capitated managed care, the Medicaid State Agency would continue to be liable for the same Medicare payments (e.g., Medicare fee-for-service premiums) as under FFS. The beneficiary would be liable for any Medicaid services payment because they are not eligible for Medicaid services:</p> <p>For QMB-only and QMB-Plus, the State may also need to calculate a separate payment to the capitated organization for Medicare cost-sharing or premium amounts. If the M+C organization charges monthly premiums, Medicaid is liable for payment of monthly M+C premium amounts for QMB categories (QMB-only and QMB Plus) for the basic packages of Medicare covered benefits only, if so elected in the State plan (State Plan preprint page 29, 3.2(a)(1)(i)). Medicaid is also liable for Medicare cost-sharing expenses (deductibles, coinsurance and copayments) for Medicare covered services to the payment amount specified in the Medicaid State plan (Supplement 1 to Attachment 4.19-B). When an M+C organization imposes cost-sharing charges in addition to premiums for Medicare-covered services on their enrollees, Medicaid agency must pay those costs for QMBs regardless of whether the State elected to include premiums in cost-sharing. No Medicaid services or payments would be included in the payment calculated</p>	<p>Capitation rates are based on claims cost data by twelve eligibility categories and reflect only those eligibles enrolled in plans. Eligibility groups are described on pages 3-4 of the capitation report.</p> <p>Oregon allows Dual Eligibles to enroll in managed care plans. The State pays the Medicare premiums directly for Dual Eligibles.</p>

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
AA.2.3	42 CFR 435.1002(b) 1903(f)(2)(A) SMM 3645	<p>for the entity.</p> <p>Spenddown – FFP is not available for expenses that are the recipient’s liability for recipients who establish eligibility for Medicaid by deducting incurred medical expenses from income.</p> <p>Spenddown is the amount of money that an individual with income over Medicaid eligibility limits must spend on medical expenses prior to gaining Medicaid eligibility. The spenddown amount is equal to the dollar amount the individual’s income is over the Medicaid income limit. 42 CFR 435 Subpart D.</p> <p>States have two methods for calculating spenddown. Regardless of the option selected by the State, the State should not request federal Medicaid match for expenses that are the recipient’s liability. Typically this means that capitated rates must be calculated without including expenses that are the recipient’s liability.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Regular method – The individual client collects documentation verifying that a medical expense has occurred and submits to the State. States must ensure that capitation rates for individuals with spenddown (both medically needy beneficiaries and beneficiaries in 209(b) States with spenddown amounts) are calculated without including expenses that are the recipient’s liability. 2. Pay-in method – The individual client pays a monthly installment payment or lump sum payment to the State equal to the spenddown amount rather than collecting documentation on medical expenses and submitting that documentation to the case worker. The same income and resource standards apply as in the regular method. The State then tracks the client’s medical costs to ensure that the costs exceed the spenddown amount. Here the State sets capitation rates to include expenses that are of the recipient’s liability and must ensure that the federal government receives its share of the monthly or lump sum payment from the client. 	Not applicable
AA.2.4	42 CFR 438.6(c)(1)(i)(B) 42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(ii)(A)	<p>State Plan Services only - The State must document that the actuarially sound capitation rates are appropriate for the services to be furnished under the contract and based only upon services covered under the State Plan (or costs directly related to providing these services, for example, MCO, PIHP, or PAHP administration). <i>The explanation and documentation should list the services specifically included and excluded from the analysis.</i> Services provided by the managed care plan that exceed the services covered in the Medicaid State Plan may not be used to set capitated Medicaid managed care rates (e.g., 1915(b)(3) waiver services or services outlined in 42 CFR 438.6(e) as referenced in AA 2.5.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>States using entity encounter data may base utilization and service costs on non-FFS data adjusting the data to reflect State plan services only.</i> • <i>Services not part of the State plan that are unilaterally contractually required or “suggested” (typically authorized as “1915(b)(3) services”) may not be used to calculate actuarially sound rates and must be paid out of separate payment rates approved prospectively under the 1915(b) waiver process.</i> • <i>EPSDT extended/supplemental services for children are State Plan Approved services and may be built into the capitated rates</i> • <i>1115(a) (2) services are considered State Plan services for 1115 populations for the duration of the demonstration and may be built into capitated payments approved through the 1115 demonstration budget neutrality agreement for approved populations only.</i> • <i>HCBS waiver services may only be included for capitated contracts under 1915(b)/(c) concurrent waiver or in CMS RO approved 1915(a) (1) (A)/(c) capitated contracts for approved 1915(c) waiver participants. Note: for the purposes of pre-PACE under 1915(a) (1) (A) HCBS services should be included. If the population is a nursing home-certifiable population and eligible for HCBS, the State</i> 	<p>Claims data serves as the basis of the capitation rates submitted by plans as well as actuarially sound rate ranges. Adjustments are made to exclude services not covered effective October 1st, 2011. See page 6 of the October 2011 – September 2012 capitation rate report.</p>

