

**STATE OF OREGON**  
**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM**  
**PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT**

Grant #B-06-DC-41-001  
for the period  
January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010

Prepared By:  
Oregon Business Development Department  
Infrastructure Finance Authority





## 2006 ACTIVITY REPORT

### Narrative for Accomplishments

Instructions for the 1994 PER (CPD-94-23) require states to report accomplishment measures (*e.g.*, persons benefited) only for activities that address the Low- and Moderate-Income national objective. Proposed Accomplishments are reported for each funded activity that addresses the Low-and-Moderate-Income national objective. Actual Accomplishments are reported for Administratively Closed and Closed projects.

Accomplishments for activities that meet the Slum and Blight or Urgent Need national objectives are to be reported in a separate narrative.

#### **Slum and Blight –**

- There was a 2004 award for a slum and blight project made to the City of Roseburg for the clearance and demolition of a downtown building, project number P04016. The total award was \$175,463 of which \$15,000 was for local administration. In 2006 the award was increased by \$124,537 for a total of \$300,000. This project is split funded between 2004 and 2006 funds.
- The City of Detroit also received a \$206,925 grant for a slum/blight project, in this case to clear a hazardous building located in downtown, project number P06024. This grant contains \$10,000 to be used for grant administration expenses. This grant was administratively closed during the reporting period. The State recaptured \$140,391 for a final amount spent of \$66,534.

**Urgent Need** - There were no projects awarded that meet the urgent need national objective.

### Homelessness, Chronic Homelessness and Persons with Special Needs

In accordance with CPD Notice 07-06, a summary of the CDBG funds used to address homelessness, chronic homelessness and persons with special needs is provided below. FFY 2006 funds were utilized as follows:

**C06016 – Josephine County – OPTIONS Mental Health Facility.** The recipient combined their local match of \$1,730,000 with \$800,000 of CDBG 2006 funds. This facility is anticipated to serve 3,200 persons, of which 3,136 persons will be low and moderate income during their first year of operation.



Part II Narrative Requirements

A. Statutory Requirements of Section 104(e)

1. Assess the relationship of the use of funds to the state's objective. Explain the nature of and reasons for any changes in the program objectives.

The state obligated its Program Year 2006 funds for activities in accordance, as much as possible, with the targets set in the 2006 Annual Action Plan for the Oregon Consolidated Plan.

The state met its contractual annual allocation dollar amount/obligation to Oregon Housing and Community Services for housing rehabilitation and resource centers.

The state only received one complete application for the economic development so this category was underutilized.

The state underutilized the annual allocation obligation target percentages for community facility and microenterprise assistance projects. Despite the fact that all complete applications received by the state for these categories were funded.

The state exceeded the annual allocation obligation target percentages for public works. The demand for public works projects within the State of Oregon continues to remain exceedingly high.

2010 Oregon PER  
2006 Grant

CATEGORY	2006 ANNUAL ALLOCATION TARGETS		2006 ANNUAL ALLOCATION ACTUAL FUNDING		2006 PROGRAM INCOME OBLIGATIONS		2005 UN-OBLIGATED FUNDS PRIOR YEAR RECAPTURES		TOTAL OBLIGATED IN 2006	
	AMOUNT	%	AMOUNT	%	AMOUNT	%	AMOUNT	%	AMOUNT	%
Community Facilities	4,386,683	32.0	2,629,563	19.2	83,300.90	63.2	512,624.78	69.9	3,225,489	22.1
Sub Grant to OHCS:										
Housing Rehabilitation	2,878,761	21.0	2,926,378	21.3	0	0	140,628	19.2	3,067,006	21.0
Housing Centers	411,252	3.0	363,637	2.7	0	0	31,763	4.3	395,400	2.7
Public Works	4,386,683	32.0	6,815,584	49.7	48,335.89	36.8	48,621.02	6.6	6,912,541	47.4
Microenterprise Assistance	274,168	2.0	223,225	1.6	0	0	0	0	223,225	1.6
Economic Development	1,370,838	10.0	750,000	5.5	0	0	0	0	750,000	5.2
Un-obligated funds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>Totals</b>	<b>13,708,385</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>13,708,385</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>131,636.79</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>733,636.80</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>14,573,659</b>	<b>100</b>

- As of December 31, 2006 all the 2006 program Year funds were obligated.
2. Indication of how the state would change the program as a result of its experience.