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
		<p><i>may consider HCBS as an acceptable service for long-term care managed care.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1915(a) (1) (A) capitated rates must be based on State Plan Approved services only and 1915(c) approved services for 1915(c) participants. <p><i>Note: The inclusion of any additional Medicaid services during the term of a contract could either be handled through a contract amendment or a contract term that provides for the contingency, subject to CMS approval. Amendments must be prior approved by the CMS RO.</i></p>	
AA.2.5	438.6(e)	<p>Services that may be covered by a capitated entity out of contract savings - An entity may provide services to enrollees that are in addition to those covered under the State plan, although the cost of these services cannot be included when determining the payment rates. <i>Note: this is different than 1915(b) (3) waiver services which are contractually required by the State. When a State agency decides to contract with an entity, it is arranging to have some or all of its State plan services provided to its Medicaid population through that entity. The State has not modified the services that are covered under its State plan, nor is it continuing to pay, on a FFS basis, for each and every service to be provided by the entity. Further, entities have the ability to provide services that are in the place of, or in addition to, the services covered under the State plan, in the most efficient manner that meets the needs of the individual enrollee. These additional or alternative services do not affect the capitation rate paid to the entity by the State. The capitation rates should not be developed on the basis of these services. The State determines the scope of State plan benefits to be covered under the managed care contract, and sets payment rates based on those services. This does not affect the entities right, however, to use these payments to provide alternative services to enrollees that would not be available under the State plan to beneficiaries not enrolled in the entity. Section 1915(b) (3) waiver authority that allows a State to share savings resulting from the use of more cost-effective medical care with beneficiaries by providing them with additional services.</i></p>	Not applicable.
AA.3.0	42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(ii) and (iv)	<p>Adjustments to the Base Year Data - The State made adjustments to the base period to construct rates to reflect populations and services covered during the contract period. These adjustments ensure that the rates are predictable for the covered Medicaid population.</p> <p>All regulatorily referenced adjustments are listed in 3.1 through 3.14.</p> <p>Adjustments must be mutually exclusive and may not be taken twice. States must document the policy assumptions, size, and effect of these adjustments and demonstrate that they are not double counting the effects of each adjustment. The RO should check to ensure that the State has contract clauses (or State Plan Amendments), where appropriate, for each adjustment.</p> <p>Sample Adjustments to the Base Year that may increase the Base Year:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administration (Step AA.3.2) • Benefit, Programmatic and Policy change in FFS made after the claims data tape was cut (Step AA.3. 1) • Claims completion factors (Step AA.3.2) • Medical service cost trend inflation (Step AA.3.3) • Utilization due to changes in FFS utilization between the Base Year and the contract period. <p>Changes in utilization of medical procedures over time is taken into account (Step AA.3. 11)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Certified Match provided by public providers in FFS 	<p>Adjustments to the base data to derive the actuarially sound rate ranges include benefit change adjustments, GME, tier 1 and 2 HRA adjustments, and administrative adjustments and are described on page 5 of the October 2011 – September 2012 capitation rate report.</p> <p>Adjustments to the capitation rates submitted by plans</p>

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FFS benefit additions occurring after the extraction of the data from the MMIS are taken into account • One-time only adjustment for historically low utilization in FFS program of a State Plan • Patient liability for institutional care will be charged under this program • Payments not processed through the MMIS • Price increase in FFS made after the claims data tape was cut <p>Sample Adjustments to the Base Year that may adjust the Base Year downward:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Benefit deletions in the FFS Program occurring after the extraction of the data from the MMIS are taken into account (Step AA.3. 1) • Cost-sharing in managed care in excess of FFS cost-sharing • Disproportionate Share Hospital Payments (Step AA.3.5) • Financial Experience Adjustment • FQHC/RHC payments • Graduate Medical Education (Step AA.3.8) • Income Investment Factor • Indirect Medical Education Payments (Step AA.3.8) • Managed Care Adjustment • PCCM Case Management Fee • Pharmacy Rebates • Post-pay recoveries (TPL) if the State will not collect and allow the MCE to keep TPL payments AA.3.6) • Recoupments not processed through the MMIS • Retrospective Eligibility costs (Step AA.3.4) <p>Cost-neutral Adjustments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data smoothing for data distortions and individuals with chronic illness, disability, ongoing health care needs, or catastrophic claims including risk-sharing and reinsurance (Step AA.5.0) <p><i>Note: The CMS RO must review all changes for appropriateness to the data selected by the State (e.g., if the State is using encounter data, then adjustments for FFS changes may not be appropriate). Some adjustments are mandatory. They are noted as such.</i></p> <p>All adjustments must be documented. Adjustments must be mutually exclusive and may not be taken twice. States must document the policy assumptions, size, and effect of these adjustments and demonstrate that they are not double counting the effects of each adjustment. The RU should check to ensure that the State has contract clauses (or State Plan Amendments), where appropriate, for each adjustment.</p>	<p>include GME, tier 1 and 2 HRA adjustments, and administrative allowance adjustments. See pages 6-7 of the October 2011 - September 2012 capitation rate report</p>
AA.3.1	42 CFR 438.6(c)(1)(B) 42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(ii)(A)	<p>Benefit Differences - Actuarially sound capitation rates are appropriate for the services to be furnished under the contract. The State must document that actuarially sound capitation rates payments are based only upon services covered under the State Plan. <i>Differences in the service package for the Base Period data and the Medicaid managed care covered service package are adjusted in the rates. Documentation assumptions and estimates is required for this adjustment.</i></p>	<p>The benefit differences between the base period and the contract period are described on page</p>