In 2006 the program was re-designed to operate on a quarterly competitive application process. This process appeared to be successful with higher quality applications being received, better awards being made and all the project funds were obligated by August 2006. There are no real significant changes being proposed for the 2007 program year other than the addition of off-site infrastructure projects.

NOTE: In 2006 the state found out, that the manner in which the state had been instructed by HUD to handle a 2005 float funded project repayment, PF2018 Garibaldi, \$1,374,000, was incorrect. The previous direction was to re-obligate the funds to new projects, however since the funds were already obligated and actually borrowed from the states line of credit this created a double obligation of these funds. The state used unobligated 2006 funds, available program income and recaptured funds to make up for the difference. As result, on December 31, 2006 the state is overobligated by \$717,033.41. This overobligation will be taken care of with the 2007 program year allocation when received.

3. Evaluate the extent to which the program benefited low- and moderate-income persons. For the selected benefit period (2006-2007-2008 and CDBG-R), 94.18 % of the state's CDBG funds were obligated to activities benefiting low- and moderate-income persons. Of the funds obligated under the states 2006 program, 98.41% of the project funds benefit low and moderate income persons.

Note: The 2006 unobligated recaptured funds were not included in the calculation for overall program benefit to low-and moderate income persons.

#### B. Summary of Activities and Results from Technical Assistance Funding

The state's 2006 program included the use of CDBG funds for the technical assistance allowed by Section 811 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992. As of December 31, 2010, all of the \$142,354 1% funds from the 2006 allocation had been obligated.

1. Oregon Brownfields Conference. A small amount of technical assistance funds were used to help support the 2009 annual brownfields conference. People attend the meeting from many communities, particularly from rural areas. The conference offers useful information for communities trying to clean up contaminated sites in order to accommodate community development.
2. Actions to Further Fair Housing. The Department entered into an interagency agreement with Oregon Housing and Community Services to hire a private consultant to prepare the analysis of impediments to fair housing and the fair housing action plan for 2011-2015. This plan will be provided to local cities and

counties as a tool in identifying and planning for the removal of impediments to fair housing choices within their communities.

3. Northwest Community Development Institute. A grant was provided to the Northwest Community Development Institute for 2009 training and scholarships. This effort helps to train rural community development professionals in Oregon, Idaho and Washington.
4. Northwest Economic Development Sponsorship and Course. A grant was provided to the Washington State Community Trade and Economic Development Department for 2009 training scholarships. The scholarships are offered to rural Oregon community representatives who attend this training.
5. Fair Housing Training. A technical assistance grant was provided to the Oregon Fair Housing Council to support their 2009 workshop designated to educate communities and landlords on fair housing issues and requirements.
6. Community and Shelter Assistance Corporation. A grant was provided to the Community and Shelter Assistance Corporation (CASA) to support their 2009 Farm Workers Housing Asset & Property Management Conference.
7. State Administration. Starting in FY 2004, states may expend up to \$100,000 +3% of their annual allocation on state administrative costs. In 2006, the state reserved the right to use this flexibility. All CDBG 1% funds used for state administration will be matched 1:1 with funds from the Department's Special Public Works Fund.

### Part III Compliance with Applicable Civil Rights Laws

#### A. Applicant and Beneficiary Ethnicity/Race Information

In accordance with the 1994 PER instructions, the state will provide data on all applicants and beneficiaries in projects funded from each grant allocation. Information must be reported for each project activity and must indicate the number of persons benefiting by ethnicity and racial groups as defined by U.S. Census. The ethnicity and racial group categories from the 2000 Census were expanded from the required data that needs to be collected under the 1994 PER instructions. Once, Housing and Urban Development amends the 1994 PER instruction to require the addition of this revised ethnicity and racial group information collected during the 2000 census it will be included in the PER report for all projects administratively closed after the date of Housing and Urban Development's revised PER instruction.