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
			6 of the October 2011 – September 2012 capitation rate report.
AA.3.2	<p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(ii) (A)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(ii)</p> <p>42 CFR 438. 812</p> <p>Family Planning FMAP 1903(a)(5) and 42 CFR 433.10(c)(1)</p> <p>Title XIX Financial Management Review Guide #20 Family Planning Services (See page 1 of this guide for a complete list of statutory and regulatory references) 7/3/01 SMD Letter</p> <p>Indian Health Service facility FMAP 1905(b) and 42 CFR 433. 10(c)(2)</p>	<p>Administrative cost allowance calculations - The State must document that an adjustment was made to the rate to account for MCO, PIHP or PAHP administration. Only administrative costs directly related to the provision of Medicaid State Plan approved services to Medicaid-eligible members are built into the rates. Documentation of assumptions and estimates is required.</p> <p>In order to receive Federal reimbursement, administrative costs at the entity level are subject to all applicable Medicaid administrative claiming regulations and policies. Medicaid pays for the administration of Medicaid services to Medicaid beneficiaries covered under the contract. The following examples are not all inclusive.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public entities cannot build in administrative costs to pay for non-Medicaid administration or services such as education, prisons, or roads, bridges and stadiums using the administrative cost in capitated rates. Administrative costs for State Plan approved services can only be claimed for services to be delivered to Medicaid beneficiaries under the contract (not for 1915(b)(3) services. Administration costs in contracts must be allocated to the appropriate programs (e.g. public health must pay for the administration of public health services to non-Medicaid eligibles). CMS provides FFP only for the administration of Medicaid services to Medicaid beneficiaries covered under the contract. Regular Medicaid matching rules apply. See 42 CFR 438.812 which states that all payments under a risk contract are medical assistance costs (FMAP rate) and which requires an allocation for non-risk contracts between service costs and administrative costs. Separate administrative costs under the State Plan should not be placed under a capitated contract in order for the State to draw down the FMAP (50-80%) rate rather than the administrative rate (50%). Examples of this include: survey and certification costs or other administrative costs not associated with the plan’s provision of contractually-required covered State Plan services to Medicaid enrollees. Separate administrative contracts including this administration can be written for capitated entities that will be matched at 50% by the federal government. <i>Note: Family planning and Indian health services enhanced matching FMAP rates and rules do apply to family planning and Indian Health services in capitated contracts. For family planning, the State must document the portion of its rates that are family planning consistent with the CMS Title XIX Financial Management Review Guide #20 Family Planning Services, especially Exhibit A. Please refer to the 7/3/01 SMD letter regarding the need for timely filing of claims.</i> Paperwork costs, such as time spent writing up case notes, associated with face-to-face contact with an eligible member is already included in the direct service cost and should not be built into the capitated rates again. Medicaid State agencies should also not pay separately for this administration. This occurs when an entity contracts with a public entity to provide services. The public entity provides the direct services and then bills the State Medicaid agency or the entity for administration associated with the direct services. Schools are providing the primary examples of this practice. This could also occur if an entity builds in additional administrative costs associated with direct services that have already 	Amount of administrative cost allowance described on page 7 of the October 2011 – September 2012 Capitation Rate report.

Appendix A-2

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
		<p>been built into the direct service rates to providers.</p> <p><i>Note: CMS does not have established standards for risk and profit levels but does allow reasonable amounts for risk and profit to be included in capitated rates.</i></p>	
AA.3.3	42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(ii)	<p><u>Special populations’ adjustments</u> - Specific health needs adjustments are made to make the populations more comparable. The State may make this adjustment only if the population has changed since the utilization data tape was produced (e.g., the FFS population has significantly more high-cost refugees) or the base population is different than the current Medicaid population (e.g., the State is using the State employees health insurance data). The State should use adjustments such as these to develop rates for new populations (e.g., SCHIP eligibles or 1115 expansion eligibles). The State should document why they believe the rates are adequate for these particular new populations.</p>	Not applicable.
AA.3.4	42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(ii) and (iv)	<p><u>Eligibility Adjustments</u> - The actuary analyzed the covered months in the base period to ensure that member months are parallel to the covered months for which the entities are taking risk. Adjustments are often needed to remove from the base period covered months -- and their associated claims -- that are not representative of months that would be covered by an entity. For example, many newborns are retrospectively covered by FFS Medicaid at birth, and will not enroll in an entity (even in mandatory enrollment programs) until a few months after birth. Because the costs in the first months of life are very high, if retrospective eligibility periods are not removed from the base period the state could be substantially over-estimating entities' average PMPM costs in the under-1 age cohort. Similar issues exist with the mother's costs when the delivery is retrospectively covered by FFS Medicaid, and with retrospective eligibility periods in general.</p>	Not applicable since managed care claims cost and enrollment data form the basis of the capitation rates.
AA.3.5	1923(i) BBA 4721(d)	<p><u>DSH Payments [contracts signed after 7/1/97]</u> – DSH payments may not be included in capitation rates. The State must pay DSH directly to the DSH facility.</p>	Not applicable since DSH payments are not included claims cost data.
AA.3.6	42 CFR 433 Sub D 42 CFR 447.20 SMM 2089.7	<p><u>Third Party Liability (TPL)</u> – The contract must specify any activities the entity must perform related to third party liability. The Documentation must address third party liability payments and whether the State or the entity will retain TPL collections. Rates must reflect the appropriate adjustment (i.e., if the entity retains TPL collections the rates should be adjusted downward or if the State collects and retains the TPL the rates should include TPL).</p>	MCOs submit claims cost data that have accounted for TPL and other recovery Attestation was required.
AA.3.7	42 CFR 447.58 SMM 2089.8	<p><u>Copayments, Coinsurance and Deductibles in capitated rates</u> –If the State uses FFS as the base data to set rates and the State Medicaid agency chooses not to impose the FFS cost-sharing in its pre-paid capitation contracts with entities, the State must calculate the capitated payments to the organization as if those cost sharing charges were collected. For example, if the State has a \$2 copayment on FFS beneficiaries for each pharmacy prescription, but does not impose this copayment on any managed care member, the State must add back an amount to the capitated rates that would account for the lack of copayment. <i>Note: this would result in an addition to the capitated rates.</i></p> <p>For 1115 expansion beneficiaries only, if the state uses FFS as the base data to set rates and imposes more deductibles, coinsurance, co-payments or similar charges on capitated members than the State imposes</p>	MCOs submit claims cost data that has properly reflected the exclusions of copayments, coinsurance, and deductibles.

Appendix A-2

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
		its fee-for-service beneficiaries, the State must calculate the rates by reducing the capitation payments by the amount of the additional charges. <i>Note: this would result in a reduction to the capitated rates.</i>	
AA.3.8	42 CFR 438.60 42 CFR 438.6(c)(5)(v)	<p><u>Graduate Medical Education (GME)</u> - If a State makes GME payments directly to providers, the capitation payments should be adjusted to account for the aggregate amount of GME payments to be made on behalf of enrollees under the contract (i.e., the State should not pay the entity for any GME payments made directly to providers). States must first establish actuarially sound capitation rates prior to making adjustments for GME.</p> <p>CMS permits such payments only to the extent the capitation rate has been adjusted to reflect the amount of the GME payment made directly to the hospital. States making payments to providers for GME costs under an approved State plan must adjust the actuarially sound capitation rates to account for the aggregate amount of GME payments to be made directly to hospitals on behalf of enrollees covered under the contract. These amounts cannot exceed the aggregate amount that would have been paid under the approved State plan for FFS. This prevents harm to teaching hospitals and ensures the fiscal accountability of these payments.</p>	Addressed on page 6 of the October 2011 – September 2012 Capitation Rate report.
AA.3.9	1903(m)(2)(A)(ix) 1902(bb)	<p><u>FOHC and RHC reimbursement</u> – The State may build in only the FFS rate schedule or an actuarially equivalent rate for services rendered by FQHCs and RHCs. The State may NOT include the FQHC/RHC encounter rate, cost-settlement, or prospective payment amounts. The entity must pay FQHCs and RHCs no less than it pays non-FQHC and RHCs for similar services. In the absence of a specific 1115 waiver, the entity cannot pay the annual cost-settlement or prospective payment.</p>	Addressed in the October 2011 Capitation Rate report, appendix A-1.
AA.3.10	42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(ii)	<p><u>Medical Cost/Trend Inflation</u> – Medical cost and utilization trend inflation factors are based on historical medical State-specific costs or a national/regional medical market basket applicable to the state and population. All trend factors and assumptions are explained and documented.</p> <p><i>Note: This also includes price increases not accounted for in inflation (i.e., price increases in the fee-for-service or managed care programs made after the claims data tape was cut). This adjustment is made if price increases are legislated by the Legislature. The RO must ensure that the State “inflates” the rate only once and does not double count inflation and legislative price increases. The State must document that program price increases since the rates were originally set are appropriately made.</i></p>	Addressed on page 8 October 2011 – September 2012 Capitation Rate report.
AA.3.1.1	42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(ii) and (iv)	<p><u>Utilization Adjustments</u> - Generally, there are two types of Utilization adjustments are possible: utilization differences between base data and the Medicaid managed care population and changes in Medical utilization over time.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Base period differences between the underlying utilization of Medicaid FFS data and Medicaid managed care data assumptions are determined. These adjustments increase or decrease utilization to levels that have not been achieved in the base data, but are realistically attainable CMS program goals. States may pay for the amount, duration and scope of State plan services that States expect to be delivered under a managed care contract. Thus, States may adjust the capitation rate to cover services such as EPSDT or prenatal care at the rate the State wants the service to be delivered to the enrolled population. The RO should check to ensure that the State has a contract clause for using mechanisms such as financial penalties if service delivery targets are not met or incentives for when targets are met. <p><i>Note: an example of this adjustment is an adjustment to Medicaid FFS data for EPSDT where FFS beneficiaries have historically low EPSDT utilization rates and the managed care contract requires the entity to have a higher utilization rate. The State should have a mechanism to measure that the higher</i></p>	Addressed on page 8 October 2011 – September 2012 Capitation Rate report.