<b>RACE GROUP</b>	<b>Total from FY 2006 Grant</b>	<b>Total from Program Income</b>	<b>Combined Total</b>
White not Hispanic	16,394	0	16,394
Hispanic	2,071	0	2,071
American Indian/Alaskan Native	825	0	825
Black not Hispanic	78	0	78
Asian and Pacific Islander	165	0	165
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>19,533</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19,533</b>

Ethnicity/Race data is tabulated for all administratively closed or closed projects in the non-entitlement areas of the state using FY 2006 funds. Nine (9) projects awarded in 2006 were administratively closed during the reporting period, creating 40 projects funded with 2006 funds that are either administratively closed or closed. When additional 2006 funded projects are administratively closed, the ethnicity/race data will be reported in successive year's PERs 2006 Grant Year Activity Reports as indicated in the table above for each project and totaled for all projects funded with 2006 grant year funds.

**B. Summary of state's reviews of recipient's civil rights performance**

The State reviews the civil rights performance of its 2006 recipients as part of its regular monitoring procedures. These include either an on-site review or an internal file/desk audit of all projects. A standardized monitoring checklist is used for this process. 2006 grant recipients monitored during the reporting period generally complied with the Civil Rights performance requirements for Community Development Block Grant recipients.

**C. State and local efforts, actions and results in affirmatively furthering fair housing.**

Three state agencies administer programs that serve to further fair housing for Oregon's people.

The Oregon Business Development Department (OBDD), which administers Community Development Block Grant funds for the state's non-metropolitan cities and counties, educates its recipients about their fair housing responsibilities in several ways including: 1) presentations at workshops, 2) an updated comprehensive Grant Management Handbook, 3) provision of informational handouts, posters, brochures, and individual technical assistance, as needed or requested, 4) sending recipients

notices of workshops or conferences which address fair housing issues, and 5) conducted a statewide Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice which is made available to recipients to identify, plan for and remove impediments to fair housing choice.

The Oregon Housing and Community Services Department (OHCS) is active in the promotion of fair housing. They operate many programs, including HOME. Owners of projects assisted by OHCS are required to develop marketing plans that include outreach activities targeted to minorities and other protected classes. The first component of the fair housing planning process is to review impediments to fair housing choice in the public and private sectors. This updated plan for 2006-2010 was submitted to HUD in November 2005 along with the updated 2006-2010 Fair Housing Action Plan, 2006-2010 five year Consolidated Plan and the proposed 2006 Method of Distribution.

The Bureau of Labor and Industries Civil Rights Division (BOLI) is responsible for investigation and resolution of fair housing complaints are handled in Oregon. BOLI processes all cases involving alleged discrimination based on race/color, religion, sex, physical or mental handicap, marital status, and national origin. The agency does not investigate situations involving discrimination based on family status but does assist individuals to file complaints with HUD.

Local fair housing efforts of state recipients using 2006 funds include but are not limited to: publicizing fair housing laws, participating in local fair housing events, displaying posters, distributing brochures, and being a participating sponsor of the Fair Housing Council of Oregon display and training sessions.

In 2006, the Oregon Business Development Department continued their contract with the Oregon Fair Housing Council (FHCO) established to provide activities to assist the State to implement the State's Fair Housing Plan. Each agency contributed \$37,500 to FHCO to continue fair housing activities beginning July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007. These activities include conducting the annual statewide Fair Housing poster contest involving elementary schools; publishing a Fair Housing newsletter; providing fair housing advice and information to service agencies and local government; conducting public outreach to inform residents about fair housing rights and responsibilities; collecting complaints and providing information to individuals facing housing discrimination; and displaying the Fair Housing exhibit around the state.

Fair Housing Collaborative – In 2005, OHCS, OBDD, BOLI, FHCO and other private and other public sector participants formed a fair housing collaborative to address statewide fair housing issues and were a large part of the successful completion and development of the 2006-2010 Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice and Fair Housing Action Plan. This collaborative was meeting monthly during the development of these documents, but now meets quarterly to work on fair housing issues. OBDD continues to participate in this collaborative.