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
		<p>A change in utilization of medical procedures over time is taken into account. Documentation is required if this adjustment is made. The State should document 1) The assumptions made for the change in utilization. 2) How it came to the precise adjustment size. 3) That the adjustment is a unique change that could not be reflected in the utilization database because it occurred after the base year utilization data tape was cut. Examples may include: major technological advances (e.g., new high cost services) that cannot be predicted in base year data (protease inhibitors would be acceptable, a new type of aspirin would not be acceptable).</p> <p><i>Note: These adjustments can be distinguished from each other. The first is utilization change stemming from historic under- or over-utilization that is being corrected solely by the implementation of this program. Historic access problems in FFS Medicaid programs may be addressed through this adjustment. The second is a one time only non-recurring adjustment because of a unique utilization change projected to occur (or which did occur) after the base year data tape was produced.</i></p>	
AA.3.12	<p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(ii)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(iv)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(1)(i)(B)</p>	<p>Utilization and Cost Assumptions – The State must document that the utilization and cost data assumptions for a voluntary program were analyzed and adjusted to ensure that they are appropriate for the populations to be covered if a healthier or sicker population voluntarily chooses to enroll (compared to the population data on which the rates are set). The State must document that utilization and cost assumptions that are appropriate for individuals with chronic illness, disability, ongoing health care needs, or catastrophic claims, using risk adjustment, risk-sharing or other appropriate cost-neutral methods</p> <p><i>Note: this analysis is needed whenever the population enrolled in the managed care program is different than the data for which the rates were set (e.g., beneficiaries have a choice between a fee-for-service program (PCCM) and a capitated program (MCO) and the rates are set using FFS data) .</i></p>	<p>Not applicable since enrollment is mandatory in areas with managed care plans.</p>
AA.3. 13	<p>42 CFR 435.725 (Categorically Needy)</p> <p>42 CFR 435.832 (Medically Needy)</p>	<p>Post-Eligibility Treatment of Income (PETI) (This applies for NF, HCBS, ICF-MR, and PACE beneficiaries in capitated programs where PETI applies only.) If the State Plan or waiver requires that the State consider post-eligibility treatment of income for institutionalized beneficiaries, the actual rate paid to the capitated entity would be the rate for the member minus any patient liability for that specific enrolled member. The State should calculate the client participation amount specifically for each member using the FFS methodology.</p> <p><i>Patient liability is a post-eligibility determination of the amount an institutionalized Medicaid beneficiary is liable for the cost of their care. It is also called client participation, cost of care, PE, and post-eligibility treatment of income. 42 CFR 435 Subpart H. Client participation should not be used to reduce total costs for all participants. Client participation should be assessed individually, reducing the individual rate paid to the capitated entity, not computed in aggregate and reducing all capitation payments. If the MMIS data tape is cut to reflect only the amount the Medicaid agency paid providers, then patient liability for cost of care must be added back to the rate to determine the total cost of care for an individual. The actual rate paid to the capitated entity would be the rate for the member minus any patient liability for that specific enrolled member. The capitated entity would then need to collect the patient liability from the enrolled member.</i></p> <p>An Option under 42 CFR 435.725(f) - The State can use a projection of expenses for a prospective period not to exceed 6 months to calculate client participation. This option requires the State to reconcile estimates with incurred expenses. Even with this option, the State must reduce the capitation rate to</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>

Appendix A-2

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
		exclude expenses that are of the recipient’s liability. This procedure ensures that the federal government does not pay more than its share of costs.	
AA.3.14	42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(ii)	Incomplete Data Adjustment – The State must adjust base period data to account for incomplete data. When fee-for-service data is summarized by date of service (DOS), data for a particular period of time is usually incomplete until a year or more after the end of the period. In order to use recent DOS data, the Actuary must calculate an estimate of the services ultimate value after all claims have been reported . Such incomplete data adjustments are referred to in different ways, including “lag factors,” “incurred but not reported (IBNR) factors,” or incurring factors. If date of payment (DOP) data is used, completion factors are not needed, but projections are complicated by the fact that payments are related to services performed in various former periods. <i>Documentation of assumptions and estimates is required for this</i>	Addressed on page 5 of the October 2011 – September 2012 capitation rate report.
AA.4.0	42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(iii) FR 6/14/02 p41 001	Establish Rate Category Groupings (All portions of subsection AA.4 are mandatory) -- The State has created rate cells specific to the enrolled population. <i>The rate category groupings were made to construct rates more predictable for future Medicaid populations’ rate setting. The number of categories should relate to the contracting method. Rate cells need to be grouped together based upon predictability so entities do not have incentives to market and to enroll one group over another. Multiple rate cells should be used whenever the average costs of a group of beneficiaries greatly differ from another group and that group can be easily identified. Note: The State must document that similar cost categories are grouped together to improve predictability. For example, rate cells may be combined if there is an insufficient number of enrollees in any one category to have statistical validity.</i>	A combination of eligibility category and age groupings are used to determine rate categories as described on pages 3-4 of the October 2011 Capitation Rate report.
AA.4.1	42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(iii)(B)	Age - Age Categories are defined. If not, justification for the predictability of the methodology used is given.	Age categories are defined and used for the Children rate categories. For certain other rate categories, distinctions between recipients with and without Medicare coverage was used as a determinant of cost predictability.
AA.4.2	42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(iii)(C)	Gender -Gender Categories are defined. If not, justification for the predictability of the methodology used is given	Gender was not used as a rate category. With the implementation of a maternity case rate and

Appendix A-2

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
			the related carve-out of maternity services from the capitation rates, a significant source of cost variation between genders has been eliminated.
AA.4.3	42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(iii)(D)	<u>Locality/Region - Locality/region Categories are defined. If not, justification for the predictability of the methodology used is given</u>	Regions are described on page 4 of the October 2011 Capitation Rate report. The regions are defined based on the general service delivery areas of the plans.
AA.4.4	42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(iii)(E)	<u>Eligibility Categories - Eligibility Categories are defined. If not, justification for the predictability of the methodology used is given.</u>	Eligibility categories defined on Pages 3-4 of the October 2011 Capitation Rate report.
AA.5.0	42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(ii), (iii) and (iv) 42 CFR 438.6(c)(1)(ii)	<u>Data Smoothing (All portions of subsection AA.5 are mandatory) - The State has examined the data for any distortions and adjusted in a cost-neutral manner for distortions and special populations. Distortions are primarily the result of small populations, special needs individuals, access problems in certain areas of the State, or extremely high-cost catastrophic claims. Costs in rate cells are adjusted through a cost-neutral process to reduce distortions across cells to compensate for distortions in costs, utilization, or the number of eligibles. This process adjusts rates toward the statewide average rate. The State must supply an explanation of the smoothing adjustment, an understanding of what was being accomplished by the adjustment, and demonstrate that, in total, the aggregate dollars accounted for among all the geographic areas after smoothing is basically the same as before the smoothing.</u> The State has taken into account individuals with special health care needs and catastrophic claims. These populations should only be included if they are an eligible, covered population under the contract. Claim costs and utilization for high cost individuals (e. g., special needs children) in the managed care program are included in the rates.	Addressed in the October 2011 Capitation Rate report, appendix A-1.
AA.5.1	42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(iv)	<u>Special Populations and Assessment of the Data for Distortions – Because the rates are based on actual utilization in a population, the State must assess the degree to which a small number of catastrophic claims might be distorting the per capita costs. Other payment mechanisms and utilization and cost assumptions that are appropriate for individuals with chronic illness, disability, ongoing health care needs, or catastrophic claims, using risk adjustment, risk-sharing, or other appropriate cost-neutral methods may be necessary.</u>	Addressed in the October 2011 Capitation Rate report, appendix A-1.

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
		<p>If no distortions or outliers are detected by the actuary, a rate setting method that uses utilization and cost data for populations that include individuals with chronic illness, disability, ongoing health care needs, or catastrophic claims will meet requirements for special populations without additional adjustments, since the higher costs would be reflected in the enrollees' utilization. States must document their examination of the data for outliers and smooth appropriately.</p> <p>The fact that the costs of these individuals are included in the aggregate data used for setting rates will not account for the costs to be incurred by a contractor that, due to adverse selection or other reasons, enrolls a disproportionately high number of these persons. CMS requires some mechanism to address this issue. Most entity contracts currently use either stop-loss, risk corridors, reinsurance, health status-based risk adjusters, or some combination of these cost-neutral approaches.</p> <p><i>Note: The RO should verify that this assessment occurred and that distortions found were addressed in 5.2.</i></p>	
AA.5.2	<p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(1)(iii)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(ii) and (iv)</p> <p>SMM 2089.6</p>	<p><u>Cost-neutral data smoothing adjustment -- If the State determines that a small number of catastrophic claims are distorting the per capita costs then at least one of the following cost-neutral data smoothing techniques must be made.</u></p> <p>Cost neutral means that the mechanism used to smooth data, share risk, or adjust for risk will recognize both higher and lower expected costs and is not intended to create a net aggregate gain or loss across all payments.</p> <p>Actuarially sound risk sharing methodologies will be cost neutral in that they will not merely add additional payments to the contractors' rates, but will have a negative impact on other rates, through offsets or reductions in capitation rates, so that there is no net aggregate assumed impact across all payments. A risk corridor model where the State and contractor share equal percentages of profits and losses beyond a threshold amount would be cost neutral.</p> <p>The mechanism should be cost neutral in the aggregate. How that is determined, however, will differ based on the type of mechanism that is used. A stop-loss mechanism will require an offset to capitation rates under the contract, based on the amount and type of the stop-loss. Health status-based risk adjustment may require an adjustment to the capitation rate for all individuals categorized through the risk adjustment system, but the aggregate program impact will still be neutral. CMS will recognize that any of these mechanisms may result in actual payments that are not cost neutral, in that there could be changes in the case mix or relative health status of the enrolled population. As long as the risk sharing or risk adjustment system is designed to be cost neutral, it would meet this requirement regardless of unforeseen outcomes such as these resulting in higher actual payments.</p> <p>Data Smoothing Techniques:</p> <p>___ Provision of stop loss, reinsurance, or risk-sharing (See 6.0)</p> <p>___ Catastrophic Claims Adjustment – The State must identify that there are outlier cases and explain how the costs associated with those outlier cases were separated from the rate cells and then redistributed across capitation payment cells in a cost-neutral, yet predictive manner.</p> <p>___ Small population or small rate cell adjustment – The State has used one of three methods: 1) The</p>	<p>Addressed in the October 2011 Capitation Rate report, Appendix A-1.</p>