D. Summary of State and Recipient Actions to Use Minority and Women-Owned Businesses in Carrying out CDBG-funded Activities

The state encourages grantees to make contracts awarded under CDBG grants available to minority and women-owned businesses. Through the Grant Management Handbook and training given to recipients, the state describes the various ways that contracting opportunities can be made available to minority and women-owned businesses. Some examples include dividing larger contracts into smaller portions that can be bid on by smaller firms, or directly soliciting proposals or bids from lists of certified minority and women-owned businesses.

The Grant Management Handbook (revised March 2010 and February 2011) provides forms that grant recipients use to collect and report their efforts to the state. The state will report in future PERs, for each allocation, on the use of minority and women-owned businesses, and on Section 3 activities by its recipients.

E. Equal Employment Opportunity Data for the Oregon Business Development Department

The Oregon Business Development Department maintains the employment data required by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) on an EEO-4 form. The form is not included in this report but is available from the department.

Part IV Additional Requirements for the Consolidated Annual Performance Report  
(CAPER) End of Program Year Reporting.

**PRESERVE AND ENHANCE SUITABLE LIVING ENVIRONMENTS**

Note: This section adheres to pages 53-56 of the HUD approved 2006-2010 Consolidated Plan, containing identical headings and performance measurements. The Consolidate Plan does not contain numeric values for these performance measurements, as the state has no control over the type and quantity of applications that will be received from units of general local governments.

**IMPROVE SAFETY AND LIVABILITY OF COMMUNITIES**

Activities under this strategy include a variety pf projects such as brining water and wastewater systems into compliance with environmental standards, improving inadequate water and wastewater systems, improving medical and fire safety, eliminating slum and blight from downtowns or revitalizing neighborhoods and other public facility projects.

Measurement – Annual

- 1) Number of systems brought up to environmental/safety standards or upgraded.
  - a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** – 13 systems
- 2) Total number of people benefiting from those improvements including the percentage of low and moderate-income persons.
  - a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** – A total of 17,323 persons benefited, of which 11,671 (67.4%) were low and moderate income.
- 3) For slum and blight removal projects the number of people benefiting from those improvements.
  - a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** – No slum and blight projects were funded or assisted in part with CDBG funds that were not a brownfield redevelopment project. Accomplishments will be reported under brownfield redevelopment.
- 4) For brownfield redevelopment projects the acres or square feet of the site redeveloped.
  - a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** – 0.9 acres.
- 5) The amount of money leveraged from other funding sources for all projects assisted in whole or in part with CDBG funds.
  - a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** - \$23,003,498

MEDICAL AND FIRE SAFETY

Project #	Recipient	Project Type	Total Persons	LMI Persons
C06018	Cascade Locks	Fire Hall	1,155	676
C06016	Josephine County	Mental Health Treatment Facility	2,521	2,432
<b>Two Projects - SUB-TOTAL</b>			<b>3,676</b>	<b>3,108</b>
<b>ELIMINATING SLUM AND BLIGHT – NEIGHBORHOOD REVITALIZATION</b>				
Project #	Recipient	Project Type	# Acres Redeveloped	
P06024	Detroit	Brownfield Redevelopment	0.9 acres	
P04016	Roseburg	Brownfield Redevelopment	Reported in 2004	
<b>Two Project - SUB-TOTAL</b>			0.9 acres	
<b>WATER AND WASTEWATER SYSTEMS BROUGHT INTO COMPLIANCE OR IMPROVEMENT OF INADEQUATE FACILITIES</b>				
Project #	Recipient	Project Type	Total Persons	LMI Persons
P06011	Chiloquin	Wastewater Facilities Plan	720	526
P06009	Douglas County	Winchester Bay Sanitary District Wastewater System Improvement Project	337	328
P06022	Drain	Water System Improvement Project	1,060	665
P06023	Glendale	Wastewater Facilities Plan	915	509
P06010	Mill City	Wastewater System Improvement Project	1,555	832
P05022	Monroe	Wastewater System Improvement Project	Reported in 2007	
P06019	Stanfield	Wastewater System Improvement Project	2,150	1,346
P06020	Tillamook	Wastewater System Improvement Project	4,650	3,055
P06021	Vernonia	Wastewater System Improvement Project	2,260	1,302
<b>Nine Projects - SUB-TOTAL</b>			<b>13,647</b>	<b>8,563</b>
<b>Thirteen projects – TOTAL</b>			<b>17,323</b>	<b>11,671</b>