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
		<p>actuary has collapsed rate cells together because they are so small, 2) the actuary has calculated a statewide per member per month for each individual cell and multiplied regional cost factors to that statewide PMPM in a cost-neutral manner, or 3) the actuary bases rates on multiple years data for the affected population weighted so that the total costs do not exceed 100% of costs (e.g., 3 years data with most recent year’s data weighted at 50%, 2nd most recent year’s data weighted at 30% and least recent year weighted at 20%).</p> <p>— Mathematical smoothing – The actuary develops a mathematical formula looking at claims over a historical period (e.g., 3 to 5 years) that identifies outlier cost averages and corrects for skewed distributions in claims history. The smoothing should account for cost averages that are higher and lower than normal in order to maintain cost-neutrality.</p> <p>— Maternity Kick-Payment (Per delivery rate) – Non-delivery related claims were separated from delivery related claims. The non-delivery related claims were sorted into categories of service and used to base the managed care capitation payments. Delivery-related costs were removed from the total final paid claims calculations. The State developed a tabulation of per-delivery costs only. The State reviewed the data for accuracy and variance. The State develops a single, average, per-delivery maternity rate across all cohorts and across all regions unless variance warrants region-specific per-delivery maternity rates. Some states also have birth kick payments to cover costs for a newborn’s birth (Per newborn rate).</p> <p>— Applying other cost-neutral actuarial techniques to reduce variability of rates and improve average predictability. If the State chooses to use a method other than the catastrophic claims adjustment or a small population or small rate cell adjustment, the State explains the methodology. The actuary assisted with the development of the methodology, the approach is reasonable, the methodology was discussed with the State, and an explanation and documentation is provided to CMS.</p>	
AA.5.3	<p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(1)(iii)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(3)(iii) and (iv)</p>	<p>Risk-Adjustment – The State may employ a risk adjustment methodology based upon enrollees’ health status or diagnosis to set its capitated rates. If the State uses a statistical methodology to calculate diagnosis-based risk adjusters they should use generally accepted diagnosis groupers. The RO should verify that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The State explains the risk assessment methodology chosen • Documents how payments will be adjusted to reflect the expected costs of the disabled population • Demonstrates how the particular methodology used is cost-neutral • Outlines periodic monitoring and/or rebasing to ensure that the overall payment rates do not artificially increase, due to providers finding more creative ways to classify individuals with more severe diagnoses (also called upcoding or diagnosis creep). <p>Risk-adjustment must be cost-neutral. <i>Note: for example, risk-adjustment cannot add costs to the managed care program. Risk adjustment can only distribute costs differently amongst contracting</i></p>	<p>Since proposed final capitation rates are based on plan by plan actual claims cost and IBNR estimates. No risk adjustments were necessary.</p>
AA.6.0	<p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(iv)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(5)(i)</p>	<p><u>Stop Loss, Reinsurance, or Risk-sharing arrangements (8.0 is mandatory if the State chooses to offer one of these options) (State Optional Policy)</u> – The State must submit an explanation of state’s reinsurance, stop loss, or other risk-sharing methodologies. These methodologies must be computed on an actuarially sound basis. <i>Note: If the State utilizes any of the three risk-sharing arrangements, please mark the applicable method in 8.1, 8.2, or 8.3. For most contracts, the three options are mutually exclusive and a State will use only one technique per contract. If a State or contract uses a combination of methodologies in a single</i></p>	<p>Not applicable</p>

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
	42 CFR 438.6(c)(2)(ii)	<p><i>contract, the State must document that the stop loss and risk-sharing do not cover the same services simultaneously. Plans are welcome to purchase reinsurance in addition to State-provided stop loss or risk-sharing, but CMS will not reimburse for any duplicative cost from such additional coverage.</i></p> <p>The contract must specify any risk-sharing mechanisms, and the actuarial basis for computation of those mechanisms. <i>Note: In order for the mechanism to be approved in the contract, the State or its actuary will need to provide enough information for the reviewer to understand both the operation and the financing of the risk sharing mechanism.</i></p> <p>Capitation rates are based upon the probability of a population costing a certain rate. Even if the entity’s premium rates are sufficient to cover the probable average costs for the population to be served, the entity is always at risk for the improbable – two neonatal intensive care patients and one trauma victim in its first 100 members, or an extraordinarily high rate of deliveries. A new entity, with a small enrollment to spread the risk across, could be destroyed by one or two adverse occurrences if it were obliged to accept the full liability.</p> <p>FFP is not available to fund stop loss and risk-sharing arrangements on the provision of non-State Plan</p>	
AA.6. 1	42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(iv) 42 CFR 438.6(c)(5)(i)	<p>Commercial Reinsurance – The State requires entities to purchase commercial reinsurance. The State should demonstrate that the contractor has ensured that the coverage is adequate for the size and age of the entity.</p>	<p>See Contract, Section U and Exhibit A, for financial solvency requirements.</p>
AA.6.2	42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(iv) 42 CFR 438.6(c)(5)(i) SMM 2089.6	<p>Simple stop loss program -- The State will provide stop-loss protection by writing into the contract limits on the entity’s liability for costs incurred by an individual enrollee over the course of a year (either total costs or for a specific service such as inpatient care). Costs beyond the limits are either entirely or partially assumed by the State. The entity’s capitation rates are reduced to reflect the fact that the State is assuming a portion of the risk for enrollees.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The State has included in its documentation to CMS the expected cost to the State of assuming the risk for the high cost individuals at the chosen stop-loss limit (also called stop-loss attachment point). • An explanation of the State’s stop loss program includes the amount/percent of risk for which the State versus entity will be liable. • The State has explained liability for payment. In some contracts, the entity is liable up to a specified limit and partially liable for costs between that limit and some higher number. The State is wholly liable for charges above the higher limit. If there is shared risk rather than either the State or the entity entirely assuming the risk at a certain point, the entity and State determine whether the services will be reimbursed at Medicaid rates, at the entities’ rates, or on some other basis. The State must specify which provider rates will be used to establish the total costs incurred so that the entity clearly knows whether the reinsurance will pay (i.e., the attachment point is reached). • The State has deducted a withhold equal to the actuarially expected cost to the State of assuming the risk for high cost individuals. The State pays out money based on actual claims that exceed the stop loss limit (i.e., above the attachment point). 	<p>Not applicable</p>

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
AA.6.3	42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(iv) 42 CFR 438.6(c)(5)(i) and (ii) 42 CFR 438.6(c)(1)(v)	<p>basis.</p> <p>Risk corridor program – Risk corridor means a risk sharing mechanism in which States and entities share in both profits and losses under the contract, outside of a predetermined threshold amount, so that after an initial corridor in which the entity is responsible for all losses or retains all profits, the State contributes a portion toward any additional losses, and receives a portion of any additional profits.</p> <p>If risk corridor arrangements result in payments that exceed the approved capitation rates, these excess payments will not be considered actuarially sound to the extent that they result in total payments that exceed the amount Medicaid would have paid, on a fee-for-service basis, for the State plan services actually furnished to enrolled individuals, plus an amount for entity administrative costs directly related to the provision of these services.</p> <p>The State agrees to share in both the aggregate profits and losses of an entity and protect the entity from aggregate medical costs in excess of some predetermined amount. To the extent that FFP is involved, CMS will also share in the profits and losses of the entity.</p> <p>In this instance, the State and CMS must first agree upon the benchmark point up to which federal match will be provided. Federal matching is available up to the cost of providing the same services under a non-risk contract (i.e., the services reimbursed on a Medicaid fee-for-service basis plus an amount for entity administrative costs related to the provision of those services). See 447.362. States typically require entities to adopt the Medicare cost-based entity principles for the purposes of calculating administrative costs under this model.</p> <p><i>Note: For this example, let’s say the payment is \$100 and there are 10 members expected to enroll. The total capitated payment CMS will match is \$1,000.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>The State and the entity must then agree on the amount of risk to be shared between them (e.g., 5% or the risk corridor is between \$950 and \$1,050).</i> - <i>The entity must calculate its overall costs at the end of the year and submit them to the State.</i> - <i>Scenario 1, the entity costs are \$950: In this example, the entity’s profits are within the risk corridor of \$950 to \$1,050, so the entity keeps the entire amount of capitated payments and no adjustment is made.</i> - <i>Scenario 2, the entity costs are \$1,050: In this example, the entity’s loss is within the risk corridor, so the entity keeps the entire amount of the capitated payment and no adjustment is made.</i> - <i>Scenario 3, the entity costs are \$850: In this example, the entity profit is outside of the risk corridor, so the entity must pay the State the amount of the excess profit or \$100.</i> - <i>Scenario 4, the entity costs are \$1,150: In this example, the entity loss is outside of the risk corridor, so the State must pay the entity the amount of the excess loss or \$100.</i> <p><i>Please note: FFP is not available for amounts in this contract over the fee-for-service cost of providing these services. In order to compute the fee-for-service cost of providing services, the State must “price” the capitated entity’s encounter data through the State’s fee-for-service MMIS system. Amounts exceeding the cost of providing these services through a non-risk contract are not considered actuarially sound. The State must “price” the encounter data for entities with open ended risk-corridors (meaning there is no limit to</i></p>	<p>Not applicable</p>

Item #	Legal Cite	Subject	Comments
		<p><i>the State’s liability) when the entity exceeds the aggregate of actuarially sound rates x member months by more than 25%. In practice the RO may require the “pricing” of encounter data whenever evidence suggests that the non-risk threshold has been exceeded. Similarly, the State can require documentation if evidence suggests that the entity should be profit sharing below the threshold. In this example, if the fee-for-service and entity administrative cost of providing these services were \$1,100, then FFP would only be available up to \$1,100. See 42 CFR 447.3 62 or Step AA.1.8 of this checklist.</i></p>	
AA.7.0	<p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(iv)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(5)(iii) and (iv)</p> <p>SMM 2089.3</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(2)(i)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(1)(iv)</p> <p>42 CFR 438.6(c)(4)(ii)</p>	<p><u>Incentive Arrangements (9.0 is mandatory if the State chooses to implement an incentive) (State Optional Policy)</u> – Incentive arrangement means any payment mechanism under which an entity may receive additional funds over and above the capitation rates it was paid for meeting targets specified in the contract. The State must include an explanation of the State’s incentive program. Payments in contracts with incentives may not exceed 105% of the approved capitation payments attributable to the enrollees or services covered by the incentive arrangement, since such payments will not be considered actuarially sound.</p> <p>The State must document that any payments under the contract are actuarially sound, are appropriate for the populations covered and services to be furnished under the contract, and based only upon services covered under the State Plan to Medicaid-eligible individuals (or costs directly related to providing these services, for example, MCO, PIHP, or PAHP administration).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All incentives must utilize an actuarially sound methodology and based upon the provision of approved services to Medicaid eligible beneficiaries. • Incentives cannot be renewed automatically and must be for a fixed time period. • The incentive cannot be conditioned upon intergovernmental transfer agreements. • Incentives must be available to both public and private contractors. <p><i>Note: Reinsurance collections from reinsurance purchased from a private vendor (See 8.1) and State provided stoploss (8.2) are actuarially calculated to be cost-neutral and should not considered to be “incentives” or included in these payments.</i></p>	<p>Not applicable. No incentive programs are in place for October 2011 – September 2012.</p>