## **INCREASE ACCESS TO QUALITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES**

Activities under this strategy include a variety of projects such as extending publicly owned water/wastewater and other public infrastructure improvements such as roads, sidewalks, etc., in areas where none exists to serve public facilities or housing for low

and moderate income persons; rehabilitation or construction of senior centers, domestic violence shelters, alcohol and drug rehabilitation centers, community facilities, improving or building assisted living facilities and other public facilities.

Measurement - Annual

1) The number of persons benefiting from new or improved facilities including the percentage of low and moderate income persons which benefit from the improvements.

a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** - A total of 3,680 persons benefited, of which 2,035 (55.3%) were low and moderate income.

2) The amount of money leveraged from other funding sources for all projects assisted in whole or in part with CDBG funds.

a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** - \$711,900

Project #	Recipient	Project Type	Total Persons	LMI Persons
P03009	Falls City	Community Center	Reported in 2003	
C06007	Lincoln County	Head Start Facility	Reported in 2002	
C06008	Oakridge	Library	3,680	2,035
P06015	Tillamook	Domestic Violence Shelter	250	238
C05024	Umatilla County	Eastern Oregon Detox center	Reported in 2005	
C06017	Yamhill County	YCAP Relocation Study	Planning only grant	
C05023	Yamhill County	Health and Human Services Building for Adults	Reported in 2004	
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>3,930</b>	<b>2,273</b>

**IMPROVE AFFORDABILITY AND SUSTAINABILITY OF QUALITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES**

Activities under this strategy include construction or rehabilitation of water, wastewater, fire, library, medical, assisted living facilities and other public facilities for the purpose of making them more affordable and sustainable. This includes the provision of public services and housing rehabilitation.

Measurement – Annual

1. The number of persons benefiting from new or improved facilities including the percentage of low and moderate income persons which benefit from the improvements.
  - a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** - A total of 4,262 persons benefited, of which 3,808 (89.5 %) were low and moderate income. The housing rehabilitation grants are expected to rehabilitate 148 housing units.
2. The amount of money leveraged from other funding sources for all projects assisted in whole or in part with CDBG funds.
  - b. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** - \$877,472

Refer to the attached PER spreadsheets for more detailed information.

## **EXPAND ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITIES**

### **CREATE JOBS PRIMARILY FOR LOW AND MODERATE INCOME PERSONS**

Activities under this strategy include installation, upgrade, construction and acquisition of public facilities (water, wastewater, storm drainage, streets, buildings etc.) to assist in the public cost to promote expansion of an existing business or location of new businesses, the establishment of economic development revolving loan funds, and the acquisition, development or construction of improvements to and otherwise improve real property owned by private business or industries.

Measurement – Annual

- 1) The total number of jobs made available to, created or retained including the number of jobs filled by low and moderate income persons.
  - a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** – 30 jobs are to be created of which 16 will be for low and moderate income persons.
- 2) The amount of money leveraged from other funding sources for all projects assisted in whole or in part with CDBG funds.
  - a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** - \$291,000

### **MICROENTERPRISE ASSISTANCE**

Activities under this strategy include the provision of microenterprise public service assistance to low and moderate income owned microenterprises.

Measurement – Annual

- 1) The total number of microenterprises assisted and the number owned by low and moderate income persons.
  - a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** – 110 microenterprises will be assisted, of which all 110 will be owned by low and moderate income persons.
- 2) The amount of money leveraged from other funding sources for all projects assisted in whole or in part with CDBG funds.
  - a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** – \$93,415

### **PROMOTE EFFECTIVE PARTNERSHIPS**

Activities under this strategy include monthly “one-stop” meetings with state and federal agencies providing funding for community projects.

Measurement – Annual

- 1) The number of communities assisted as result of these meetings.
  - a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** – The state funded 7 public works projects and 1 community facility project as result of these monthly meetings.

(Refer to the attached PER report for 2006 for more information. The funded projects include: Douglas County, Drain, Mill City, Monroe, Stanfield, Tillamook, Umatilla County, and, Vernonia.)

### **SUPPORT EFFORTS TO INCREASE LOCAL CAPACITY IN PLANNING, ADMINISTRATION AND IMPLEMENTATION**

Activities under this strategy include continuing support of several economic development organizations, brownfield conferences and other local capacity building events, grant administration workshops, grant management training and one-on-one technical assistance.

Measurement – Annual

- 1) The number of training events held and the number of attendees.
  - a. **ACCOMPLISHMENT** – Three training events and 129 attendees.
    - i. Applicants workshop - 79 attendees
    - ii. Brownfield Conference –
    - iii. NW Economic Development Course - 50 attendees

<b>2006 Program Year Funds Summary of Obligations</b>			
<b>Activity</b>	<b>Required</b>	<b>Amount Obligated</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Low and Moderate Income	70%	\$12,819,172	89.2%**
Slum and Blight		\$321,462	2.2%
Urgent Need		\$0	0
Public Service	15%	\$363,637	2.5%
Local Administration	20%	\$335,750	2.3%
State Administration		\$387,395	2.7%
CDBG 1%	1%	142,354	1.0
		<b>\$14,369,770 *</b>	<b>100%</b>

\* Includes \$14,235,448 plus \$134,322 of program income.

\*\* Percent of total grant plus program income.

## **OUTCOME AND PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT REPORT**

The table below contains more specific information about the outcome and performance measurements anticipated to result from the 2006 program year funds.



**2006 Community Development Block Grant Program  
Performance Report**

Objective / Outcome	Funding Category	# Awards	Amount Awarded	Leveraged Funds	Performance Indicators	Expected Number	Total Persons	Total LMI Persons	# Communities Assisted
EO3	Economic Development	1	\$750,000	\$291,000	Jobs Created	30	30	16 (53%)	10
EO3	Microenterprise Assistance	4	\$223,225	\$93,415	Microenterprises Assisted	110	110	110 (100%)	21
SL3	Public Works Water/Wastewater	8	\$5,523,623	\$20,575,098	Persons served	13,647	13,647	8,455 (62%)	8
SL3	Public Works Downtown Revitalization	0	0	0	Persons served	0	0	0	0
SL3	Public Works Brownfield Redevelopment	1	\$206,925	\$36,300	Acres Redeveloped	0.9	N/A	N/A	1
SL1	Public/Community Facilities	1	\$800,000	\$2,198,667	Persons served	2,680	2,680	2,521 (94%)	1
SL3	Public/Community Facilities	4	\$1,828,945	\$817,633	Persons served	4,385	4,385	2,811 (64%)	4
DH1	Public/Community Facilities	1	\$774,500	\$124,000	Persons served Beds created	250 22	250	250 (100%)	1
DH3	Housing Rehabilitation	9	\$3,067,006	\$877,472	Housing Units Rehabilitated	148	371	371 (100%)	27
DH1	Housing Resource Centers	8	\$395,400	Not Collected	Persons served	3,891	3,891	3,437 (88%)	30
	Partially funded projects – accomplishments reported in prior years	5	\$1,004,034	Expected Accomplishments Reported in Prior Year Reports					
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>42</b>	<b>\$14,573,658</b>	<b>\$25,013,585</b>	<b>Persons Served – 24,853</b> <b>Units Rehabed– 148</b> <b>Beds Created – 22</b>  <b>Jobs Created - 30</b> <b>Acres Redeveloped – 0.9</b> <b>Microenterprises Assisted - 110</b>		<b>25,364</b>	<b>17,971 (70.8%)</b>	<b>103</b>

OBDD 2006 Allocation \$10,418,372  
OBDD PI \$131,636.79  
OBDD Re-capture \$733,636.80 (\$561,245.80 – OBDD and \$172,391 – OHCS – not included in PER for 2006 program year funds)  
OHCS Housing Rehab \$2,926,376  
OHCS Resource Centers \$363,637.00  
**Total \$14,573,658.59** (Includes recaptured funds obligated during the program year)

